

Marine Stewardship Council Full Assessment

Client Draft Report/Preliminary Draft Report (PDR) For The

OSF Orkney brown crab creel fishery

Facilitated By the

Orkney Sustainable Fisheries (OSF)

Assessors: Géraldine Criquet, Lead Assessor Julian Addison, Assessor Tim Huntington, Assessor

Report Code:MSC028Report Date:April 2018

SAI Global

3rd Floor, Block 3, Quayside Business Park, Mill Street,Dundalk, Co. Louth, Ireland. T + 353 42 932 0912 F + 353 42 938 6864 www.saiglobal.com





Foreword

(Optional)



Table of Contents

Fore	word		1
Tabl	e of Conte	ents	2
Glos	sary		6
1.	Executive	e Summary	7
2.	Authorsh	nip and Peer Reviewers	10
2.	.1. Asse	essment Team	10
2.	.2. Pee	r Reviewers	11
3.	Descripti	on of the Fishery	13
3.	.1. Unit	t(s) of Assessment (UoA) and Scope of Certification Sought	13
	3.1.1.	UoA and Proposed Unit of Certification (UoC)	13
	3.1.2.	Final UoC(s)	14
	3.1.3.	Total Allowable Catch (TAC) and Catch Data	14
	3.1.4.	Scope of Assessment in Relation to Enhanced Fisheries	15
	3.1.5	Scope of Assessment in Relation to Introduced Species Based Fisheries (ISBF)	15
3.	.2. Ove	rview of the fishery	15
3.	.3. Prin	ciple One: Target Species Background	17
	3.3.1.	Brown crab biology and life history	17
	3.3.2.	Harvest strategy	20
	3.3.3.	Information and data collection	23
	3.3.4.	Stock assessment methodology	26
	3.3.5.	Orkney brown crab stock status	28
	3.3.6.	Management of the fishery in relation to the brown crab stock status	33
	3.3.7.	Other fishery removals	33
3.	.4. Prin	ciple Two: Ecosystem Background	35
	3.4.1.	Primary and secondary species	35
	3.4.1.1	1 Source of information used to determine the non-target species composition	35
	3.4.1.2	2 Main and minor primary and secondary species for the Orkney brown crab fishery	39
	3.4.1.3	3 Conclusion	44
	3.4.2.	Endangered, Threatened and Protected (ETP) species	45
	3.4.2.1	1 Interactions with whales and dolphins	46
	3.4.2.2	2 Interactions with otters	47
	3.4.2.3	3 Interactions with seals	48
	3.4.2.4	1 Interactions with sea turtles	48
	3.4.2.5	5 Interactions with basking sharks	48
	3.4.2.6	5 Conclusion	48



3.4.3.	Habitats	48
3.4.3	1 Benthic habitats distribution	48
3.4.3	2 Habitat impacts	50
3.4.4.	Ecosystem	52
3.4.4	1 Description of the ecosystem the Orkney brown crab creel fishery depends on	52
3.4.4	2 Ecosystem impacts	53
3.4.4	3 Management	53
3.5. Pri	nciple Three: Management System Background	56
3.5.1.	The legal basis and scope of the management system	56
3.5.2.	Rights and dispute resolution	58
3.5.3.	Roles and responsibilities	59
3.5.4.	Consultation and participation mechanisms	60
3.5.5.	Long-term objectives	60
3.5.6.	Fisheries-specific management	61
3.5.7.	Decision-making processes	61
3.5.8.	Monitoring, control and surveillance (MCS)	62
3.5.8	1 MCS implementation	62
3.5.8	2 Sanctions	63
3.5.9.	Monitoring and evaluation of the fishery management system performance	63
4. Evaluati	on Procedure	64
4.1. Ha	rmonised Fishery Assessment	64
4.2. Pre	evious assessments	64
4.3. Ass	sessment Methodologies	64
4.4. Eva	aluation Processes and Techniques	64
4.4.1.	Site Visits	64
4.4.2.	Consultations	66
4.4.3.	Evaluation Techniques	66
5. Traceab	ility	70
5.1. Eli _l	gibility Date	70
5.2. Tra	ceability within the Fishery	70
5.3. Eli _t	gibility to Enter Further Chains of Custody	71
5.4. Eli	gibility of IPI stock(s) to Enter Further Chains of Custody	71
6. Evaluati	on Results	72
6.1. Pri	nciple Level Scores	72
6.2. Su	mmary of PI Level Scores	72
6.3. Su	mmary of Conditions	73
6.4. Re	commendations	73

륒 SAI GLOBAL

	6.5.	Deter	mination, Formal Conclusion and Agreement	74
	6.6.	Chan	ges in the fishery prior to and since Pre-Assessment	74
7.	Refe	erence	S	75
8.	Арр	endice	S	79
	8.1.	Appe	ndix 1 Scoring and Rationales	79
	8.1.	1. /	Appendix 1.1 Performance Indicator Scores and Rationale – Evaluation Tables	79
	8	.1.1.1	Principle 1 – Sustainable Target Fish Stocks – Evaluation Tables	79
		PI 1.2	.1 – Harvest strategy	82
		PI 1.2	.2 – Harvest control rules and tools	86
		PI 1.2	.3 – Information and monitoring	89
		PI 1.2	.4 – Assessment of stock status	92
	8	.1.1.2	Principle 2 – Environmental Impact of Fishing – Evaluation Tables	94
		PI 2.1	.2 – Primary species management strategy	96
		PI 2.1	.3 – Primary species information	99
		PI 2.2	.1 – Secondary species outcome	101
		PI 2.2	.2 – Secondary species management strategy	103
		PI 2.2	.3 – Secondary species information	107
		PI 2.3	.1 – ETP species outcome	109
		PI 2.3	.3 – ETP species information	115
		PI 2.4	.1 – Habitats outcome	117
		PI 2.4	.2 – Habitats management strategy	119
		PI 2.4	.3 – Habitats information	122
		PI 2.5	.1 – Ecosystem outcome	125
		PI 2.5	.2 – Ecosystem management strategy	127
		PI 2.5	.3 – Ecosystem information	130
	8	.1.1.3	Principle 3 – Effective Management – Evaluation Tables	133
		PI 3.1	.1 – Legal and/or customary framework	133
		PI 3.1	.2 – Consultation, roles and responsibilities	136
		PI 3.1	.3 – Long term objectives	138
		PI 3.2	.1 Fishery-specific objectives	139
		PI 3.2	.2 – Decision-making processes	140
		PI 3.2	.3 – Compliance and enforcement	144
		PI 3.2	.4 – Monitoring and management performance evaluation	147
	8.1.	2. <i>A</i>	Appendix 1.2 Risk Based Framework (RBF) Outputs	149
	8.	.1.2.1	Appendix 1.2.1 Consequence Analysis CA for Principle 1	149
	8	.1.2.2	Appendix 1.2.2 Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis PSA	152
		8.1.2.	2.1. Principle 1 – Brown crab	152

륒 SAI GLOBAL

	8.1.2.2.2 Principle 2 - Secondary species	155
8	3.1.2.3 RBF Outcomes	160
	8.1.2.3.1 Principle 1 – Broww crab	160
	8.1.2.3.2 Principle 2 – Secondary species	160
8.1.	3. Appendix 1.3 Conditions	161
8.2.	Appendix 2 Marine Scotland FISH1 Form and OSF voluntary logbook sheet	164
8.3.	Appendix 3 Peer Review Reports	166
8.4.	Appendix 4 Stakeholder submissions	167
8.5.	Appendix 5 Surveillance Frequency	169
8.6.	Appendix 6 Objections Process	175



Glossary

<Insert Glossary Here (Optional)> To be added



1. Executive Summary

This report sets out the details of the MSC assessment for the OSF Orkney brown crab creel fishery against the MSC Principles and Criteria for Sustainable Fisheries. The report details the background, results and justification of the fishery, performed by SAI Global.

The full assessment process began on May 30th, 2017.

The OSF Orkney brown crab creel fishery under assessment is defined by the UoA and UoC as follows.

UoA		
Target species	Brown crab, Cancer pagurus	
Geographic area	FAO Major Fishing Area 27 Atlantic Northeast, Subarea 27.4.a Northern North Sea,	
	ICES Division Iva.	
	Orkney Islands (North of Scotland) waters within 12 nm UK Territorial Limit, with few	
	larger fishing vessels fishing also outside the 12 nm Limit.	
Stock	Orkney Islands brown crab stock	
Fishing gear	Baited creel (pot)	
Management system	European Commission through the Common Fisheries Policy	
	Marine Scotland	
	Orkney Sustainable Fisheries is the regional Inshore Fisheries Group for Orkney	
Client group and other	Orkney Sustainable Fisheries (OSF)	
eligible fishers	All the registered and licenced fishing vessels fishing brown crab with creel around	
	Orkney Islands are OSF members. There are currently no other eligible fishers.	
	Potential other eligible fishers would be any registered and licenced fishing vessels	
	that is eligible to fish brown crab with creel around Orkney Islands waters that are not	
	members of OSF.	

UoC		
Target species Brown crab, Cancer pagurus		
Geographic area	FAO Major Fishing Area 27 Atlantic Northeast, Subarea 27.4.a Northern North Sea, ICES	
	Division Iva.	
	Orkney Islands (North of Scotland) waters within 12 nm UK Territorial Limit, with few	
	larger fishing vessels fishing also outside the 12 nm Limit.	
Stock	Orkney Islands brown crab stock	
Fishing gear	Baited creel (pot)	
Management system	European Commission through the Common Fisheries Policy	
	Marine Scotland	
	Orkney Sustainable Fisheries is the regional Inshore Fisheries Group for Orkney	
Client group	Orkney Sustainable Fisheries (OSF)	

SAI Global's assessment team includes Géraldine Criquet (Lead Assessor, Principle 2 and RBF expert), Julian Addison (Assessor, Principle 1 and RBF expert) and Tim Huntington (Assessor, Principle 3 expert). Short biographies are provided in section 2.



Full assessment process and stakeholder consultation

Date	Purpose	Media
30/05/2017	Fishery Enters assessment	Notification on MSC website
		Direct email/letter
18/07/2017	Use of RBF	Notification on MSC website
		Direct email/letter
07/12/2017	Proposed peer reviewers	Notification on MSC website
		Direct email/letter
02/03/2018	Revised timeline and Notification of	Notification on MSC website
	additional stakeholder consultation period	Direct email/letter

Strenghts and weaknesses

Strenghts	Weaknesses
• There is no evidence of recruitment overfishing in	• The harvest strategy is not responsive to the state
the Orkney brown crab stock	of the stock
Brown crab creels are highly selective with a low	Alternative measures to minimise UoA-related martality of unwanted satch of the brown srab and
bycatchiever	the secondary species are not implemented
• Interactions with whales seem to be low enought	
not to represent serious threat for their	Well defined harvest control rules are not in place
conservation and recovery	
	• Short and long-term objectives, which are
• The UoA is highly unlikely to reduce structure and	consistent with achieving the outcomes expressed
function of the commonly encountered habitats and	by MSC's Principles 1 and 2, are not explicit within
VMEs to a point where there would be serious or	the fishery-specific management system.
Irreversible narm	
• OSF Observer, logbook, market sampling and	
succorfish programmes	
 Robust governance and policy 	
 High level of compliance 	

Assessment results

Final Principle Scores

Principle	Score
Principle 1 – Target Species	79 - FAIL
Principle 2 – Ecosystem	87 - PASS
Principle 3 – Management System	89.6 - PASS



Non-binding conditons

As per 7.21.2, where the CAB makes a decision not to award certification and fail the fishery, the report:

- 7.21.2.1 Shall not specify any mandatory conditions or defined actions that would need to be undertaken before the fishery could be reconsidered for certification in the future;
- 7.21.2.2 Shall outline draft and non-binding conditions for any PIs that score more than 60 and less than 80;
- Shall clearly specify that the conditions outlined are non-binding and serve to provide and indication of the actions that may be required should the fishery should have been certified.

Table below presents the non-binding and non-mandatory conditions for PIs with score more than 60 and less than 80 and drafted by the assessment team to provide an indication of the actions that the fishery may implement to address the issues identified.

Condition	Condition	Performance	Related to previously raised
number		Indicator	condition? (Y/N/NA)
1	Evidence should be provided that the harvest strategy is responsive to the state of the stock and the elements of the harvest strategy work together towards achieving stock management objectives reflected in PI 1.1.1 SG80. Evidence should also be provided that alternative measures to minimise UoA-related mortality of unwanted catch of the target stock are implemented as appropriate.	1.2.1	NA
2	Evidence should be provided that well defined HCRs are in place that ensure that the exploitation rate is reduced as the PRI is approached, are expected to keep the stock fluctuating around a target level consistent with (or above) MSY.	1.2.2	NA
3	Evidence should be provided that alternative measures to minimise UoA-related mortality of unwanted catch of main secondary species are implemented as appropriate.	2.2.2	NA
4	Evidence should be provided that short and long-term objectives, which are consistent with achieving the outcomes expressed by MSC's Principles 1 and 2, are explicit within the fishery-specific management system.	3.2.1	NA

Assessment team conclusion and determination

SAIG's assessment team determined that the OSF Orkney brown crab fishery does not conform with the MSC Fisheries Standard and therefore does not recommend certification to be awarded.



2. Authorship and Peer Reviewers

2.1. Assessment Team

Dr. Géraldine Criquet (Lead assessor, Principle 2 and RBF expert.

Géraldine is an MSC approved Fisheries Team Leader for SAI Global - experienced fishery scientist in both Finfish and Shellfish fisheries, and ecosystems considerations. Géraldine holds a PhD in Marine Ecology (École Pratique des Hautes Études, France) which focused on coral reef fisheries management, Marine Protected Areas, fish ecology and ecosystem impacts. She worked 2 years for the Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD) at Reunion Island for studying fish target species growth and connectivity between fish populations in the Indian Ocean using otolith analysis. She served as Consultant for FAO on a Mediterranean Fisheries Program (COPEMED) and developed and implemented during 2 years a monitoring program of catches and fishing effort in the Marine Natural Reserve of Cerbère-Banyuls (France). Géraldine is an experienced full time MSC Lead Assessor with SAI Global, successfully leading MSC certifications and assessment teams and acting as Principle 2 expert for multiple MSC Pre, Full and Surveillance audits including full assessments and surveillance audits of Canada American lobster trap fisheries.

Dr. Julian Addison (Principle 1 and RBF expert)

Julian is an independent fisheries consultant with 30 years' experience of stock assessment and provision of management advice on shellfish fisheries, and a background of scientific research on shellfish biology and population dynamics and inshore fisheries. Until December 2010 he worked at the Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (Cefas) in Lowestoft, England where he was Senior Shellfish Advisor to Government policy makers, which involved working closely with marine managers, legislators and stakeholders, Government Statutory Nature Conservation Organisations and environmental NGOs. He has experienced shellfish management approaches in North America as a visiting scientist at DFO in Halifax, Nova Scotia and at NMFS in Woods Hole, Massachusetts. For four years he was a member of the Scientific Committee and the UK delegation to the International Whaling Commission providing scientific advice to the UK Commissioner. He has worked extensively with ICES and was Chair of the Working Group on the Biology and Life History of Crabs, a member of the Working Group on Crangon Fisheries and Life History and a member of the Steering Group on Ecosystems Function. He has undertaken various MSC full assessment, pre-assessments and surveillance audits and has carried out peer reviews of MSC assessments in both Europe and North America of lobster, cold water prawn, razorfish, cockle and scallop fisheries.

Tim Huntington (Principle 3 expert)

Tim is a fisheries biologist with over 30 years' industry and consulting experience. His qualifications include a BSc (Hons) in Biological Sciences and MSc in Applied Fish Biology. He has worked in capture fisheries and aquaculture in over 60 countries worldwide, with a particular focus on Europe, the Middle East, Africa and Asia (including the Indian and Pacific Ocean countries). Following a number of industry and consulting posts, Tim has specialised in promoting sustainability in fisheries and aquaculture. This initially included working on a number of fisheries development projects for the Global Environment Facility, FAO and other agencies before focusing on the roles that eco-labelling can play in driving improved fishing practises and management. He has worked extensively with the MSC responsible fisheries programme, including leading pre-assessments, full assessments as well as chain of custody audits for a number of certification bodies including Acoura, Intertek, Tún, MacAlister Elliott and SCS. He also works with fisheries on fisheries improvement planning, using the MSC standard as a benchmark for baseline and incremental assessments.



2.2. Peer Reviewers

The MSC's Peer Review College has compiled a shortlist of potential peer reviewers to undertake the peer review for OSF Orkney brown crab creel fishery which is in assessment process with the Conformity Assessment Body SAI Global.

Two peer reviewers will be selected from the following list:

Colin Bannister

Colin is a former fishery biologist, assessment scientist, and stock management advisor. Graduating in Zoology in 1964 he was appointed to the government Fisheries Laboratory, Lowestoft, UK, retiring in 2004 from what is now Cefas with 40 years experience of the dynamics and management of a wide range of finfish and shellfish stocks and fisheries. From 1964-1974 he studied the biology and assessment of flatfish stocks at Iceland and in the North Sea, obtaining a PhD in 1971. In 1975-76 he was seconded to Brussels to give specialist fisheries advice during the development of the EU Common Fisheries Policy. From 1981-2000 he was responsible for research, assessment, and advice on the management of coastal crustacean and molluscan fisheries in England and Wales, including the evaluation of pioneering lobster enhancement experiments around the UK. In 1999 he received the Walne Medal of the UK Shellfish Association for his contribution to shellfisheries management. In the 1990s, Colin was active in the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea as chair of the Shellfish Committee, Living Resources Committee, and the Consultative Committee, and in restructuring the ICES scientific and advisory processes. From 2001-2004, Colin managed the finfish team at Cefas Lowestoft, responsible for monitoring, assessment and advice for the fish resources of the NE Atlantic, assisting the Deputy Director with advice to senior officials and industry on EU stock recovery programmes. Colin remains professionally active in retirement, with MSC assessments and peer reviews, lecturing, higher degree examinations, plus the provision of reports and advice to the Shellfish Association of Great Britain, which he chairs. He received the Le Cren Medal of the Fisheries Society of the British Isles in 2014, and an Honorary D.Sc. from the University of Hull in 2015. He is the Buckland Foundation Professor for 2015, and an Emeritus Fellow at Cefas Lowestoft.

Andrew Hough

Marine Environmental Consultant. Andrew has a PhD in marine ecology from the University of Wales, Bangor (1987-90). He has been involved in marine, coastal and freshwater environmental management since 1991, including management of fishery impacts on ecosystems and marine conservation biology, principally in European inshore waters. He was manager of Moody Marine operations within Moody International Certification from 1999 to 2011 with particular responsibility for the implementation of MSC Certification procedures and development of MSC methodologies. He has acted as lead assessor on a large proportion of MSC pre assessments and main assessments during this time, and subsequently as team member and/or lead auditor for various assessments. This has involved stock assessment analysis, evaluation of ecosystem effects and management effectiveness of groundfish, pelagic and shellfish fisheries in various administrations around the world. He now works as a freelance environmental/fishery management consultant and auditor, consultancy projects include certification-related policy advice to the Association of Sustainable Fisheries.

Jim Andrews

Jim Andrews has over 20 years' experience working in marine fisheries and environmental management. His previous experience includes running the North Western and North Wales Sea Fisheries Committee as its Chief Executive from 2001 to 2005, and previously working as the SFC's Marine Environment Liaison Officer. During this time he was responsible for the regulation, management and assessment of inshore finfish and shellfish stocks along a 1,500km coastline. He has an extensive practical knowledge of both fisheries and environmental management and enforcement under UK and EC legislation. He has formal legal training & qualifications, with a special interest in the policy, governance and management of fisheries impacts on marine ecosystems. He



has worked as an assessor and lead assessor on more than 20 MSC certifications within the UK, Europe, Asia, Australia and the Southern Ocean since 2007. He has assessed a wide range of fisheries including finned fish, shellfish, enhanced shellfisheries and also for several data-deficient fisheries requiring the use of the risk based framework (RBF). Jim has also carried out numerous MSC Chain of Custody assessments within the UK, and also several peer reviews of MSC assessments. In December 2015 he was appointed to serve on the North Western Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority by the UK Government.

Earl Geoffrey Dawe

Retired in 2015 following a 35-year research career with Fisheries and Oceans Canada which focused on the fisheries, biology, population dynamics, and ecology of cephalopods and crustaceans. Published 170 scientific/technical reports and journal articles (58 in the primary, peer reviewed literature) on various aspects of population biology and ecology as well as fishery resource assessment and management of both short-finned squid and snow crab. Research effort has most recently focused on ecosystem structure and functioning, particularly the relative effects of ocean climate versus predation on finfish and crustacean resources. Career included heavy involvement in the review and formulation of scientific advice for management of shellfish resources in Atlantic Canada as well as the advisory/consultative part of managing the Newfoundland and Labrador (NL) fisheries for short-finned squid and snow crab. Recently participated, as scientific advisor, in MSC certification of the NL snow crab fishery. Also recently served as peer reviewer in MSC certification of the Western Asturias octopus trap fishery.



3. Description of the Fishery

3.1. Unit(s) of Assessment (UoA) and Scope of Certification Sought

3.1.1. UoA and Proposed Unit of Certification (UoC)

(ALL REPORTS EXCEPT PCR)

SAI Global confirms that the fishery entering assessment is within the scope requirements (FCR 7.4) for MSC fishery assessments [FCR 7.8.3.1].

- The target species is not an amphibian, a reptile, a bird or a mammal;
- Fishing operations are not conducting using destructive fishing practices such as fishing with poisons or explosives
- The fishery does not operate under a controversial unilateral exemption to an international agreement and is not overwhelmed by dispute;
- A pre-assessment has been carried out in 2012.
- The proposed UoA and UoC have been confirmed;
- The fishery has not failed an assessment within the last two years;
- The client is willing to share the certificate with fishers not initially part of the client group;
- There are no catches of non-target stocks (Principle 2) that are inseparable or practicably inseparable (IPI) from the target stock (Principle 1);
- The brown crab fishery is not an enhanced fishery;
- Although the assessment of the OSF Orkney brown crab fishery overlaps with the SSMO Shetland inshore brown & velvet crab and scallop fishery certified in March 2012 and currently under reassessment (started in August 2016), harmonisation is not required as the fisheries are under different version of the MSC Standard.
- The fishery is not based on an introduced species, brown crab being a native species of the North Sea; and
- The fishery does not include an entity that has been successfully prosecuted for violations against forced labour laws.

The MSC guidance for FCR specifies that the Unit of Certification (UoC) is "The target stock or stocks (biologically distinct unit) combined with the fishing method/gear and practice (vessel(s) pursuing the that stock and any fleets, groups of vessels, or individuals of other fishing operators".

The Unit of Assessment (UoA) defines the full scope of what is being assessed and includes other eligible fishers.

Consequently, the OSF Orkney brown crab creel fishery under assessment is defined by the UoA and UoC as follows.

UoA		
Target species	Brown crab, Cancer pagurus	
Geographic area	FAO Major Fishing Area 27 Atlantic Northeast, Subarea 27.4.a Northern North Sea, ICES Division Iva. Orkney Islands (North of Scotland) waters within 12 nm UK Territorial Limit, with few larger fishing vessels fishing also outside the 12 nm Limit.	
Stock	Orkney Islands brown crab stock	



Fishing gear	Baited creel (pot)
Management system	European Commission through the Common Fisheries Policy
	Marine Scotland
	Orkney Sustainable Fisheries is the regional Inshore Fisheries Group for Orkney
Client group and other	Orkney Sustainable Fisheries (OSF)
eligible fishers	All the registered and licenced fishing vessels fishing brown crab with creel around
	Orkney Islands are OSF members. There are currently no other eligible fishers.
	Potential other eligible fishers would be any registered and licenced fishing vessels
	that is eligible to fish brown crab with creel around Orkney Islands waters that are not
	members of OSF.

UoC							
Target species	Brown crab, Cancer pagurus						
Geographic area	FAO Major Fishing Area 27 Atlantic Northeast, Subarea 27.4.a Northern North Sea, ICE						
	Division Iva.						
	Orkney Islands (North of Scotland) waters within 12 nm UK Territorial Limit, with few						
	larger fishing vessels fishing also outside the 12 nm Limit.						
Stock	Orkney Islands brown crab stock						
Fishing gear	Baited creel (pot)						
Management system	European Commission through the Common Fisheries Policy						
	Marine Scotland						
	Orkney Sustainable Fisheries is the regional Inshore Fisheries Group for Orkney						
Client group	Orkney Sustainable Fisheries (OSF)						

3.1.2. Final UoC(s)

(PCR ONLY)

The PCR shall describe:

- a. The UoC(s) at the time of certification.
- b. A rationale for any changes to the proposed UoC(s) in section 3.1(c).
- c. Description of final other eligible fishers at the time of certification.

(References: FCR 7.4.8-7.4.10)

3.1.3. Total Allowable Catch (TAC) and Catch Data

The Orkney brown crab creel fishery is not TAC managed.

Table 1. TAC and Catch Data

TAC	Year	[YYYY]	Amount	[n, unit]
UoA share of TAC	Year	[YYYY]	Amount	[n, unit]
UoC share of total TAC	Year	[YYYY]	Amount	[n, unit]
Total green weight catch	Year (most recent)	2016/2017	Amount	1,800 t
by UoC	Year (second most recent)	2015/2016	Amount	1,428 t



3.1.4. Scope of Assessment in Relation to Enhanced Fisheries

Not applicable.

3.1.5 Scope of Assessment in Relation to Introduced Species Based Fisheries (ISBF)

Not applicable.

3.2. Overview of the fishery

Brown crab has been fished in Orkney for 1000's of years with crab and lobster being an important part of the diet of local people before selling and exporting shellfish changed the emphasis towards fishing for a market. Fishermen have sold their fish and shellfish locally since 1880's but were limited by vessel size and weather to access to other markets. Brown crab was also originally caught as a secondary species, the fishermen's effort was mainly targeted at European lobster. The fishery nowadays is considered a "mixed" creel fishery with often with more than one target species, mainly brown crab but also lobster and velvet crabs. It is also a seasonal fishery with different species being targeted at particular parts of the year.

In 1953 Orkney Fishermen's Society, a fishermen co-operative, was set up primarily for exporting live European lobster. The co-op expanded into crab processing in the 60's and is now one of the most recognised processors of brown crab both within the UK and Europe.

Traditionally brown crab was caught from traditional sailing yoles and the fishing gear was wooden creels. In the post-war years there was a shift into engine powered boats and in the 1970's changes in gear with a move towards more durable metal creels. The advances of both wheel house electronics and fishing gear has increased the efficiency of the local fleet. The previous part-time crofter model for inshore fishing has been in decline since the 60's with most fishermen now full-time. The size of the fishing fleet has stayed relatively the same over the past 20-30 years with the average number of vessels registered in Orkney fluctuating around 145. The number of fishermen employed by the industry has also been pretty constant with Orkney Islands Council considering around 350 fishermen employed. This includes part time and full time fishermen.

In 2006 Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd (OSF) was established to operate the local lobster hatchery and carry out locally driven research initiatives to help support inshore fishing into the future. In 2010 OSF was awarded EMFF funding to run brown crab tagging trials and collect data on the commercially creel-fished species. Since then OSF has employed full-time fisheries scientists to run a number of research initiatives relating to the sustainability of the local shellfisheries. A Fisheries Improvement Project has been implemented in 2013 for the brown crab, lobster and velvet crab fishery.

Historically regulation of the fishery would have been dictated by local weather patterns which would have restricted the number of days fishermen could go to sea. The management of the fishery is now governed by the European Commission and by Marine Scotland at the national level. Through devolved responsibility for fisheries management, Scottish Ministers are responsible for the regulation of sea fishing around Scotland and within 12nm of Scotland's coast. The primary regulatory instrument for the Orkney creel fishery is the Inshore Fishing (Scotland) Act 1984 which introduced both local and national measures for fishery management purposes.

As part of the Strategic Framework for Inshore Fisheries in Scotland, Marine Scotland set out to develop a network of Regional Inshore Fisheries Groups (RIFGs) to plan and manage inshore fisheries at a local level. Since 2013 Orkney Sustainable Fisheries has been recognised as the RIFG for Orkney. The board is made up of fishermen covering all gear types. The Orkney brown crab fishery is managed under the OSF Fisheries Management Plan.



The Orkney brown crab fishery is currently comprised of a fleet of 103 vessels: 46 less than 10 m, 50 between 10-12 vessels, 7 over 12, 3 vessels with tanks that fish 2-3 days during the summer .The majority of the inshore fleet operates as part of a mixed fishery, targeting a combination of brown crab, European lobster and velvet crab. The offshore fleet is comprised of three vessels \geq 16m in length that operate outside of the 12 nm territorial water and target solely brown crab. They consists of larger vivier tank vessels undertaking single week long fishing trips. Creel is the only fishing gear allowed to be used to target brown crab.



3.3. Principle One: Target Species Background

This section focuses on brown crab stock assessment and status, the harvest strategy in place and the relevant information collected to support the harvest strategy.

MSC FCR v.2.0 states that:

Principle 1

A fishery must be conducted in a manner that does not lead to overfishing or depletion of the exploited populations and. For those populations that are depleted, the fishery must be conducted in a manner that demonstrably leads to their recovery.



Figure 1. Brown crab or edible crab. Source: http://www.fao.org/fishery/species/2627/en.

The brown crab (*Cancer pagurus*) (Figure 1) is a large decapod crustacean distributed from Norway throughout the North Sea and English Channel to the coast of Portugal and is found all around the Scottish coast from the shallow sub-littoral into offshore waters to depths exceeding 100 metres. Brown crabs inhabit rocky reefs, mixed coarse grounds and soft sediments (muddy sand) particularly on the offshore grounds (Marine Science Scotland, 2017).

Stock structure/stock delineation

Brown crab is considered a 'shelf' species that is distributed across quite large geographical areas and multiple habitats. These factors compound to make determination of geographic stock boundaries difficult in the absence of studies on genetic structure of brown crab in the region. OSF have undertaken a major tagging programme since 2016 with approximately 8,000 crabs tagged. Tagging returns showed that there was little movement of male and female crabs inshore (Figure 2), but there was some offshore migration of mature females in a westward direction (Figure 3) (Coleman and Rodrigues, 2017a). The results complement those from a major T-bar tagging programme undertaken by Jones *et al.* (2010) (Figures 4 & 5). The primary current is in an easterly direction which might facilitate the return of larvae back to the original grounds of the mature females (Hunter *et al.*, 2013) but many of the large migratory movements observed are too great a distance to allow the return of larvae back to the Orkney fishing grounds (Client, pers. comm.). The Client advised that



there is some larvae modelling work in progress, but as yet the connectivity between the various crab grounds offshore is unclear. Assuming a stock structure which considers Orkney as a single stock with localised recruitment seems pragmatic and reasonable given the available information.







Figure 2. Female (upper figure) and male (lower figure) recaptures from tagging studies undertaken by OSF from 2010 to 2016. Source: Coleman and Rodrigues, 2017a.





Figure 3. Long distance recaptures of females from tagging studies undertaken by OSF from 2010 to 2016. Source: Coleman and Rodrigues, 2017a.



Figure 4. Tagging returns from female brown crabs tagged on large vivier vessels in the Orkney and Sule areas off the north coast of Scotland. Source: Jones *et al.*, 2010.





Figure 5. Release and recapture positions for female (left) and male (right) brown crabs tagged in inshore Orkney waters by MSS. Source: Jones *et al.*, 2010.

Life history

Brown crab may live for 15 years or more and recruit to the fishery at 140 mm carapace width (CW) probably between ages 4-6 years. Moulting may occur each year in smaller crabs but less often as size increases. Mating takes place when the female crab is soft after moulting (Brown and Bennett, 1980) and the male guards the female for a period of time prior to the female moult. The most recent MSS stock assessment of brown crabs noted that female brown crabs in Scottish waters typically mature between 130 and 150 mm CW (Marine Science Scotland, 2017), but new studies of maturity across a wide geographical area using identical methodology demonstrated that size at maturity is much lower than previously thought (Haig *et al.*, 2016). In Orkney the size at which 50% of crabs are mature was estimated at 91.2 mm CW in males and 97.4 mm CW in females. This size at 50% maturity is well below the current MLS of 140mm CW (to be increased to 150mm CW in February 2018, and OFS apply a 153mm CW limit for females), which means that all crabs will have an opportunity to spawn at least once before becoming available to the fishery. Eggs are spawned onto the pleopods where they are carried over winter (Thompson et al., 1995). The hatching season is prolonged and larvae may be found during spring, summer and autumn depending on latitude and water temperatures. Each female brown crab may hatch between 1-4 million eggs (Bennett, 1995; Tallack, 2007). Post-larvae are known to settle inshore and juvenile crabs are more common in shallow than in deep water. Recent work at Heriot-Watt University showed that intertidal areas are an important habitat for juvenile edible crabs during early stages of its life cycle (Bakonya, 2016). Mature female adult crabs undertake extensive migrations of hundreds of kilometres, which may be associated with the reproductive cycle, with larvae drifting back in oceanographic currents to the adult's original location (Eaton et al., 2003). Male adult crabs tend not to undertake migrations. Adults feed primarily on benthic invertebrates such as bivalves, small crustaceans and barnacles, but will also scavenge for food as demonstrated by their capture in creels baited with various fish species. In the adult stage of their life cycle, brown crabs have few predators.

Detailed reviews of the biology and life history of *Cancer pagurus* can be found in Edwards (1979) and Neal and Wilson (2008).

Cancer pagurus is not considered as a key low trophic level (LTL) species as defined by the MSC FCR v2.0 in that it does not meet the criteria for key LTL species set out in SA2.2.9.



3.3.2. Harvest strategy

EU and National management

As the UK is currently a Member State of the European Union (EU), the UK Government must ensure that the management of all UK fisheries are consistent with the objectives of the European Union's Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) (Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013). Implementation of the CFP at a national level is carried out through the individual Member States, and responsibility for inshore fisheries management in Scottish waters is devolved from the UK to the Scottish Government. Management of fisheries within Scotland is the responsibility of Marine Scotland. The Scottish Government has powers to take non-discriminatory fishery conservation measures within 12 miles, and has set out its vision for inshore fisheries in the Scottish Inshore Fisheries Strategy (Marine Scotland, 2015), which includes implementing effective assessment methodologies for fishing at Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY). Under the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) Member States are required to prepare national strategies to achieve Good Environmental Status (GES) by 2020. Included under Descriptor 3 of GES is the requirement that stocks should be exploited sustainably consistent with high long-term yields, have full reproductive capacity in order to maintain stock biomass, and the proportion of older and larger fish/shellfish should be maintained (or increased) being an indicator of a healthy stock. GES is achieved for a particular stock only if all of the three attributes are fulfilled, implying that all commercially exploited stocks should be in a healthy state and that exploitation should be sustainable, yielding the Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY). The main tools available to Scottish Ministers to regulate fisheries in these areas are through restrictive licensing or other measures set out in the Inshore Fishing (Scotland) Act 1984. In addition, Scottish Ministers have the power to introduce Regulating Orders to manage inshore fisheries out to 6 nautical miles under the terms of the Sea Fisheries (Shellfish) Act 1967. Whilst a Regulating Order is in place for shellfish fisheries in Shetland waters, such an approach has not been taken for the management of shellfish fisheries in Orkney waters.

Local management in Orkney

OSF has been the recognised regional Inshore Fisheries Group (IFG) in Orkney since 2013. IFGs are nonstatutory groups. They are essentially advisory groups, and although they may develop Fisheries Management Plans (FMPs), they have no legislative powers. OSF meetings are attended by fishermen, scientists from Heriot-Watt University and other stakeholders and may be attended by policy staff, scientists and compliance officers from Marine Scotland. In addition to the IFGs, there is an Inshore Fisheries Management and Conservation group (IFMAC) which consists of industry, government and environmental NGO representatives and is responsible for resolving issues and developing policies that are of national importance to the inshore sector of Scotland's fishing industry.

OSF has developed an Inshore Fisheries Management Plan (IFMP) under which the brown crab fishery operates (OSF, 2017). The IFMP was developed to "formulate local objectives that will contribute to the delivery of Marine Scotland's high level objectives and help to ensure that local inshore fisheries are well managed, sustainable and profitable". The key aim of the IFMP is to "secure the future of inshore fisheries in Orkney and maximise benefits to the local community – through protecting stocks by developing local management measures, and enhancing our scientific knowledge on which management decisions can be made. This will help to ensure that local governance is both transparent and accountable". The IFMP describes the characteristics of the area, the local environment, the inshore fisheries and other marine activities, an overview of local shellfish research and information on newly introduced management measures. Whilst the brown crab fishery operates under the IFMP, it is noticeable that the IFMP does not refer specifically to the brown crab fishery, indeed the IFMP does not even include any reference to the current MLS for brown crab of 140mm CW, or the decision to increase this MLS to 150mm CW from February 2018.

OFS also has had a Code of Practice for crab suppliers in place for ten years now which covers hygiene practices on vessels, ensuring only good condition crabs (i.e. hard-shelled, non-berried, legal-sized crabs without black



spot disease) are landed, good handling and storage processes and good environmental practices. In line with the Code of Practice, a number of Orkney vessels are also piloting the SEAFISH Responsible Fishing Scheme.

There are two possible methods for introducing legislation in Orkney – either national legislation through Marine Scotland, although it can be geographically delineated or through applying for a Regulating Order under the Sea Fisheries (Shellfish) Act 1967. As Orkney has not applied for a Regulating Order, the only method of introducing new legislation is therefore to work through Marine Scotland. If, for example, Orkney wished to introduce a closed season or creel limits, it would have to do so through the standard Marine Scotland process.

Regulations

Within Scotland a fishing vessel licence is required in order to fish commercially and to land the catch for profit. The basis for sea fisheries licensing is provided in The Sea Fish Licensing Order 1992, which is made under the Sea Fish (Conservation) Act 1967. The Scottish Government licenses Scottish fishing vessels registered under Section 8 of the Merchant Shipping Act 1995. Licences are spilt into two length categories – over 10m length and 10 metres and under. There is an overall cap on the larger vessels (>15m length) through EU restrictions on kilowatt days in ICES Subarea VI. Since 2004 vessels require both a license and a shellfish entitlement to fish commercially for brown crabs in Orkney waters. Across Scotland there are around 2000 licensed fishing vessels of which approximately 60% hold shellfish entitlements. There is a degree of restriction on overall fishing effort in Scottish waters for shellfish in that there is a limit on the number of vessels that hold a shellfish entitlement and these vessels could transfer to creel fishing. In principle any Scottish-registered vessel with a shellfish entitlement can legally target brown crabs in Orkney, but in practice there are currently no non-Orkney vessels actively fishing in Orkney waters.

Marine Scotland require licensed crab vessels to make catch returns. All vessels over 12m in length must have on board a Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) to record fishing position and must complete an electronic log book (ERS). As yet there is no requirement for the smaller vessels in the shellfish fleet to have VMS on board. Vessels of 10-12m length must complete EU paper log books. All vessels under 10m length must complete the Marine Scotland FISH1 landing returns. Of the current 105 registered creel vessels in Orkney, 81 are under 10m in length.

Licensed crab vessels with a shellfish entitlement are not limited by days at sea, creel numbers or by TACs. Licensed vessels which do not hold a shellfish entitlement may land a daily maximum of 25 crabs in total from the following four species - brown crab, velvet crab, spider crab and green crab. Under the Shellfish (Restrictions on Taking by Unlicensed Fishing Boats (Scotland) Order 2017, unlicensed hobby fishermen are allowed to land a daily total of 5 crabs of any species; for example they may land 3 brown crabs and 2 velvet crabs. There is no requirement for hobby fishermen to record their catches, but they are not permitted to sell anything they catch for profit. In some areas of Scotland, there is anecdotal evidence that there are significant landings of shellfish made by hobby fishermen, but the fishing industry in Orkney does not see such catches by hobby fishermen to be a significant problem (Client, pers. comm.). Trawlers fishing in Orkney waters are permitted a shellfish bycatch of 10% by weight of the total catch. In practice brown crabs are rarely caught on trawling grounds.

The smaller inshore vessels use 300-400 creels, larger vessels will use around 1000 creels, and the vivier vessels will use more than 1200 creels. For all vessels, there is no limit on the number of creels that can be fished. In the absence of creel limits and TACs, a key regulation in the brown crab fishery is the minimum landing size (MLS). Under EU 850/98 the MLS was set at 140 mm carapace width (CW). However following a consultation with the fishing industry and other stakeholders in 2016, the MLS will be increased in February 2018 to 150 mm CW across Scotland (except Shetland), although Orkney Fishermen's Society (OFS) have been applying a



limit of 153mm CW for females. Under the Sea Fisheries (Shellfish) Act 1967 there is a prohibition on the landing of egg-bearing (berried) females and soft-shelled crabs, although berried crabs are relatively inactive and therefore rarely enter creels (Howard, 1982) and soft-shelled crabs have no market value. There are no spatial or temporal controls on fishing effort, and no restrictions on creel design through limitations on size of creel or mesh size or any legislation requiring mandatory use of escape gaps or vents in creels, although recent research in Orkney has demonstrated the effectiveness of escape gaps in reducing the catch of undersized crabs (Rodrigues, 2015).

Review of harvest strategy

The key element of any review of the harvest strategy is through national or regional consultations by Marine Scotland on changes to the current management measures. For example, minimum landing size has recently been increased for brown crab following consultation. Fishery stakeholders were also recently consulted on the introduction of limits on creel numbers in order to restrict fishing effort, but following the consultation, Marine Scotland has not proposed any limit on creel numbers at this time. In 2017, Marine Scotland also ran a consultation exercise on the future of managing the inshore fisheries within 12miles, in particular the opportunity to devolve the control of entry and effort to local groups.

The Orkney Inshore FMP was reviewed and amended in January 2017. The harvest strategy including collection of information and data from the fishery has been reviewed annually though the Fishery Improvement Project (FIP) which commenced in 2013. A FIP Action plan was drawn up which highlighted the key weaknesses in relation to Principle 1 as (1) a lack of data on fishing effort, LPUE, fishing positions and data to inform the identification of stock structure, (2) an absence of biological reference points and (3) an absence of an explicit harvest control rule. These weaknesses were identified following a MSC pre-assessment undertaken in 2012 (Bell and Gascoigne, 2012). The FIP used the MSC Bench Marking Tool (BMT) to evaluate progress against the action plan (MSC, 2014), and the final output from the FIP concluded that whilst there had been major progress in filling data gaps on an ongoing basis, there was still a deficiency in relation to the harvest strategy and harvest control rules.

3.3.3. Information and data collection

A key element of data collection in the Orkney crab fishery is the mandatory recording of catch, fishing effort and fishing position for all vessels. At present there are 105 registered vessels of which approximately 80% make annual returns. For vessels over 12m in length, VMS is mandatory and therefore vessel position is recorded regularly whilst at sea. The larger vessels must also complete electronic logbooks. Vessels between 10m and 12m must complete paper EU logbooks which record a general fishing position (ICES rectangle), landings by day and fishing effort in terms of the number of creels hauled each day. Vessels under 10m must record their fishing activity on a daily basis on the FISH1 form under Marine Scotland regulations and the forms must be returned on a weekly basis. Until recently, the recording of fishing effort was not mandatory on the FISH1 forms and therefore there was no accurate estimate of the overall level of fishing effort in the brown crab fishery. The new FISH1 forms require the recording of the fishing position (latitude/longitude) at the start of the first haul and the ICES rectangle in which fishing took place, the total number of creels in the water, the number of creels hauled on each day and the weight of each species in kilograms (Appendix 2). The crustacean creel fishery in Orkney is a multi-species fishery, but the FISH1 form does not require recording of target species. There is scope for recording whether some crabs are discarded, but this is essentially a record of whether any undersized crabs below the minimum landing size were discarded. There is no requirement for the recording of quantitative information on discarded crabs. The data from the FISH1 forms is entered into the COMPASS database.

In addition to national requirements, OSF have conducted a logbook scheme since 2012. It is not mandatory, but 8 vessels regularly complete logbooks on a voluntary basis, which represents around 10% of crab vessels



which make annual returns. These logbooks are more informative than the records required under national legislation in that in addition to landings and the number of creels hauled, the target species, the soak time, percentage discarded and bycatch must be recorded (Appendix 2) (Coleman and Rodrigues, 2017b).

Around 20 of the smaller inshore vessels have been fitted with Succorfish VMS units which permits a sampling of the distribution of fishing activity within the inshore waters (Coleman and Rodrigues, 2017c). An example of the data returned from the Succourfish units is given in Figure 6. A more general analysis of fishing activity was produced in 2011 through the completion of a "Scotmap" following participation of 100% of Orkney inshore fishermen.



Figure 6. Indicatio of fishing activity patterns from a sample of vessels (22) fitted with the Succorfish device. Source: Coleman and Rodrigues, 2017c.

Marine Science Scotland (MSS) undertake regular market sampling of catches of brown crab. The size distribution of landings of brown crabs measured on the quayside by MSS has been supplemented since 2010 by data collected by OSF in coordination with MSS to ensure no overlap of sampling, and these data are an important input to the length-based assessment model used by MSS to determine whether the brown crab stock is over-exploited. A summary of the extensive market sampling programme carried out by OSF can be found in Rodrigues and Coleman (2017). MSS does not have a formal observer programme on shellfish vessels in Orkney, but regular observer trips by OSF and Heriot-Watt University staff on brown crab vessels monitor total catches on a creel-by-creel basis (Coleman and Rodrigues, 2017b). The OSF market sampling and observer programme, along with regional stock assessments, crab tagging studies and other research projects are key elements of the OSF Research Strategy for 2017-2020 (OSF, no date).



All commercially-registered crab vessels are covered by the Registration of Buyers and Sellers (RBS) legislation. Buyers must submit sales notes for all catches, which provides another source of information to corroborate landings.

There are no formal fisheries-independent stock surveys of the brown crab stock, but the LPUE data that are collected through the logbooks are complemented by the collection of a wide range of biological data from the observer programme. The observer programme carried out by OSF in Orkney covers 15% of the brown crab vessels. The programme has a target of 4 trips per month, and from 2014 to September 2017, a total of 131 trips had been undertaken in the fishery. Regular reports on the results of the observer programme are produced by OSF (e.g. Coleman and Rodrigues, 2016). Sampling on-board these commercial vessels provides information on the size structure of the crab stock, including undersized crabs, the sex ratio and the percentage berried within each size class, and on non-target species. The data are collected on a creel-by-creel basis, and during the course of the programme, catch information from over 30,000 creels has been recorded. The observer programme provides extensive coverage of all areas of the fishery (Figure 7) and provides detailed information on the total catch of brown crabs including those under the MLS (140mm CW now, but due to increase to 150mm CW in February 2018) that are not sampled through the market sampling programme (Figure 8). The observer programme also provides detailed information on bycatch and discards.



Figure 7. Spatial distribution of observer coverage from 2014 up to September 2017.





Figure 8. Size distribution of brown crab recorded during the observer sampling program. Source: OSF.

One of the key biological studies carried out recently in Orkney is a determination of the size-at-maturity as part of a major project within the UK and Ireland (Haig *et al.*, 2016). The study produced estimates of 91.2 mm and 97.4 mm CW for the size at 50% maturity for males and females respectively. These figures for size-at-maturity demonstrate that the current MLS of 140mm CW in Orkney (due to increase to 150mm CW in February 2018, and OFS apply a limit of 153mm CW for females) is significantly above the size at maturity and therefore female crabs may be able to spawn on multiple occasions before they become available to the fishery.

3.3.4. Stock assessment methodology

Marine Scotland Science undertake regular stock assessment for brown crab in Scottish waters, including Orkney (Marine Scotland Science, 2017). Whilst the stock division used by MSS includes the large vessels targeting offshore fishing areas in addition to the Orkney fishing grounds, this does not tie in perfectly with the UoC which is essentially a small vessel fishery inside the 12 mile limit, but including three larger vivier vessels which also fish outside the 12 mile limit. It is possible for vessels to fish within the Orkney stock area and land elsewhere, but all vessels must record the ICES rectangle in which the catch was made, so all relevant landings information should be allocated to the Orkney stock. Although brown crabs can be aged using neurolipofuscin-based techniques (Sheehy and Prior, 2008), crabs are not routinely aged and so conventional age-structured assessment models are not applicable. For the creel fisheries for brown crab, stock assessment by Marine Scotland Science has therefore been undertaken using length cohort analysis (LCA) (Jones, 1974), which uses length frequency data collected as part of the market sampling programme to estimate fishing mortality and provide a framework for evaluation of management measures. Input parameters to the model in the form of growth parameters, natural mortality rates and length-weight relationships are generic values rather than specific values estimated in the Orkney fishery. LCA results are presented in terms of yield perrecruit and biomass-per-recruit, and permit an estimation of Fmax, the fishing mortality rate that maximizes yield-per-recruit. As LCA is a 'per-recruit' model, it is not possible to directly estimate maximum sustainable yield (MSY) for these stocks and hence Fmax is used as a proxy for Fmsy. LCA can be used to predict changes in yield-per-recruit and biomass-per-recruit following changes in fishing effort or changes in the minimum



landing size, but the predictions are long-term and the method does not provide any indication of short-term stock dynamics or recruitment over-fishing.

There are some significant limitations on the LCA methodology. As noted above, the model is an equilibrium model requiring several years of length data as an input to the model, and provides no indication of short-term stock dynamics, in creel fisheries size distribution of catches are not necessarily representative of the population, and the predictions of the model are highly sensitive to assumptions about the natural mortality rate (M). It should be noted therefore that reference points generated from LCA (e.g. Fmax) are <u>not</u> used for management purposes, although they may be used to <u>inform</u> Marine Scotland managers about stock status and possible management action. Most importantly is the assumption of the LCA model that the fishery and the level of fishing effort are stable and for brown crab populations there is evidence that this is not the case. Therefore some of the assumptions of the model are not met and the outputs from the LCA should therefore be treated with caution.

The MSS stock assessments are peer reviewed internally before publication, but there is no formal external peer review process. OSF research is peer-reviewed by Heriot-Watt University, but as there are such close links between OSF and the University, these peer reviews should be considered as internal. The MSS assessments and OSF research are presented annually at the ICES Working Group on the Biology and Life History of Crabs (WGCRAB). Whilst presentation of work at WGCRAB provides some form of peer review from other crustacean scientists, this working group is not an assessment working group and no formal advice emanates from WGCRAB.

Until recently Marine Scotland had not collected reliable fishing effort statistics from the brown crab fishery, because the majority of vessels in the fleet are under 10m in length, and data collection from this sector of the fleet through FISH1 forms did not require the recording of fishing effort. The new FISH1 forms have mandatory recording of fishing effort. OSF have a voluntary log book scheme in place which covers approximately 10% of crab vessels which make annual returns, but this programme began only in 2012, so at present there is only a very short time series of data.

There are no formally-defined biologically-based reference points for the brown crab fishery, and therefore there are no conventional harvest control rules (HCRs) in place which specify what management action would be triggered when stock levels exceed reference points. Currently, there are no biomass reference points for the brown crab stock derived from analytical stock assessments, so there is no information on the stock levels at which recruitment would be likely to be impaired, and there is no assessment of the status of the stock in relation to Bmsy. Many other similar MSC-certified fisheries have developed reference points based on long term trends in landings per unit effort (LPUE) which is considered as an index of stock abundance. As noted above, no LPUE-based reference points are available for this fishery because of the short time series of LPUE data. Length compositions have been used in length cohort analysis (LCA) to provide an estimate of fishing mortality (F), which can be compared with an estimate of Fmax (a proxy for Fmsy), but there are significant doubts about using LCA to examine recent trends in F as a means of evaluating stock status, because LCA is an equilibrium model requiring the input of multiple years of data, and there are doubts about the reliability of using changes in length composition as an indicator of changes in exploitation rate in trap fisheries. The Client is in the process of developing new indicators of stock status, so in the meantime, the assessment team determined that it was more precautionary to use the RBF to score Performance Indicator 1.1.1.

The FIP Action Plan highlighted the lack of biological reference points and harvest control rules as two key weaknesses of the fishery in relation to the assessment of the fishery against Principle 1. At the site visit in September 2017, the assessment team were not provided with any evidence that any reference points or HCRs had been agreed for the brown crab fishery.



There are likely to be high discard rates in brown crab fisheries due to the regular capture of individuals under the MLS, soft recently-moulted crabs and any crabs that may have been broken during the capture process. There may also be some "high-grading" of smaller crabs in the larger offshore vessels. High-grading is the discarding of small, low value individuals and replacing them with larger, high-value individuals. This occurs primarily in fisheries where quotas are restrictive and fishers wish to ensure that small, low value individuals do not take up a significant proportion of the TAC. However as the brown crab fishery is not limited by quotas, it seems unlikely that high-grading will be occurring at a significant level in the fishery.

A study by OSF has estimated that overall mortality of discarded brown crab was 7.3% (E. Rodrigues & M. Bell, unpublished), but this was considered to be an overestimate of mortality as the samples contained an unrepresentative proportion of damaged crabs (E. Rodrigues, pers. comm. at site visit). Although sub-legal individuals are a frequent component of the total catch in creels (Figure 8), the results of this study suggest that even at periods of high discarding in the fishery, the discarding process has little impact on stock abundance.

3.3.5. Orkney brown crab stock status

LPUE has remained relatively stable since data collection by OSF commenced in 2012 (Figure 9). OSF have not therefore developed limit and target reference points based on LPUE as has been the case for other MSC certified crustacean fisheries, because there is only a short time trend of LPUE data with little obvious trend, so that selecting an appropriate LRP or TRP from these data is not possible (Figure 9).

The most recent Marine Scotland Science assessment for brown crab within Orkney waters concluded that the current level of fishing mortality (for the period 2013-2015) was 0.77 and 0.45 for males and females respectively, and these estimates are significantly above the Fmax (a proxy for Fmsy) values of 0.33 and 0.36 for males and females respectively (Mesquita *et al.*, 2017). The assessment concluded that both the male and female components of the stock were growth-overfished (Figure 10), but there is no evidence of recruitment overfishing in the Orkney stock (Marine Scotland Science, 2017). The yield-per-recruit-curves generated from the LCA for males and females are both relatively flat-topped (Figure 11), and therefore the values of Fmax are likely to be sensitive to parameter input. The detailed assessment document (Mesquita *et al.*, 2017) discusses uncertainties underlying the assessment of brown crab stocks, and the Marine Scotland Science assessment document warns therefore that all Fmsy proxy values remain preliminary and may be modified following further data exploration and analysis (Marine Scotland Science, 2017).







		F (Fishing Mortality)						F (Fishir	ng Mort	tallty)	
		2006- 2008	2009 - 2012	20	13- 2015			2006- 2008	2009 - 2012	20	13- 2015
Ciyde	Males	8	0	•	Unknown	East Coast	Males	0	3	•	Above F _{MSY}
	Females	0	0	•	Unknown		Females	0	3	•	Above F _{MSY}
Habridan	Males	Θ	0	0	At F _{MSY}	Mailalg	Males	0	0	0	Unknown
Hebrides	Females	0	•	•	Above F _{MSY}		Females	0	0	0	Unknown
North	Males	0	0	8	Above F _{MSY}	Orkney	Males	\odot	8	8	Above F _{MSY}
Coast	Females	0	0	•	Above F _{MSY}		Females	ω	8	8	Above F _{MSY}
Рара	Males	0	0	ø	Below F _{MSY}	South East	Males	ω	0	8	Above F _{MSY}
	Females	0	0	0	At F _{MSY}		Females	0	8	•	Above F _{MSY}
Shetland	Males	0	0	8	Above F _{MSY}	South Minch	Males	0	3	•	Above F _{MSY}
	Females	0	0	0	Unknown		Females	0	8	•	Above F _{MSY}
Sulo	Males	0	0	•	Above F _{MSY}	Ullapool	Males	0	0	0	Unknown
SOLE	Females	0	3	8	Above F _{MSY}		Females	0	0	0	Unknown

Figure 10. Brown crab stock status (blue rectangle for Orkney Islands): relationship between F and Fmsy for 2006-2008, 2009-2012 and 2013-2015. Source: Marine Scotland Science 2017.



Figure 11. Yield and biomasse-per-recruit curves for Orkney brown crab (males on left panel and females on right panel). X-axis is percentage change in effort and y-axis is percentage change in biomass or yield per recruit. The horizontal line represents no change in biomass or yield-per-recruit and the curves cross the horizontal line when there is no change in effort. Source: Mesquita *et al.*, 2017.

OSF question the conclusions drawn from the MSS assessment of stock status of brown crabs in Orkney. Firstly OSF believe that the stock structure is more complex than a single large stock, but currently the links between offshore and inshore areas are not fully understood. Secondly, as noted above, the MSS assessment produces a very flat-topped yield-per-recruit curve, such that the position of Fmax is highly sensitive to input parameters. The MSS assessment uses a natural mortality rate (M) of 0.1 as a default value for all areas,



whereas more recent work by OSF in Orkney suggests a value for M of about 0.25. The MSS assessment methodology produces an estimate of fishing mortality (F) based upon an analysis of size distribution data. Estimates based on size distribution from the period 2013-2015 were 0.77 and 0.45 for males and females respectively. Independent estimates of fishing mortality in Orkney presented during the site visit (M. Bell, Heriot-Watt University, pers. comm.) suggest a value of F of around 0.25 to 0.5. With these new estimated levels of F and M for Orkney, yield-per-recruit curves are very different from those presented in the MSS report and conclude that stock status is "green" as opposed to "red" (ref. Figure 10), i.e. F is below Fmsy.

During and after the site visit, the assessment team was presented with some new approaches to assessing stock status of brown crab by Dr. Mike Bell of Heriot-Watt University, Stromness. The study aimed to evaluate whether the current (140mm CW), new (150mm CW) and operational (153mm CW applied by OFS) MLS, combined with high survival rates of discarded undersized crabs, provide significant protection of spawning potential in the brown crab stock in Orkney.

The study explored the relationship between relative spawning potential and fishing pressure and exploitation pattern using standard egg-per-recruit analyses based on the three values of MLS described above. The egg-per-recruit model was run as a stochastic simulation, addressing risk and uncertainty in the outcomes, and considered uncertainty in growth, natural mortality, fecundity, size at maturity and discard mortality. A Monte Carlo approach was used, with 1,000 simulations sampling values of the parameters from their probability distributions (M.C. Bell, unpublished). The method of McCoy and Gillooly (2008) using constraints of body size and temperature on individual metabolism to provide quantitative predictions of the natural mortality rate (M) was used to provide an estimate of natural mortality rate for *Cancer pagurus* of 0.25, which appeared to be consistent with temperature-adjusted estimates for Shetland brown crab stocks (Tallack, 2002) using catch curve analysis, but significantly higher than the value of 0.1 used in MSS stock assessments. Values estimated for other exploited decapod crustacean species (e.g. snow crabs, blue crabs) are consistent with M=0.25 for brown crab being at the lower end of the expected range of variation, and therefore this estimate is considered to be precautionarily low. Based on this new estimate of M, both males and females will take 2 to 3 years to grow from the size at first maturity to becoming vulnerable to the fishery at the new MLS of 150mm CW.

Egg per recruit curves based on this model are shown in Figure 12. The current value of fishing mortality can be calculated from an estimate of total mortality (Z) of 0.6 estimated from length-converted catch curve analysis of observer samples. With an estimated value of M of 0.25, F can be calculated as Z – M = 0.35, which based on uncertainty in M, gives a 95% confidence interval of F = 0.32 to 0.38. Figure 12 shows that for all values of MLS, current values of F would estimate an egg-per-recruit (EPR) of between 40% and 60% of virgin EPR. Although there are no existing reference points for the Orkney brown crab fishery, Cefas (2014) used F35% and F15% (respectively F at 35% and 15% of unexploited spawning potential) as indicative target and limit reference points in assessments of brown crab stocks in England & Wales. Figure 13 estimates that current values of F are significantly below those reference values, and Figure 13 shows the risk of these thresholds being breached at different levels of fishing effort. There is effectively zero probability of EPR falling below 15% of the unexploited (virgin) level at current levels of fishing effort, and that the risk of EPR falling below 35% is also very low (Table 1), and at the higher level (2 x current fishing mortality) there is appreciable probability that EPR would be below the notional target of 35%, but it is worth noting that at the new MLS of 150 mm CW, there is increased protection of spawning potential.





Figure 12. Egg per recruit curves for Orkney brown crab at various values of MLS. Curves are median and percentiles from distribution of simulated values. Dashed horizontal lines indicate potential thresholds at 15% virgin EPR (red) and 35% virgin EPR (green). Vertical lines indicate approximate fishing mortality at current fishing effort. Source: M. Bell, unpublished





Figure 13. Risk of eggs per recruit falling below thresholds of 15% or 35%, for Orkney brown crab at various values of MLS. Risk is evaluated as the percentage of 1,000 simulations that yielded an EPR value lower than the threshold. Vertical lines indicate approximate fishing mortality at current fishing effort. Source: M. Bell, unpublished.



Table 2. Orkney brown crab. Risk of eggs per recruit being below threshold values at current and twice current levels of fishing effort. This is evaluated as the percentage of simulations giving EPR values less than the threshold. Source: M. Bell, unpublished.

Threshold	MLS (mm CW)	Fishing effort	Risk (%)
	140	Current	0
	140	2 x Current	0
15% virgin EDD	150	Current	0
15% Virgin EPK	150	2 x Current	0
	152	Current	0
	155	2 x Current	0
	140	Current	2.3
	140	2 x Current	67.8
25% virgin EDD	150	Current	0.3
55% virgin EPK	150	2 x Current	38.6
	152	Current	0.1
	132	2 x Current	31.0

This new study concludes that both the current and future MLS should provide significant protection to spawning potential even at increased levels of fishing mortality (fishing effort), and therefore along with the high survival of discarded undersized crabs which has been demonstrated for the Orkney creel fishery, the MLS can be considered to be an effective harvest control rule (Bell, unpublished). It should be emphasised that this new study is not yet published and has not undergone any formal peer-review process.

3.3.6. Management of the fishery in relation to the brown crab stock status

The most recent stock assessment in Orkney carried out by MSS indicated that current F was above Fmax, and that a higher yield and biomass per recruit in the long term could potentially be obtained by reducing the level of fishing mortality (effort), although as discussed above, OSF do not believe that this represents an accurate assessment of current stock status. Whilst MSS undertake regular assessments of the status of the brown crab stock in Orkney, there is no formal annual process by which the stock assessments are translated into management advice to Marine Scotland in Edinburgh and hence potential management action. Additional stock assessment and research work undertaken by OSF and Heriot-Watt University will feed back to OSF as the IFG in Orkney. Whilst such scientific advice may trigger OSF to consider additional management measures, as discussed previously, OSF has no formal legislative power, and therefore any new management measures proposed by OSF must be taken up and progressed by Marine Scotland. There have been various consultation exercises on changes to the management measures in the shellfish fisheries instigated by Marine Scotland. Whilst a recent increase in the MLS for brown crab is due to take effect across Scotland (except Shetland) in February 2018 following a nationwide consultation, other consultation exercises, such as that on a limit on creel numbers, did not result in any change in regulations. It is not clear therefore whether there is an appropriate mechanism in place to allow Marine Scotland to act quickly to introduce management measures if brown crab stock status in Orkney declined rapidly.

3.3.7. Other fishery removals

In addition to the creel fishery for brown crabs caught and landed in Orkney, there is relatively good information on all other fishery removals from the brown crab stock. Marine Scotland Compliance confirmed that there were no crabs caught in Orkney waters and landed elsewhere within Scotland. There may be some by-catch in trawls, which will be recorded on sales notes, but there are no inshore beam trawlers and so any



bycatch is from the offshore waters, and therefore this bycatch is believed to be very small (Marine Scotland Compliance, and Client, pers. comm.) although it may not be recorded if it is less than the minimum catch requirement for recording on logbooks. There is also a small bycatch by two scallop dredgers, but again this catch is recorded through the sales notes. As discussed previously, there is a daily limit of 5 crabs for hobby fishermen, but it is not mandatory for hobby fishermen to record their catches. There are no formal estimates of the number of hobby fishermen or their landings, but OSF considered that there was very little hobby fishing taking place in Orkney waters.


3.4. Principle Two: Ecosystem Background

This section focuses on the ecosystem the brown crab fishery depends on and the environmental impacts of brown crab creel fishing. The team assesses the impact of the fishery on the following components: primary species, secondary species, endangered, threatened and protected (ETP) species, habitats and ecosystem.

MSC FCR v.2.0 states that:

Principle 2

Fishing operations should allow for the maintenance of the structure, productivity, function and diversity of the ecosystem (including habitat and associated depedent and ecologically related species) on which the fishery depends.

3.4.1. Primary and secondary species

According to MSC FCR v.2.0, primary and secondary species are non-target species that are not ETP species. Table 3 gives the definition of these two components bearing in mind that primary and secondary species can be either retained or discarded or species used as bait.

Table 3. Definition of Primary and Secondary Species according to MCS Guidance for FCR, 2014.

Primary Species	Secondary Species		
 In scope species, e.g. fish and shellfish 	• Fish and shellfish, and out of scope species		
Managed with tools controlling exploitation	(birds, reptiles, amphibians and mammals) that		
Reference points are in place	are not ETP species		
Analytical or empirical derived stock	 Not managed according to reference points 		
assessment in place	• No analytical or empirical derived stock		
	assessment in place		

The team team determines which species are considered as main and which are considered as minor according to the MCS FCR SA3.4.2. A species is considered as main if:

- The catch of a species comprises 5% or more by weight of the total catch of all species; or
- The species is classified as less resilient and the catch of the species comprises 2% or more by weight of the total catch of all species.

In addition any secondary species caught at very low level, $\leq 2\%$, each year of the Orkney Shellfish Research Project (OSRP), is considered as negligible, and as such is not considered further in the assessment.

In the case of very large fisheries with exceptionally large catches, the assessment team shall still classify species that do not meet the threshold of 5% and 2% as main. It is not the case for the Orkney brown crab creel fishery which total catches cannot be considered as exceptionally large.

3.4.1.1 Source of information used to determine the non-target species composition

Logbook programme

The logbook programme is one of the component of the Orkney Shellfish Research Project (OSRP) undertaken from 2013-2016.

A total of 8 creel vessels, representing 8% of registered creel fishing vessels in Orkney, participated within the ORSP voluntary programme, submitting daily effort and landings information (Coleman and Rodrigues 2017b). Landed catches include velvet crab, European lobster and green crab ; and a total of 14 discarded species were recorded among which 10 demersal fish species, 1 congridae and 2 crustaceans (Table 4).



The logbook programme is scheduled to continue until 2020 and the fishermen participation increases with 15% of creel vessels currently involved in the programme (OSF *pers. comm.*).

Table 4. Non-target species icatch n the Orkney brown crab creel fishery from the logbook programme for the
period 2013-2016. Source: Coleman and Rodrigues 2017b.

Species	Out of scope?	Managed?	% UoA Catch (average of 2013-2016)	Less resilient	Main/minor	Category
Velvet crab, Necora puber	No	No	19%	No	Main	Main secondary
European lobster, Homarus gammarus	No	No	4%	No	Minor	Minor secondary
Green crab, Carcinus maenas	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Lesser-spotted dogfish, Scyliorhinus canicula	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Atlantic cod, Gadus morhua	No	Yes	<1%	Yes	Minor	Minor primary
Wrasse, Labridae sp.	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Haddock, Melanogrammus aeglefinus	No	Yes	<1%	No	Minor	Minor primary
Conger eel, Conger conger	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Three bearded rockling, Gaidropsarus mediterraneus	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Wolffish, Anarhichas lupus	No	No	<1%	Yes	Minor	Negligible secondary
Curled octopus, Eledone cirrhosa	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Sea scorpion, Taurulus bubalis	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Comber, Serranus cabrilla	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Monkfish, Lophius piscatorius	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Ling, Molva molva	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Saithe, Pollachius virens	No	Yes	<1%	No	Minor	Minor primary

Observer programme

The observer is one of the component of the OSRP undertaken from 2013-2016, and is still running. This programme was carried out by the OSF marine scientist who went on-board creel fishing vessels to record catch composition. 131 trips were conducted onboard 16 different fishing vessels and 33,000 creels were observed over 2014-September 2017 (Coleman and Rodrigues 2017b and OSF *pers.comm.*). Figure 7 shows the spatial distribution of the observer coverage from 2014 up to September 2017.



Landed catches include European lobster, velvet crab and green crab; and a total of 42 discarded species were recorded among which 7 crustaceans, 7 invertebrate species, 1 elasmobranch, 25 demersal fish and 1 congridae (Table 5). All species recorded in the logbook programme were also observer by the marine scientists.

Table 5. Bycatch species in the Orkney brown crab creel fishery from the observer programme for the period2013-2016. Source: Coleman and Rodrigues 2017b.

Species	Out of scope?	Managed?	% UoA Catch (average of 2013-2016)	Less resilient	Main/minor	Category
Velvet crab, Necora puber	No	No	22%	No	Main	Main secondary
European lobster, Homarus gammarus	No	No	3%	No	Minor	Minor secondary
Green crab, Carcinus maenas	No	No	2%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Harbour crab, Liocarcinys depurator	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Common hermit crab, Pagurus bernhardus	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Majidae sp.	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Nephrops, Nephrops norvegicus	No	No (Noup stock, ICES 2016)	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Squat lobster, Munida rugosa	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Common starfis, Asterias rubens	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Sun star, Crossaster papposus	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Cushion starfish, Asterina gibbosa	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Seven armed starfish, Luidia ciliaris	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Whelk, Buccinum undatum	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Sea urchin, Echinus esculentis	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Lesser-spotted dogfish, Scyliorhinus canicula	No	No	<5%	No	Minor	Minor secondary
Atlantic cod, Gadus morhua	No	Yes	<2%	Yes	Minor	Minor primary
Ballen wrasse, Crenilabrus melops	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Goldsinny wrasse, Ctenolabrus rupestris	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Cuckoo wrasse, Labrus mixtus	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary



Species	Out of scope?	Managed?	% UoA Catch (average of 2013-2016)	Less resilient	Main/minor	Category
Haddock,						
Melanogrammus	No	Yes	<1%	No	Minor	Minor primary
aeglefinus						
Conger eel,	No	No	~10/	No	Minor	Negligible
Conger conger	INO	NO	<1%	NO	IVIIIIOI	secondary
Three bearded						Nogligiblo
rockling, Gaidropsarus	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	secondary
mediterraneus						secondary
Wolffish,	No	No	<1%	Ves	Minor	Negligible
Anarhichas lupus	NO	110	(170	103	IVIIIIOI	secondary
Curled octopus,	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible
Eledone cirrhosa			170			secondary
Sea scorpion,	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible
Taurulus bubalis						secondary
Comber,	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible
Serranus cabrilla						secondary
Monkfish,	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible
Lophius piscatorius	_	-		-	-	secondary
Ling,	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible
Molva molva		-			_	secondary
Saithe,	No	Yes	<1%	No	Minor	Minor primary
Pollachius virens						N
lad pole fish,	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible
Runiceps runinus						Nogligible
Rock cook,	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	secondary
Butter fish						Negligible
Pholis gunnellus	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	secondary
Pouting						Negligible
Trisonterus luscus	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	secondary
Poor cod						Negligible
Trisonteurs minutus	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	secondary
Blue whiting						secondary
Merlanaius merlanaus	No	Yes	<1%	No	Minor	Minor primary
Red gunnar.						
Chelidonichthys	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible
cuculus						secondary
Tusk,			10/			Negligible
Brosme brosme	NO	NO	<1%	Yes	Minor	secondary
Dab,	N/-	N -	-10/	N-	N Alice e re	Negligible
Limanda limanda	INO	INO	<1%	INO	iviinor	secondary
Flounder,	Ne	Na	~10/	Ne	Minor	Negligible
Platichthys flesus	INO	INO	<1%	INO	iviinor	secondary
European plaice,	No	No	~10/	No	Minor	Negligible
Pleuronectes platessa	INO	INO	<1%	INU	IVIITIO	secondary



Species	Out of scope?	Managed?	% UoA Catch (average of 2013-2016)	Less resilient	Main/minor	Category
Topknot, Zeugopterus punctatus	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Lemon sole, Microstomus kitt	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Flatfish sp.	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary

Species used as bait

Bait are supplied by OSF and purchased to Enterfoods in Fraserburgh Scotland, all fish are caught and landed in Scotland by licenced and registered vessels. OSF provided the quantity of bait purchased for 2015-2016 and 2016-2017.

Species used as bait are herring, haddock, saithe, whiting, gurnard (only for 2015-2016), horse mackerel and cod (only for 2016-2017) (Table 6). In addition, ling and wrasses bycaught in creels could be used by some fishermen as complementary bait.

In 2015-2016, a total of 378t of bait was used among which 95t of herring, 24t of haddock, 149t of saithe, 43t of whiting, 12t of gunards and 55t of horse mackerel. In 2016-2017, a total of 405t of bait was used among which 45t of herring, 47t of haddock, 179t of saithe, 37t of whiting, 16t of cod, and 81t of horse mackerel.

Species	Out of scope?	Managed?	% UoA Catch	Less resilient	Main/minor	Category
Herring Clupea harengus	No	Yes	Close to 5%	No	Main	Main primary
Haddock frames Melanogrammus aeglefinus	No	Yes	Close to 2%	No	Minor	Minor primary
Saithe frames Pollachius virens	No	Yes	>5%	No	Main	Main primary
Whiting frames Merlangius merlangus	No	Yes	2%	No	Minor	Minor primary
Gurnards sp.	No	No	<1%	No	Minor	Negligible secondary
Horse mackerel Trachurus trachurus	No	No	<5%	No	Minor	Minor secondary
Cod frames Gadus morhua	No	Yes	1%	Yes	Minor	Minor primary

Table 6. Species used as bait. An average between 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 was calculated. Source: OSF.

3.4.1.2 Main and minor primary and secondary species for the Orkney brown crab fishery

The assessment team determines which species are main and minor primary and secondary species (Table 7) based on the information presented in the previous section.

Please note that negligible secondary species are not considered further in the assessment.



Table 7. Main and minor primary and secondary species for the OSF Orkney brown crab creel fishery. Stock is specified and stock status is given.

Species	Stock	Category	Stock status	Reference
Herring (bait)	North Sea,	Main primary	SSB>MSY B _{trigger} and	ICES 2017a,
Clupea harengus	Skagerrak,		F <f<sub>MSY</f<sub>	Marine Scotland
	Kattegat and			Science 2017
	Eastern English			
	channel (IV, Illa			
	and VIId)			
Saithe (bait)	North Sea, Rockall	Main primary	SSB>MSY B _{trigger} and	ICES 2017 c,
Pollachius virens	and West of		F <f<sub>MSY</f<sub>	Marine Scotland
	Scotland,			Science 2017
	Skagerrak and			
	Kattegat (Subareas			
	IV and VI and			
	division IIIa)			
Cod (bait and non-	North Sea, eastern	Minor	SSB>B _{lim} and F <f<sub>lim</f<sub>	ICES 2017b,
target species)	English channel,	primary		Marine Scotland
Gadus morhua	Skagerrat (IV, VIId,			Science 2017
) 			
Haddock (bait and	Rockall (VIb)	Minor	Rockall: SSB>MSY B _{trigger}	ICES 2017d, ICES
non-target species)	North Sea, West of	primary	and F <f<sub>MSY</f<sub>	2017e, Marine
Melanogrammus	Scotland, Skagerrat		North Sea, West of	Scotland Science
aeglefinus	(IV, VIa, II)		Scotland, Skagerrat:	2017
			SSB>IVISY B _{trigger} ,	
				1050 20170
whiting (balt and	North Sea and	IVIInor	SSB>IVISY B _{trigger} ,	ICES 20177,
non-target species)	eastern English	primary	Flim>F>FMSY	Marine Scotland
meriangus				Science 2017
Volot crab (non	Vilu) Orknov Islands	Main	Accord using DDE	Marina Scotland
target chap (11011-	Orkney Islands	iviairi socondaru	Assessed using KBF, see	Science 2017
Necora puber		Secondary	Appendix 1.2	Science 2017
Horso mackarol	Skagorrak and	Minor	Abundance at low lovel	
(bait)	Kattegat southern	secondary	but some signs of	ICES 2017g
Trachurus	and central North	Secondary	recovery are observed	
trachurus	Sea eastern		recovery are observed.	
tracharas	English channel			
	(IIIa, IVb-c, VIId)			
European Jobster	Orkney Islands	Minor	Assessed using RBF, see	Marine Scotland
(non-target species)		secondary	Appendix 1.2	Science 2017
Homarus		,		
aammarus				
Lesser-spotted	Celtic Seas (VII)	Minor	Long-term increase of the	ICES 2017h
dogfish (non-target		secondarv	stock size indicator.	
species),		,		
Scyliorhinus				
canicula				



Herring in North Sea, Skagerrak, Kattegat and Eastern English channel (ICES 2017a, Marine Scotland Science 2017)

SSB is well above both B_{lim} and MSY $B_{trigger}$ (Figure 14). ICES classifies the stock as being at full reproductive capacity and a being harvested sustainable below F_{MSY} snce 1996.



Figure 14. Fishing mortality and Spawning Stock Biomass for herring in North Sea, Skagerrak, Kattegat and Eastern English channel. Shaded areas indicate point-wise 95% confidence intervals. Source: ICES 2017a.

<u>Saithe in North Sea, Rockall and West of Scotland, Skagerrak and Kattegat</u> (ICES 2017c, Marine Scotland Science 2017)

SSB has fluctuated without trend and has been above MSY $B_{trigger}$ in the last 10 years (Figure 15). F has been below F_{lim} since 1996 and below F_{MSY} since 2012. ICES classifies the stock as being at full reproductive capacity.



Figure 15. Fishing mortality and Spawning Stock Biomass for saithe in North Sea, Rockall and West of Scotland, Skagerrak and Kattegat. Shaded areas indicate point-wise 95% confidence intervals. Source: ICES 2017c.

<u>Cod in North Sea, eastern English channel, Skagerrat (ICES 2017b, Marine Scotland Science 2017)</u>

Although F has declined since 2000, it is estimated to be currently above F_{MSY} but below F_{lim} (Figure 16). SSB has increased from the historical low level in 2006 to be currently above B_{lim} and slightly below MSY $B_{trigger}$. There are also indications of increased recruitment in 2017. ICES classifies the sotck as being at full reproductive capacity.





Figure 16. Fishing mortality and Spawning Stock Biomass for cod in North Sea, eastern English channel and Skagerrat. Shaded areas indicate point-wise 95% confidence intervals. Source: ICES 2017b.

Haddock in Rockall, North Sea, West of Scotland, Skagerrat (ICES 2017d, ICES 2017e, Marine Scotland Science 2017)

In Rockall, haddock SSB has increased from the lowest observed in 2014 and is currently estimated to be above MSY $B_{trigger}$ and F is currently below F_{MSY} (Figure 17). Recruitment was weak during the period 2008-2012 but has improved since with a 2017 recruitment estimated to be high.

In North Sea, West Scotland and Skagerrat, haddock SSB has been mostly above MSY $B_{trigger}$ since 2002, and F is currently below F_{lim} but above F_{MSY} (Figure 18).





Figure 18. Fishing mortality and Spawning Stock Biomass for haddock in North Sea, West of Scotland, and Skagerrat. Shaded areas indicate point-wise 95% confidence intervals. Source: ICES 2017e.

Whiting in North Sea and eastern English Channel (ICES 2017f, Marine Scotland Science 2017)

SSB has fluctuated around, and is currently above MSY $B_{trigger}$, and and F is currently below F_{lim} but above F_{MSY} (Figure 19). ICES classifies the stock as being at full reproductive capacity.





Figure 19. Fishing mortality and Spawning Stock Biomass for whiting in North Sea and eastern English Channel. Source: ICES 2017f.

Horse mackerel in Skagerrak and Kattegat, southern and central North Sea, eastern English channel (ICES 2017g)

The combined Channel Groundfish Survey (CGFS)-North Sea International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS) index indicates that the horse mackerel stock continues to be at a low level although some signs of recovery are observed (Figure 20).



Figure 20. Index of abundance of horse mackerel > 20 cm length in Skagerrak and Kattegat, southern and central North Sea, eastern English channel. Data obtained from a combination of the IBTS and CGFS. Shaded area indicates point-wise 95% confidence intervals. Source: ICES 2017g.

Lesser-spotted dogfish in Celtic Seas (ICES 2017h)

Biomass indices derived from four surveys (EVHOE-WIBTS-Q4, IGFS-WIBTS-Q4, SpPGFS-WIBTS-Q4 and UK(E&W)-BTS-Q3) were used to provide an overall stock size indicator. The stock size indicator has increased over the time series (Figure 21).





Figure 21. Stock size indicator of lesser-spotted dogfish in Celtic Seas relative to the time-series mean (dotted red lines show the mean stock indocators for 2015-2016 and 2010-2014). Source: ICES 2017h.

3.4.1.3 Conclusion

Orkney Islands creelers predominately catch and land brown crab (approximately 75%-80%) and velvet crab (approximately 15%-20%), with smaller quantities of lobster (less than 5%) and negligible quantities of green crab (\leq 1%) (Figure 22).



Figure 22. Monthly total catch composition per commercial important crustacean species reported by the logbook fleet for the period 2013-2016. Source: Coleman and Rodrigues 2017b.



The OSF Inshore Fisheries Management Plan (Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd, 2017) set out the management measures for shellfish species. In 2016, management measures for velvet crab, lobster and green crab were:

- For velvet crab, a minimum landing size (MLS) of 70 mm and the prohibition to land berried females;
- For lobster, a MLS of 88 mm (moving to 90 mm one year thereafter); and
- For green crab, a MLS of 70 mm.

Bait are mostly supplied from healthy stocks with management strategy in place.

Brown crab creels are highly selective with a low bycatch level. Creels are not designed to catch fish and it is expected that post-release mortality of fish and non-fish species may be low as they are catch usually alive, with no injuries and low capture-related stress (Taggart et al 1995, Nøstvik et al 1999).

Although escapement vents are not mandatory, at least 10% of fishermen equip their creels with escapement vents on a voluntary basis to reduce catch of smaller crabs and non-taret species (OSF, *per.comm*.).

3.4.2. Endangered, Threatened and Protected (ETP) species

According to MSC FCR v.2.0, ETP species are species recognized by national ETP legislation and/or listed in binding international agreements listed in SA3.1.5.2. Binding in this context refers to the agreement being binding on the parties to the agreement and does not require the state in whose waters the fishery takes place to be a signatory to the agreement for it to be applicable. Also ETP species are species classified as out-of-scope (amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals) that are listed in the UICN Red List as vulnerable, endangered or critically endangered.

Table 8 below lists all ETP species that are found in Scotland waters and may potentially overlap with the Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery.

Group	Species	National Legislation	Status
Dolphin	Bottlenose dolphin, Tursiops truncatus	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species (Annex IV of the European Habitats Directive),
	Harbour Porpoise, Phocoena phocoena	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species (Annex IV of the European Habitats Directive), ASCOBANS
	Humpback whale, Megaptera novaeangliae	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species (Annex IV of the European Habitats Directive), listed in CITES Appendix I
Whale	Minke whale, Baleanoptera acutorostrata	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species (Annex IV of the European Habitats Directive), listed in CITES Appendix I
	Fin whale, Balaenoptera physalus	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species (Annex IV of the European Habitats Directive), listed in CITES Appendix I, listed in IUCN Red List as endangered

Table 8. ETP species in Scotland waters that may overlap with the Orkney brown crab creel fishery. Source:Scottish Natural Heritage, CITES, IUCN Red List.



			5 <u>5</u>
	North Atlantic right whale,	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species
	Eubalaena glacialis		(Annex IV of the European
			Habitats Directive), listed in
			CITES Appendix I, listed in
			IUCN Red List as endangered
	Grey seal,	Conservation Regulation 1994,	Protected
	Halichoerus grypsus	Marine (Scotland) Act 2010,	
		The Protection of Seals Order	
Seel		2014	
Sear	Harbour seal,	Conservation Regulation 1994,	Protected
Phoca vitulina		Marine (Scotland) Act 2010,	
		The Protection of Seals Order	
		2014	
Sea turtle	Leatherback turtle,	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species
	Dermochelis coriacea		(Annex IV of the European
			Habitats Directive), listed in
			CITES Appendix, listed in
			IUCN Red List as vulnerable
Shark	Basking shark,	Wildlife and Countryside Act	Protected
	Cetorhinus maximus	1981	
Otter	Otter,	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species
	Lutra lutra		(Annex IV of the European
			Habitats Directive), listed in
			CITES Appendix I

It is an offence to intentionally or recklessly kill, injure, take or disturb or harass marine mammals, sea turtles and basking sharks.

Whale species and bottlenose dolphin are under a grouped UK Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP); the harbour porpoise, basking shark have their own UK BAP, and sea turtles are under a grouped UK BAP¹. However, the work previously carried out by the UK BAP is now focussed at the country-level rather than UK-level, and the UK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework published in July 2012 has succeeded the UK BAP.

3.4.2.1 Interactions with whales and dolphins

Whales can potentially get entangled in creels buoy lines. The species that are most likely to be encountered in Orkney Islands waters are minke whale and in a lesser extend humpback whale, fin whale and North Atlantic right whale being rare to absent in Orkney waters.

Northridge et al (2010) investigated the occurrence of entanglement of minke whale in Scottish waters. The overlap between the relative creel fishing density and aggregated minke whale sighting in the same ICES rectangles has been analysed to determine a relative risk level of entanglement around Scotland. The area with the higher risk of minke whale entanglement is central Hebrides (West Scotland), and Orkney Islands may have also a relative elevated risk of minke whale entanglement. Overall, Northridge et al (2010) concludes that it cannot be said that entanglements of minke (or orther) whales in Scottish waters represent a serious threat for conservation. However it should receive continued attention because of the protected status of whale species.

¹ <u>http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-5167</u>





Figure 23. Relative risk entanglement. Source: Northridge et al (2010).

Ryan et al (2016) investigated the entanglement of humpback whale in Scottish waters by analysing the temporal and spatial distribution of humpback whale sightings and entanglements collected from different data base and a marine mammal survey (only in Hebrides). Scottish waters are not currently a key habitats for humpback whale, they occur in very low abundance and there is a small number of observations. From 1992-2016, there were 3 sightings of humpback whale and 2 entanglements observed in creel gear in Orkney Islands. The authors estimated the entanglement risk and considered that the risk of entanglement in creel fisheries is high. The study concludes that there would be a concern for the recovery of humpback whale populations if the species would increasingly inhabit Scottish waters.

Gillnets, driftnets and trammelnets account for the majority of harbour porpoise and bottlenose dolphin bycatch in UK waters (Sewell and Hiscock 2005). There are reports of harbour porpoise being entangled in creel ropes but the number are not though to be significant (Sewell and Hiscock 2005).

No concern has been raised regarding incidental capture of dolphins by brown crab creels in Orkney during meetings with fishermen, management agencies and nature conservation organisations.

The assessment team has been provided with entanglements data by the Whale and Dolphin Conservation which obtained them from the Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme (SMASS). Since 2010, SMASS has had 2 records of entanglement of whale in Orkney: two minke whale (Thurso, Holm). However, it has not been confirmed which fishing gear was involved in these entanglements.

3.4.2.2 Interactions with otters

Otters are known to be attracted by fish and crustaceans which are used as bait or caught in creels, and a survey of drowned otters in lobster creels off the Uists (Hebrides) showed that the majority drowned while foraging in depth of 2-5 m (Sewell and Hiscock 2005). Futhermore, crab creels were considered not to pose such a threat as the gear was usually set on sandy seabed in deeper water.

No concern has been raised regarding incidental capture of otters by brown crab creels in Orkney during meetings with fishermen, management agencies and nature conservation organisations.



3.4.2.3 Interactions with seals

Seal bycatch is often associated with static gears, and incidental catch of grey seals and harbour seals in gillnets has been widely reported (Sewell and Hiscock 2005).

The assessment team has been provided with interaction data by the Whale and Dolphin Conservation which obtained them from the SMASS. Since 2010, SMASS has had 3 records of incidental catch of seals in Orkney: one grey seal (Billia Croo near Stromness) and two harbour seals (Wideweall Bay, South Ronaldsay). However, it has not been confirmed which fising gear was involved in these entanglements.

No concern has been raised regarding incidental capture of seals by brown crab creels in Orkney during meetings with fishermen, management agencies and nature conservation organisations.

3.4.2.4 Interactions with sea turtles

The leatherback turtke is the only sea turtle considered to have a regular and normal occurrence in UK waters (Pierpoint 2000; Sewell and Hiscock 2005). In the last 20 years, the most significant incidental catch of leatherback turtles in UK waters has been by inshore pot fisheries (whelk and crustaceans) and pelagic drift nets (Pierpoint 2000; Sewell and Hiscock 2005). The leatherback turtle sightings indicate a higher occurrence in west of Eire, northwest of Scotland, the Irish Sea and English Channel.

No concern has been raised regarding incidental capture of leatherback turtle by brown crab creels in Orkney during meetings with fibsermen, management agencies and nature conservation organisations.

3.4.2.5 Interactions with basking sharks

No concern has been raised regarding incidental capture of basking sharks by brown crab creels in Orkney during meetings with fishermen, management agencies and nature conservation organisations. None of the stakeholder met were aware of any entanglement of basking shark in Orkney Islands.

3.4.2.6 Conclusion

Based on the information provided below, the assessment team concludes that there are no interactions with leatherback turtles, basking shark, otter and dolphins. Interactions with seals are not rare and although some whale entanglements may be unreported, interactions with whales seem to be low enought not to represent serious threat for their conservation and recovery.

OSF has developed a Code of Practices for Crab Suppliers (a copy has been provided to the assessment team). This Code of Practices include a section related to the record and report of any accidental capture of ETP species in a ETP species logbook. Also is included the notice of the British Divers Marine Life Rescue (BDMLR) - Large Whale Entanglement Awareness that explains and describes what to do in the event of a entanglement of whale in fishing gear.

In April 2017, the Scottish Creel Fishermen's Federation (SCFF) published a booklet "Reducing the risk of entanglement in creel ropes fro marine animals" produced in collaboration with the BDMLR, Scottish Natural Heritage, Whale and Dolphin Conservation, SMASS and the Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust²

3.4.3. Habitats

3.4.3.1 Benthic habitats distribution

Benthic habitats around Orkney Islands have been mapped and benthic habitats mapping is available through the European Marine Observation and Data Network (EMODnet) and Joint Nature Conservation Committee

² <u>http://www.scottishcreelfishermensfederation.co.uk/entanglement.htm</u>



(JNCC) (Figure 24). Benthic habitats are dominated by inshore rock and biogenic reef and coarse sediment and offshore sand and coarse sediment.



Figure 24. Orkney Islands benthic habitats mapping. Source: EMODnet, European Marine Observation and Data Network – Map copyright JNCC.

Priority Marine Habitats in Scotland waters correspond to the definition of Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems (VMEs) and are: mussel and native oyster beds, seapens and burrowing megafauna in circalittoral fine mud, cold-water coral reefs, deep-sea sponge aggregations, kelp beds, seagrass beds, maerl beds, offshore deep sea muds, offshore subtidal sands and gravels, seamount communities and tide-swept algal communities and coarse sands with burrowing bivalves.

Mussel and native oyster beds, seagrass beds (*Zostera noltii*), Northern sea fan and sponge communities, coldwater coral reefs, flame shell beds, burrowed mud, deep-sea habitats and seamount communities are not present in Orkney Islands (Baxter et al 2011).

Maerl beds are found in Orkney Islands waters (Figure 25). Maerl is extremely slow growing and maerl beds create a complex, open structure that supports diverse associated communities of red seaweeds and animals including juveniles stages of a range of commercially important species.

Subtidal seagrass beds of *Zostera marina* and *Ruppia maritima* are found in Orkney Islands waters (Figure 26). Eelgrass beds are considered to be scarce in Scotland and have an important role in the ecosystem by stabilising sediments, protecting the coast from waves action and being a nursery area fro many commercially important species.





Figure 26. Seagrass beds of Zostera marina and distribution in Orkney Islands. Source: Baxter et al 2011.

3.4.3.2 Habitat impacts

A succorfish project was undertaken by OSF as part of the OSRP from 2013-2016 (Coleman and Rodrigues, 2017c). This project studied the spatial and temporal distribution of creel fishing effort.

Brown crab capture density has been mapped in relation to benthic habitats (Figure 27). The most important brown crab grounds and higher density of catches are occurring on the west coast of Orkney mainland both inshore (<30m) and deeper (≥80m), typically on the borderline between two habitat types, rock and biogenic reef and coarse sand /mixed sediment which are thus considered as commonly encountered habitats.





Longitude

Figure 27. Brown crab capture density for 2013-2016 in relation to benthic habitats around Orkney Islands. Source: Coleman and Rodrigues (2017c).

Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement (DFO 2010). Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides).

Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting.

A study carried out by Chuenpagdee et al (2003) ranked fishing gears regarding their collateral impacts on bycath and on habitats in U.S. each Fishery Management Council region. They found that traps have low and medium impacts on biological and physical component of habitat, respectively. Shester and Micheli (2011) quantify and compare the ecosystem impacts of four gears (lobster traps, fish traps, set gillnets, drift gillnets) used in small-scale fisheries of Baja California, Mexico, using at-sea observations and field experiments. Results indicated that traps caused minimal immediate damage to habitats.

The most important brown crab grounds and higher density of catches do not overlap with the maerl beds and seagrass beds distribution (Figures 25-27).



3.4.4. Ecosystem

3.4.4.1 Description of the ecosystem the Orkney brown crab creel fishery depends on

Orkney Islands lie at latitude 59.09 N and longitude 2.95 W, in the North of Scotland (Figure 28), and are typically rocky but with many bays, channels and sheltered beaches (Baxter et al 2011).



Figure 28. Map of Scotland. Orkney Islands are located in the North of Scotland (red circle). Source: Baxter et al 2011.

Orkney Islands have a maritime climate that is strongly influenced by the oceanic waters of the North Atlantic and prevailing southwesterly winds. Orkney's position on the UK Continental Shelf means that the seas around the Islands are directly affected by oceanic circulation. The steep bathymetry of the continental slope acts as a barrier between oceanic regions and the shelf sea systems, reducing the amount of water that can travel from the deeper waters of the North Atlantic into the shallower waters on the continental shelf. Processes that cause mixing of oceanic waters and shelf sea waters are complex but have a significant impact on conditions in Orkney waters. Most waters from the North Atlantic that enter the North Sea do so between Orkney Islands and Shetland Isalnds.

The strong mixing of different water bodies makes Orkney's Islands marine environment highly productive. Orkney waters support significant populations of invertebrates and fish, as well as marine predators such as seabirds and marine mammals.

There is a substantial programme of environmental monitoring undertaken by a range of organisations in Orkney. The European Marine Energy Centre (EMEC) carries out monitoring of sea surface temperature (SST), OSF monitors bottom temperatures and Orkney Marine Services monitors SST, water quality, the marine intertidal and non-native species. Marine Scotland Science monitor environmental parameters including salinity and sea surface temperature through their Scottish Coastal Observatory Programme including at Scapa Pier in Orkney.



3.4.4.2 Ecosystem impacts

The Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery does not cause serious or irreversible harm to key elements of the ecosystem structure and function.

Given the generalist role of brown crab and velvet crab in the ecosystem, as well as the range of other benthic and bentho-pelagic predators and scavengers present in the stock areas, it is likely that functional group composition, community distribution and trophic dynamics would be virtually unchanged from natural background levels. Species composition may be impacted by fishing, given that removal of crabs is likely to reduce competition for other benthic predators and scavengers, but it seems unlikely that any changes would be major in comparison with the natural range of variation.

Bycatch level is low, incidental catch of ETP species is low and does not represent serious threat for their conservation and recovery, and effects on habitats are considered to be not significant.

No concerns have been raised about the impacts of the Orkney brown crab creel fishery on the wider ecosystem structure and function.

3.4.4.3 Management

The potential impacts of the Orkney brown crab creel fishery on the ecosystem structure and function is managed at the international level under the EU framework, at the national level under UK and Scottish regulations and the regional/local level.

Marine planning matters in Scotland's inshore waters are governed by the Marine (Scotland) Act 2010 (Act of the Scottish Parliament) and in its offshore waters by the Marine and Coastal Acess Act 2009 (Act of the UK Parliament). A Scotland's National Marine Plan has been published in 2015 (Marine Scotland 2015). The Plan has been developed in accordance with the EU Directive 2014/89/UE which came into force in 2014 and introduces a framework for marine spatial planning and aims to promote the sustainable development of marine areas and the sustainable use of marine resources. The Plan sets objectives specific to the marine environment.

A Pilot Pentland Firth and Orkney Waters Marine Spatial Plan has been published in 2016 (Marine Scotland 2016). The Plan sets out an integrated planning policy framework to guide marine development, activities and management decisions, whilst ensuring the quality of the marine environment is protected. This pilot plan was prepared in parallel with the Scotland's Nationa Marine plan and will establish a useful basis for the preparation of separate regional marine plans.

A network of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) helps to protect nationally important marine wildlife, habitats, geology and undersea landforms. Developing Scotland's network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature"³.

Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) (Table 9).

³ <u>https://www.snh.scot/professional-advice/safeguarding-protected-areas-and-species/protected-areas/national-designations/marine-protected-area/scotlands-marine-protected</u>



Table 9. Summary of the who, what and why each type of MPA. Source: Scottish Natural Heritage.

Type of MPA	What does it protect?	Legislation / Agreement	Lead organisation	SNH and JNCC roles
SAC	Protection of marine species and habitats agreed at a European level to contribute to the conservation of Europe's biodiversity. The habitats and species are listed in Annex I and Annex II of Council Directive 92/43/EEC.	International Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (as amended) (commonly known as the Habitats Directive) is transposed into law in Scotland by The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended) (includes territorial waters) and The Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats &c.) Regulations 2007 (as amended) (offshore waters)	Marine Scotland	SNH lead in developing and providing scientific advice to Scottish Ministers on the selection of sites within Scottish territorial waters (out to 12nm). SNH work in partnership with JNCC, MS and Historic Scotland. SNH also produce communication materials and directly engage with the public and marine users to support the delivery of the MPA network consultation process for Scottish territorial waters.
SPA	Protection for bird species wholly dependent on the marine environment (agreed at a European level) to contribute to ensuring their survival and reproduction in their area of distribution within Europe. The species include those listed in Annex I of Directive 2009/147/EC and all migratory bird species.	International Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the conservation of wild birds (commonly known as the Birds Directive) is transposed into law in Scotland largely through the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended by the Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act 2004) and also by elements of the Habitats Regulations.	Marine Scotland	provides advice on the sensitivities of the habitats and species to MS. When providing this advice for MPAs in Scottish territorial waters SNH will take into account the objectives set for each site. Scottish Ministers then decide what management is required to maintain the interest of the MPA. There is a legal requirement to consult SNH and have regard to our advice when considering plans / projects likely to have a significant effect on these types of MPAs.
Nature Conservation MPA	Conserves marine flora or fauna, habitats or geological or geomorphological interests and protection of these features can be used to complement other protection measures including SACs, SPAs and SSSIs.	National Marine (Scotland) Act 2010 (territorial waters) UK Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 (offshore waters) MPAs have been identified primarily using OSPAR principles.	Marine Scotland	JNCC fulfil the same role as SNH for these types of MPAs in offshore waters.
SSSI	Conservation of areas of land and water (to mean low water spring tide level) that represent Scotland's diversity of plants, animals, habitats, rocks and landforms.	National Nature Conservation (Scotland) Act 2004	Scottish Natural Heritage	SNH identifies, designates and de-notifies sites. We establish management processes and grant permission for operations requiring consent that are proposed to be carried out within sites. We also respond to consultations from regulatory authorities regarding operation applications within sites.

Figure 29 shows the MPAs in Orkney Islands.

There are 3 NCMPAs (plain blue):

- Wyre and Rousay Sounds established for the protection and conservation of maerl beds.
- Papa Westray established for the conservation and protection for black guillemot.
- North-west Orkney established for the protection and conservation of sandeel.

There are 4 SAC in Orkney: Sanday, Loch of Stenness, Stromness Heaths and Coast, and Hoy.





Figure 29. MPAs in Orkney Islands. Source: JNCC.



3.5. Principle Three: Management System Background

This section focuses on the management system, its objectives, consultation and decision-making processes, the monitoring, control and surveillance mechanisms in place, and mechanisms in place to monitor and evaluate its performance.

MSC FCR v.2.0 states that:

Principle 3

The fishery is subject to an effective management system that respects local, national and international laws and standards and incorporates institutional and operational frameworks that require use of the resource to be responsible and sustainable.

3.5.1. The legal basis and scope of the management system

European Union

The United Kingdom has been a Member State of the European Union (EU) since 1973 and its fisheries are therefore subject to the principles and practices of the EU's Common Fisheries Policy (CFP)⁴. The first EU common measures in the fishing sector date from 1970 when it was agreed that, in principle, EU fishermen should have equal access to Member States' waters. It was also decided that the EU was best placed to manage fisheries in the waters under their jurisdiction and to defend their interests in international negotiations. However, in order to ensure that smaller vessels could continue to fish close to their home ports, an inshore fishing area (6 nm) was reserved for local fishermen who have traditionally fished these areas.

The CFP came into being in the form we recognise today in 1983. It was reviewed thoroughly in 2002 and updated fisheries regulation (No.2731/2002) was adopted by the Council of Ministers on 20th December 2002. Following further review over 2011 - 2013, a new CFP was agreed by the European Council and became effective from 1 January 2014 that stipulates, amongst other things, that between 2015 and 2020 catch limits should be set that are sustainable and maintain fish stocks in the long term. This is enshrined in Regulation (EU) No. 1380/2013 on the Common Fisheries Policy⁵.

The scope of the CFP extends to conservation, management and exploitation of living aquatic resources and aquaculture, as well as processing and marketing of fishery products, covering related activities, both within EU waters and by any member state vessel or national – with due regard to the UN Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) and without prejudice to the primary responsibility of the flag State.

The CFP regulation is a 'chapeau' regulation setting out the strategic aims of the CFP and enabling the Council of Ministers, or in certain cases the Commission, to make more detailed Regulations. In total there are in excess of 1,227 related regulations broadly divided into four categories (Structural measures, State Aid, Conservation of Resources, market organisation). Included within these are regulations dealing with almost all fisheries management related aspects from control requirements, to fleet structure, technical conservation, marketing, annual total allowable catches (TAC) and species management and recovery plans.

⁴ In a referendum on 23 June 2016, 51.9% of the participating UK electorate voted to leave the EU. On 29 March 2017, the British government invoked Article 50 of the Treaty on the European Union. The UK is thus on course to leave the EU on Friday, 29 March 2019.

⁵ Thus amending Council Regulations (EC) No 1954/2003 and (EC) No 1224/2009 and repealing Council Regulations (EC) No 2371/2002 and (EC) No 639/2004 and Council Decision 2004/585/EC



A key piece of EU legislation relevant to this fishery is Council Regulation (EC) No 850/98 of 30 March 1998 for the conservation of fishery resources through technical measures for the protection of juveniles of marine organisms. This provides the basis for various technical measures including minimum sizes for edible (brown) crab in different regions (140 mm in Regions 1 and 2 north of 56° N).

National level

Scotland is part of the United Kingdom, but fisheries management has been devolved to the Scottish Parliament, with management centred in Marine Scotland. Marine Scotland has responsibility for marine science, planning, policy development, management and for monitoring compliance through its three divisions, *Marine Scotland Science, Marine Scotland Planning and Policy*, and *Marine Scotland Compliance* respectively. Scottish Ministers are responsible for the regulation of sea fishing around Scotland and within 12nm of Scotland's coast, the Scottish Government has the ability to take non-discriminatory conservation measures, provided that the EU has not already legislated in this area. In general, the only areas where the European Commission adopts measures which have effect within 12 miles are in relation to fleet, TAC and gear – principally in relation to the management of pressure stocks.

The main UK enabling legislation is the Sea Fish (Conservation) Act 1992. The Scotland Act 1998 sets out the powers devolved from UK Government in London, to the Scottish Government in Edinburgh. The 2010 Marine (Scotland) Act is an Act of the Scottish Parliament which provides a framework which is intended to balance competing demands on Scotland's seas. The Scottish Government has powers to take non-discriminatory fishery conservation measures within 12 miles. The main tools available to Scottish Ministers to regulate fisheries in these areas are through restrictive licensing or other measures set out in the Inshore Fishing (Scotland) Act 1984. In addition, Scottish Ministers have the power to introduce Regulating Order, to manage inshore fisheries out to 6 nautical miles, under the terms of the Sea Fisheries (Shellfish) Act 1967.

Local level

Orkney is a small archipelago of islands some 20 km north of the north-eastern tip of mainland Scotland. At local level, the Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Lt is the recognised Inshore Fisheries Group (IFG) for Orkney. In 2016 the 'Orkney Inshore Fisheries Management Plan' (OIFMP) was drafted by the OFG Board to formulate local objectives that are intended to contribute to the delivery of Marine Scotland's high level objectives and help to ensure that local inshore fisheries are well managed, sustainable and profitable. This document was finalised in January 2017.

IFGs have the ability to implement many of the actions that stem from their management plans. However, some measures require implementation by the Scottish Government through legislation. Scottish Ministers will consider IFG legislative proposals which are in keeping with national high level objectives, stem from or complement local objectives, and have been formulated in an open and transparent manner.

Scotland's 2012 Inshore Fisheries Strategy set out high level objectives for the industry: improving science and data required for more effective management; improving engagement with fishermen; and supporting the Inshore Fisheries Group network. While these are still seen as central tenets of a devolved strategy, a 2015 update⁶ highlighted a need for greater focus on integration with the marine planning system. The 2015 inshore strategy focusses on:

- Improving the evidence base on which fisheries management decisions are made;
- Streamlining fisheries governance, and promoting stakeholder participation; and
- Embedding inshore fisheries management into wider marine planning.

⁶ Marine Scotland (2015). Scottish Inshore Fisheries Strategy 2015. 4 pp.





Figure 30. Organisation of fisheries governance in the Orknay brown crab creel fishery.

Overall there is an effective legal and management system across EU, UK, Scottish and local levels. Cooperation is via established lines which are effectively binding (e.g. they cannot be cut short) for both P1 (e.g. through recommendations by, and consultations with, ICIT in Orkney, Marine Scotland Science and then to DG Mare (and the STECF) where necessary (Figure 30 above) . P2 issues are covered by the same pathway. As a rule there is a high degree of consultation, especially between OSF (as the IFG) and Marine Scotland, with the aim of being as proactive as possible in order to ensure that new legislation and other management mechanisms are fit for purpose before being formalised.

3.5.2. Rights and dispute resolution

There are no groups of people who are, by custom, dependent upon food for living or livelihoods in the Orkney Islands. Fishing crews are mostly UK nationals, with a small proportion of other EU nationals. There is a higher ratio of non-UK nationals in the Westray and Stromness processing factories, mostly from Poland (c. 50%). Fishers and other related stakeholders in the Orkney Islands have a number of established rights under both UK and EU law, with no limitations in terms of resource access, and there is a comprehensive level of labour and other human rights.

Any disputes are usually raised at local level through the three fisheries organisations (Orkney Fisheries Association, the Orkney Fishermen's Society or Westray Processors), with all catchers members of one of these organisations. Whilst OFC (which is open to all for a nominal membership fee of £1, and has a broad stakeholder mix across the board membership) and the other three organisations have different roles and



aims, they tend to work as a community group at Orkney level. Any disputes would likely be raised through the IFG (and most likely in parallel through the SFF) directly to Marine Scotland. Disputes with wider ramifications e.g. over marine spatial planning issues would likely be raised through the Orkney Island Council and then to the Scottish Parliament if necessary. This latter process has been strengthened by the introduction of the Islands (Scotland) Bill in 2017, which gives greater power to local authorities, such as the Orkney Islands Council (see box below). If raised to mainland level, the dispute would enter a formal Scottish Government dispute resolution process, initially within Marine Scotland. There is also a well-established legal system in Scotland, allowing people the opportunity to challenge any legal decisions in a transparent and open fashion.

Box 1: Islands (Scotland) Bill 2017

As Orkney is an archipelago of islands the ability to fish is of great cultural and economic importance. The Scottish Government recognizes the need to safeguard island comminutes and passed the Islands (Scotland) Bill in 2017.

Part 2 of the Islands (Scotland) Bill requires Scottish Ministers to prepare a National Islands Plan, setting out the main objectives and strategy of the Scottish Ministers in relation to improving outcomes for islands communities that result from, or are contributed to by, the carrying out of functions of a public nature. Part 5 of the Islands Bill outlines articles in relation to development in the Scottish Island Marine Area and

defines permitted development activity within the 12 nm zone This new piece of legislation highlights the importance of island communities to the Scottish Government and aims to provide island communities with new rights in regards to the marine area but also includes a licensing mechanism which will safeguard overexploitation of resources.

See http://www.parliament.scot/parliamentarybusiness/Bills/105168.aspx

The respect of legal rights for people depended on fishing for livelihood is also highlighted in Scotland's National Marine Plan (2014), which includes a policy statement that the "cultural and economic importance of fishing, in particular to vulnerable coastal communities" should be taken into account when deciding on uses of the marine environment and the potential impact on fishing.

3.5.3. Roles and responsibilities

The roles and responsibilities for management are well understood for all areas relevant to this fishery:

- At local level, the OSF, as the designated IFG for Orkney, which provides OSF with a formal designated local management role for waters out to 6 nm, which it implements in close consultation with the other three local fishers stakeholder organisations. OSF's IFG organisation and role is common to all Scottish of the non-statutory IFGs⁷. Orkney IFG's particular structure and implementation plan is defined ad agreed in the Orkney Inshore Fisheries Management Plan This plan, last revised in January 2017, written internally and then developed and approved by the OSF Board (which include creel fishermen, other fishers and processors). It is then reviewed by Marine Scotland, who also participate in regular (quarterly) meetings, but they do not sign off on it. Marine Scotland Compliance is also represented locally by two full-time fisheries officers who are based in an office in Kirkwall.
- At a **national level** Marine Scotland is responsible for stock and marine ecosystem management (mainly via MS Science in Aberdeen), monitoring, control and surveillance (MCS, via MS Compliance) and wider fisheries management and governance (via MS Planning and Policy). Marine Scotland is the Scottish Government's directorate responsible for the integrated management of Scotland's seas, as laid out in the Marine (Scotland) Act 2010. Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) is the Scottish public body

⁷ http://ifgs.org.uk/files/2414/7886/1167/RIFG - Outline Structure and Functions.pdf



responsible for the country's natural heritage, especially its natural, genetic and scenic diversity, and is thus responsible for licensing activities rated to ETP species.

3.5.4. Consultation and participation mechanisms

Following a series of devolution steps, fisheries management, especially for a predominantly coastal (e.g. < 6 nm) fishing activity such as brown crab is now largely, but not exclusively, managed at local level. The creation of the non-statutory IFGs in particular has seen the management role passed to local bodies such as OFC who, in close consultation with Marine Scotland, have developed their own inshore fisheries management plan. This plan, and it subsequent updates (it is considered a 'living' document) is developed internally but with regular consultation with other local stakeholder groups and Marine Scotland (all three divisions of Marine Scotland are invited to OSF's quarterly meetings, but only MS Science regularly attend), and is externally reviewed by Marine Scotland to ensure it is compliant with the high level objectives of the 2015 Inshore Fisheries Strategy.

In addition to the IFG network there is the Inshore Fisheries Management and Conservation (IFMAC) who are responsible for inshore fisheries management issues that are out with the remit of the RIFGs (6-12nm). Membership to IFMAC is open to the IFGs, fishing associations (minimum of 10 member required), national fishing federations and NGO's with an interest in inshore fishing. The organisation of the inshore fisheries management bodies in Scotland ensures that consultations are participated by all stakeholders.

In terms of fisheries legislation, any proposals affecting this predominantly inshore fishery are subject to discussions between OSF (and other local fisheries interests if appropriate) and Marine Scotland before moving into the formal legal development process. This process tends to eliminate any obvious issues. Proposals generated by the IFG are then sent to MS Planning and Policy (or generated directly if not specific to Orkney) for internal review. Once the draft legislation is ready it goes out for external review with other government departments (e.g. SNH), as well as the local fisheries offices (inc. on Orkney) and then back to Marine Scotland where a formal recommendation will be drafted and presented to the Minister, together with their recommendations. If passed by the Minister, the draft law goes out for a 12 week public consultation period, and the responses collated and then published (subject to the Freedom of Information (Scotland) 2002 Act). Marine Scotland then arrives at a final policy view, if necessary. consulting with different internal and external government advisers. In some situations, SNH may require that an Appropriate Assessment is undertaken if there are implications for Natura 2000 sites or species. There may also be a need for a Business and Regulatory Impact Assessment. This latter process takes around three months, with lawyers then drafting the final legislation before it is put in front of the Scottish Parliament for finalisation.

3.5.5. Long-term objectives

The EU is legally obliged to maintain or restore fish stocks at sustainable levels (maximum sustainable yield; MSY), and adhere to good environmental management practices that follow the precautionary principle as enshrined in Union law. The precautionary principle is a binding principle of European Union law and must be applied to EU policies during their formulation and when they are implemented. The precautionary principle was also included in the establishment of the CFP and the Council's General Approach for the CFP reform⁸.

At Scottish policy level, long-term objectives are embedded into the Scottish Inshore Fisheries Strategy, 2015. Of particular relevance is Outcome 4: that requires that *"inshore waters will be managed in a way which is environmentally sustainable and their potential will be maximised to the benefit of coastal communities. The management of our fisheries will be congruent with the Marine Strategy Framework Directive. By 2020*

⁸ Article 2(2), Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Common Fisheries Policy – General approach. 11322/12, PECHE 227, CODEC 1657



effective assessment methodologies will be in place for fishing at Maximum Sustainable Yield, achieving Good Environmental Status and maintaining compliance with other marine conservation initiatives such as the Natura Directive and the development of Marine Protected Areas". This covers both stocks (P1), habitats and ETP species (P2). It should be noted that the ICES MSY framework – where ICES advises on the preferred level of fishing mortality – incorporate the precautionary approach (ICES adopted the Precautionary Approach in 1998). The Scottish Marine Plan (2015) explicitly states that "Where evidence is inconclusive and impacts of development or use on marine resources are uncertain, reasonable efforts should be made to fill evidence gaps and decision makers should apply precaution within an overall risk-based approach" and High Level Marine Objective 21 is that "The precautionary principle is applied consistently in accordance with the UK Government and Devolved Administrations' sustainable development policy"⁹.

3.5.6. Fisheries-specific management

The Orkney Inshore Fisheries Management Plan is the *de facto* fisheries management plan for brown crab. Whilst it is not limited only for brown crab, it recognises that brown crab represents 74% by volume and 51% by value of Orkney's inshore fisheries landings and this fishery is the main focus of the plan. A specific section of the FMP devoted to the creel fishery is being developed, but is still in draft. The management plan has a number of specific objectives for inshore fisheries in Orkney, but these are not disaggregated into short and long-term timelines, but can be considered as long-term in nature. There are short-term objectives as part of OSF's Research Objectives / Strategy 2017 – 2020.

3.5.7. Decision-making processes

The Orkney Inshore Fisheries Management Plan is a live document, which is periodically updated to reflect new research information and resultant management decisions. Last revised in January 2017, its next revision is likely to be when the proposed Inshore Fisheries Bill is passed, probably sometime in 2018. Decision-making in this fishery is mainly based around the quarterly IFG board meetings, which are usually linked to internal meetings and feedback from research (i.e. from ICIT), as well as other meetings with the Orkney Fisheries Association and the Orkney Fishermen's Society. These meetings allow issues to be raised, and decisions made on all aspects of the fishery relevant to the local level. As stated before, Marine Scotland is invited to participate at these quarterly IFG meetings and one or more of it three operational divisions usually participate. All IFG meetings are minuted and are available upon request

Over the last few years, due to OSF's partnership with Heriot Watt University's International Centre for Island Technology (ICIT) campus in Stromness, decision-making is strongly science-driven. As with all Scottish fish stocks, there is an annual stock assessment updated by Marine Scotland Science, which utilises ICIT / OSF inputs for this fishery. In general, these decisions are precautionary in nature. Examples include the use of 0.25 for natural mortality (M) (most crustacean assessments use 0.5), and the key management tool, minimum landing-size, is 140 mm (is well below size age maturity ogives) and is being voluntarily increased to 150 mm. There is extensive data being collected on the actual size at landing, both in port and in the processing factories.

OSF has a public website that includes a research section¹⁰ that provides the status of various species-specific research projects, including brown crab. As OFS have an open information policy, any research report or other IFG outputs would be made available on request, if not already on the website. OFS does not make its financial records available, although the annual accounts would be freely available through Companies House. Marine

⁹ Marine Scotland (2015). Scotland's National Marine Plan - A Single Framework for Managing Our Seas. 144 pp. See <u>http://www.gov.scot/Resource/0047/00475466.pdf</u>

¹⁰ <u>http://www.orkneysustainablefisheries.co.uk/?page_id=137</u>



Scotland also has a number of online resources and publications relevant to this fishery, including stock¹¹, catch and other information. As mentioned above, the brown crab fishery in general, including the Orkney creel fishery assessment area (one of 11 such areas assessed by MS Science), undergoes an annual stock assessment, which is published online and includes a description of the assessment, the current state of the stocks and a brief summary of the current management advice. This advice is developed by MS Science and the results sent to MS Planning and Policy, with a high level summary provided to the IFGs, who are able to comment if necessary. In addition, the fishery participates in the ICES 'Working Group on the Biology and Life History of Crabs' (WG Crab), thus providing a scientific linkage (inc. stock models and management refence points) with wider European brown crab stock management.

According to Marine Scotland Planning and Policy, no legal challenges have been made against this fishery's management system to date (Jim Watson, pers. comm., 5 September 2017). The UK Government's Sea Fish (Conservation) Act 1992 forms the basis for the implementation of the EU Common Fisheries Policy (2371/2002). The act establishes licensing, MCS and penalty procedures. The act also includes appeal procedures. The legal framework is clear and unambiguous. Outside of the main fisheries legislation, there is full and transparent right of appeal via the normal national judicial route, and even EU law. Although in the context of the Orkney fishery this has rarely been tested (simply because there has been no requirement), the legal apparatus has been tested and proven effective in many other fisheries (including non-shellfish fisheries in other parts of Scotland).

3.5.8. Monitoring, control and surveillance (MCS)

Marine Scotland Compliance is responsible for enforcing fisheries within fisheries waters. Based in Edinburgh, the Fisheries Monitoring Centre has a number of sea, air and other assets at its disposal. There are two fisheries officers based full-time in the Orkney Islands, with a main office in Kirkwall. Given the inshore, static nature of this fishery, most enforcement is land-based, involving inspections of landings as they come ashore on the pier in Stromness or elsewhere on the Orkney islands. If required, MS Compliance can contract in rigid inflatable boat (RIB) patrols for specific operations, which are carried out about twice a year in Orcadian waters. All inspections are recorded on the MS Compliance MCS database, providing location and results of inspections. If potential infractions are detected, then the details are recorded, with location, samples and photographic and other evidence retained.

There is no annual plan for MCS in Orkney, but instead a two week rolling risk assessment is used. The Orkney fisheries officers assess local compliance risks, and forward their risk assessment to the regional office in Ullapool, and then onto Edinburgh. There is a conference call every Friday to discuss emerging risks, and responses, if any.

3.5.8.1 MCS implementation

Compliance levels in this fishery are considered by MS Compliance to be very high. From the 1,259 sea and land inspections over 2013 – 2016, no cases have been brought and only one formal warning (for a buyer who did not wish to report his purchases). Most advisories (19 over the last 4 years) have been for small quantities being recorded as landed under-size.

Table 10. Inspection rates and results in the Orkney Islands. Source: Marine Scotland Compliance,October 2017.

Increations and results	Year				
inspections and results	2013	2014	2015	2016	TOTAL
At-sea inspections	2			18	20

¹¹ See <u>http://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Publications/publicationslatest/farmedfish/fishandshellfishstocks/2017</u>



Quayside inspections	355	256	46	582	1,239
Advisory Letters	5	2	10	2	19
Verbal warnings			1	2	3
Formal warnings	1				1

3.5.8.2 Sanctions

Sanctions exist for non-compliance e.g. non- or mis-reporting, or landing under-size catch, but to date have never had to be imposed in this fishery. This, coupled with the high level of inspections, demonstrates that the sanctions are effective. This also supports the premise that this is a highly compliant fishery

3.5.9. Monitoring and evaluation of the fishery management system performance.

The stock status and management regime is formally reviewed annually by MS Science and their results published by Marine Scotland. Whilst this is not a detailed audit it is sufficient to assess whether the management regime is broadly fit for purpose. In addition, the IFG's Orkney Inshore Fisheries Management Plan is a live document, which is periodically updated to reflect new research information and resultant management decisions. Whilst not specific to this fishery, it is wide-ranging coving the key fisheries of Orkney (including the brown crab fishery), environmental issues and in line with its marine spatial planning remit, with other maritime activities relent to these waters. It also covered local shellfish research, as well as management measures, and thus is reasonably comprehensive. Last revised in January 2017, its next revision is likely to be when the proposed Inshore Fisheries Bill is passed, probably sometime in 2018.

According to the January 2017 version of the plan, it is the intention to internally review the plan on an annual basis, with any changes requiring approval by the OSF Board. According to the same plan, an external audit is also required, and it is envisaged that a review panel comprising ICIT, Marine Scotland, two OSF Directors and a representative from the Orkney Islands Council would meet annually to review and evaluate the work of OSF for the previous 12 months and endorse plans for the year ahead. An annual report from such a review process will be a public document and available on the OSF website. Given it is less than 12 months since this intention was declared, no such review has been undertaken to date.



4. Evaluation Procedure

4.1. Harmonised Fishery Assessment

CAB assessing fisheries that have areas of overlap are required to ensure consistency of outcomes so as not to undermine the integrity of MSC fishery assessments. The FCR provides guidance for harmonisation where a fishery in assessment overlaps with an already certified fishery.

The MSC wishes to discourage overlapping assessments to avoid potential financial, consistency and credibility costs, including:

- fisheries managers, scientists and stakeholders receiving duplicate requests for information
- duplication of costs for a fishery's certification, including that expense incurred by fishery management agencies pre- and post-certification; and
- The possibility of different assessments placing different conditions upon the same fisheries managers and upon different fishery clients.

The SSMO Shetland inshore brown crab and scallop fishery operates in Shetland Island using the same creel gear under the same Governance and Policy. However, the fishery hs been acertified under MSC CR v.1.3 and is currently under re-assessement also under v.1.3.

The same version of the tree has not been used for these respective fisheries and per PB 2.1, when different CR versions of the default tree are used, harmonisation is not required.

4.2. Previous assessments

Not applicable. The OSF Orkney brown crab creel fishery has not been previously assessed.

4.3. Assessment Methodologies

The full assessment is carried out using MSC FCR v.2.0. The following MSC Scheme Documents and reporting template were used by SAI Global.

MSC Scheme Document	Issue date	Implementation
General Certification Requirements v2.1	Feb 20 th 2015	Process
MSC Fihseries Certification Requirements and Guidance v.2.0.	Oct 1 st , 2014	Standard and Process
Full assessment Reporting Template v.2.0	Oct 8 th , 2014	Process
RBF Worksheets v.2.03	July 13 th , 2017	Process and Standard
Fishery Assessment Scoring Worksheet v.2.0	Oct 8 th , 2014	Process and Standard

There are no particular characteristics of the fishery that would necessitate any revisions to the default assessment tree. Therefore, the Default Assessment Tree was used without adjustements.

4.4. Evaluation Processes and Techniques

4.4.1. Site Visits

The site visit was held from 5th to 8th September 2017 in Aberdeen, Edinburgh and Orkney Islands (Kirkwall and Stromness).



In addition, conference call were held on the 25th September 2017 with Whale and Dolphin Conservation and with Marine Scotland Compliance.

The site visit was informed by a pre-determined agenda. The agenda was set out so as to allow specific stakeholder interests and concerns to be covered through a structured approach.

The Table below summarises the meetings held during the site visit.

Name of	Present at meeting	Location	Venue	Date/time	Purpose
Organisation					
Marine Scotland	SAIG assessment team	Aberdeen	Marine Scotland	Tuesday 5 th September	Brown crab stock assessment, stock structure, catch report,
Science	Carlos Mesquita		Science,	at 9.00 am	tagging project, market
	Anne McLay		Marine		sampling data, harvest strategy,
	Helen Dobby		Laboratory		removals from other fisheries,
	Heiko Seilert (ASI)				Marine Scotland Interactive for
Marina	SAIC according	Ediaburah	Marina	Madaasday	TTD crossies management
Scotland	team	Eamburgh	Scotland	6 th	habitats management licence
Policy	lim Watson		Policy offices	Sentember	regulation creel fishery
Toncy	Heiko Seilert (ASI)		Toney offices	at 10 00 am	management. Inshore Fisheries
	Sergio Cansado (ASI)			ut 10.00 uni	Strategy, consultation
					processes, decision-making
					processes, Impact Assessments,
					MPAs
OSF	SAIG assessment	Kirkwall	The	Thusay 7 th	Fleet composition, OSF Inshore
	team	and	Pickaquoy	September	Fisheries Management Plan,
	Stewart Crichton	Stromness	Centre in	at 9.00 am	OSF Research programme ,
	Mike Bell		Kirkwall and		landings, bait, non-target
	Flisaboto Rodriguos		the Heriot		Species, USF Code of Practices,
	Kate Rudzkowsi		Stromness		HCRs marketing traceability
	Heiko Seilert (ASI)		5000000		consultation and decision-
	Sergio Cansado (ASI)				making processses
Crab	SAIG assessment	Stromness	Stromness	Thusay 7 th	Fishing operations and
harvester	team		harbour	September	practices, bait, non-target
	Stewart Crichton	-		at 3.00 pm	species, landings, catch report
	Matthew Coleman				
	Elisabete Rodrigues				
	Kate Rudzkowsi				
	Heiko Seilert (ASI)				
DDF	Sergio Cansado (ASI)	Charaman	l la via t	Fuiday Oth	
RBF workshop	SAIG assessment	Stromness	Heriot	Friday 8 th	RBF for brown crab, veivet crab
workshop	Stewart Crichton		Strompess	at 8 30 am	
	Mike Bell		5000000	at 0.50 am	
	Matthew Coleman				
	Elisabete Rodrigues				
	Kate Rudzkowsi				
	Heiko Seilert (ASI)				
	Sergio Cansado (ASI)				
OSF – Client	SAIG assessment	Stromness	Heriot	Friday 8 th	Weaknesses identified: harvest
closing	team		University in	September	strategy and HCRs, timeline and
meeting	Stewart Crichton		Stromness		next steps.



	Matthew Coleman Elisabete Rodrigues Heiko Seilert (ASI) Sergio Cansado (ASI)				
Whale and Dolphin Conservation	SAIG assessment team	Conference call	Conference call	Monday 25 th September	Interactions with whales, sighting programmes, basking shark, Best Practices Guideline booklet, mitigation measures
Marine Scotland Complinace	SAIG assessment team	Conference call	Conference call	Monday 25 th September at 2.00 am	Fleet composition, description of the monitoring, control and surveillance system, surveillance activities, compliance

4.4.2. Consultations

Date	Purpose	Media
30/05/2017	Fishery Enters assessment	Notification on MSC website Direct email/letter
18/07/2017	Use of RBF	Notification on MSC website Direct email/letter
07/12/2017	Proposed peer reviewers	Notification on MSC website Direct email/letter
02/03/2018	Revised timeline and Notification of additional stakeholder consultation period	Notification on MSC website Direct email/letter

4.4.3. Evaluation Techniques

Public announcements are published on the MSC website and stakeholders are notified by emails about all notifications published.

Each PI under each Principle is weighted so that each of the three Principles is equal to one other.

At the Level of the Performance Indicator, the performance of the fishery is assessed as a "score". In order for the fishery to achieve certification, an overall weighted average score of 80 is necessary for each of the three Principles and no Indicator should score less than 60. Accordingly, 100 represents a theoretically ideal level of performance and 60 a measureable shortfall.

The Scoring Guideposts (SGs) identify the level of performance necessary to achieve 100, 80 (a pass score), and 60 scores for each Performance Indicator.

The scoring methodology is fully explained in the MSC Fisheries Assessment Methodology. It can be summarized as follow:

- Scoring is a qualitative process, involving discussion between team members and arrival at a joint agreed score. Scores should be normally assigned in divisions of 5 points following the 7.10 sections on MSC FCR V2.0
- The only narrative guidance that is available is at 60, 80 and 100 SGs. Intermediate scores must therefore reflect;
 - \circ $\;$ A failure to meet all the scoring issues specified in a SG.



- The following system should then be used to determine the overall score for the PI from the scores of the different scoring issues, combining elements scores.
- This system combines a primary approach based on the combination of scores achieved by the individual scoring issues (the a) to i) list below):
 - a) Score = 60: all issues meet SG60, and only SG60. Any scoring issues within a PI which fails to reach SG60, represents a failure against the MSC standard and no score shall be assigned.
 - b) 65: all issues meet SG60; a few achieve higher performance, at or exceeding SG80, but most do not meet SG80.
 - c) 70: all issues meet SG60; some achieve higher performance, at or exceeding SG80, but some do not meet SG80 and require intervention action to ensure they get there.
 - d) 75: all issues meet SG60; most achieve higher performance, at or exceeding SG80; only a few fail to achieve SG80 and require intervention action.
 - e) 80: all issues meet SG80.
 - f) 85: all issues meet SG80; a few achieve higher performance, but most do not meet SG100.
 - g) 90: all issues meet SG80; some achieve higher performance at SG100 but some do not.
 - h) 95: all issues meet SG80; most achieve higher performance, at SG100; only a few fail to achieve SG100.
 - i) 100: all issues meet SG100

Table 11 presents the scoring elements considered in the assessment



Table 11. Scoring elements [

Component	Scoring elements	Main/Not main	Data-deficient or not
Primary species	herring (Clupea	Main	Not
	harengus) in North Sea,		
	Skarregak, Kattegat and		
	Eastern English Channel		
Primary species	saithe (Pollachius virens)	Main	Not
	in North Sea, Rockall and		
	West of Scotland,		
	Skagerrak and Kattegat		
Primary species	cod (Gadus morhua) in	Not main	Not
	North Sea, eastern		
	English channel and		
Drimon concine	Skagerrat	Netwoin	Net
Primary species	Malanoarammus	Not main	NOC
	(Weldhoyrunnus)		
	West Scotland and		
	Skagerrat and Rockall		
Primary species	whiting (Merlanaius	Not main	Not
	merlangus) in North Sea		
	and eastern English		
	Channel		
Secondary species	Orkney Islands velvet	Main	Not
	crab (Necora puber)		
Secondary species	Orkney Islands European	Not main	Not
	lobster (Homarus		
	gammarus)		
Secondary species	Horse mackerel in	Not main	Not
	Skagerrak and Kattegat,		
	southern and central		
	North Sea, eastern		
	English channel		
Secondary species	Lesser-spotted dogfish in	Not main	Not
	Celtic Seas		
ETP species	Minke whale	NA	Not
ETP species	Seal	NA	Not
ETP species	Dolphins	NA	Not
ETP species	Otter	NA	Not
ETP species	Leatherback turtle	NA	Not
ETP species	Basking snark	NA	Not
Habitats	coarse sand/mixed	iviain	INOT
Habitate	Book and biogenic reef	Main	Not
Habitata	Moor bods	Not main	Not
Habitats	Seagrass heds	Not main	Not
וומטונמנס	Jeagiass neus	notinain	NUL



RBF was used to score PI 1.1.1 Brown crab stock status and P1 2.2.1 Secondary Species Outcome. The justification of the use of the RBF is available on the MSC website: <u>https://fisheries.msc.org/en/fisheries/osf-orkney-brown-crab-creel-fishery/@@assessments</u>

All stakeholders have been invited by email to provide comments on the use of the RBF and have been invited to participated to a RBF workshop that was held during the site visit in Stromness. Participants provided information on the biology of brown crab, velvet crab and lobster, on the fishering effort spatial distribution and the risks pose by the fishery.



5. Traceability

5.1. Eligibility Date

According to FCR 7.6.1, the CAB shall nominate a date from which product from a certified fishery is eligible to be sold as MSC certified or bear the MSC ecolabel. Although the assessment team determines that the fishery should not be certified, the date of certification of the fishery was initially nominated as the eligibility date.

5.2. Traceability within the Fishery

Although the assessment team determined that the fishery should not be certified, Table 12 provides a description of the traceability factors within the fishery.

Traceability Factor	Description of risk factor if present. Where applicable, a
	description of relevant mitigation measures or traceability
	systems (this can include the role of existing regulatory or
	fishery management controls)
Potential for non-certified gear/s to be	No risk. Creel is the only fishing gear allowed to be used to
used within the fishery	target brown crab.
	Only licensed vessels are permitted to operate within the
	fisheries, which are all subject to the same gear regulations
	for the gear specified under the UoC. MS Compliance said
	there were no reports of any non-permitted gears or non-
	licensed vessels operating in the fishery.
Potential for vessels from the UoC to fish	No risk. Creel vessels from the UoC do not fish outside the
outside the UoC or in different	geographical area covered by the UoC.
geographical areas (on the same trips or	
different trips)	
Potential for vessels outside of the UoC or	Low to no rick. The target stack is Orknow Islands brown stab
client group fishing the same stock	stock. All the registered and licenced fishing vessels fishing
chent group hisning the same stock	brown crab with creel around Orkney Islands are OSE
	members. There are currently no other eligible fishers
	Whilst there is a limit on the number of vessels that hold a
	shellfish entitlement, any Scottish registered vessel with a
	shellfish entitlement could fish in Orkney waters, although
	this is an unlikely scenario.
Risks of mixing between certified and	No risk. All the registered and licenced fishing vessels fishing
non-certified catch during storage,	brown crab with creel around Orkney Islands are OSF
transport, or handling activities (including	members. There are currently no other eligible fishers. Brown
transport at sea and on land, points of	crab caught outside the UoC is not imported to Orkney
landing, and sales at auction)	Islands.
Risks of mixing between certified and	No risk. There is no processing activities at-sea. All the
non-certified catch during processing	registered and licenced fishing vessels fishing brown crab with
activities (at-sea and/or before	creel around Orkney Islands are OSF members. There are
subsequent Chain of Custody)	

Table 12. Traceability Factors within the Fishery:


	currently no other eligible fishers. Brown crab caught outside the UoC is not imported to Orkney Islands.
Risks of mixing between certified and non-certified catch during transhipment	There is no transhipment.
Any other risks of substitution between fish from the UoC (certified catch) and fish from outside this unit (non-certified catch) before subsequent Chain of Custody is required	None identified.

5.3. Eligibility to Enter Further Chains of Custody

N/A

The assessment team determines that the fishery should not be certified, therefore products from the UoC are not allowed to enter further Chains of Custody.

5.4. Eligibility of IPI stock(s) to Enter Further Chains of Custody

N/A



6. Evaluation Results

6.1. Principle Level Scores

Table 13 shows the overall score of each Pricnciple. Principle level scores are reported to the nearest one decimal place.

Table 13. Final Principle Scores

Principle	Score
Principle 1 – Target Species	79 - FAIL
Principle 2 – Ecosystem	87 - PASS
Principle 3 – Management System	89.6 - PASS

6.2. Summary of PI Level Scores

Table 14. Summary of score and weighting of each PI.

Principle	Component	Performance Indicator (PI)	Wt	Score
	Outcome	1.1.1 Stock status	1.0	82
	Outcome	1.1.2 Stcok rebuilding	0.5	
One		1.2.1 Harvest strategy	0.25	65
	Managamant	1.2.2 Harvest control rules & tools	0.25	75
	Ividilagement	1.2.3 Information & monitoring	0.25	90
		1.2.4 Assessment os stock status	0.25	80
		2.1.1 Outcome	0.333	100
	Primary species	2.1.2 Management strategy	0.333	90
		2.1.3 Information/Monitoring	0.333	95
		2.2.1 Outcome	0.333	80
	Secondary species	2.2.2 Management strategy	0.333	75
		2.2.3 Information/Monitoring	0.333	95
	ETP species	2.3.1 Outcome	0.333	95
Two		2.3.2 Management strategy	0.333	80
		2.3.3 Information/Monitoring	0.333	80
	Habitats	2.4.1 Outcome	0.333	80
		2.4.2 Management strategy	0.333	80
		2.4.3 Information/Monitoring	0.333	95
		2.5.1 Outcome	0.333	90
	Ecosystem	2.5.2 Management strategy	0.333	80
		2.5.3 Information/Monitoring	0.333	90
		3.1.1 Legal and/or customary framework	0.333	95
Three	Governance & policy	3.1.2 Consultation, roles & responsibilities	0.333	95
		3.1.3 Long term objectibes	0.333	100
-		3.2.1 Fishery specific objectives	0.25	70



	3.2.2 Decision making processes	0.25	85
Fishery specific management	3.2.3 Compliance & enforcement	0.25	95
system	3.2.4 Monitoring & management	0.25	<u>۹</u> ۵
	performance evaluation	0.25	80

6.3. Summary of Conditions

As per 7.21.2, where the CAB makes a decision not to award certification and fail the fishery, the report:

- 7.21.2.1 Shall not specify any mandatory conditions or defined actions that would need to be undertaken before the fishery could be reconsidered for certification in the future;
- 7.21.2.2 Shall outline draft and non-binding conditions for any PIs that score more than 60 and less than 80;
- Shall clearly specify that the conditions outlined are non-binding and serve to provide and indication of the actions that may be required should the fishery should have been certified.

Table 15 presents the non-binding and non-mandatory conditions for PIs with score more than 60 and less than 80 and drafted by the assessment team to provide an indication of the actions that the fishery may implement to address the issues identified.

Condition	Condition	Performance	Related to previously raised
number		Indicator	condition? (Y/N/NA)
	Evidence should be provided that the harvest strategy is responsive to the state of the stock and the elements		
	of the harvest strategy work together towards		
	achieving stock management objectives reflected in PI		
1	1.1.1 SG80.	1.2.1	NA
	Evidence should also be provided that alternative		
	measures to minimise UoA-related mortality of		
	unwanted catch of the target stock are implemented		
	as appropriate.		
	evidence should be provided that well defined HCRs		
2	reduced as the PRI is approached, are expected to	122	NA
-	keep the stock fluctuating around a target level	1.2.2	
	consistent with (or above) MSY.		
	Evidence should be provided that alternative		
3	measures to minimise UoA-related mortality of	222	NA
	unwanted catch of main secondary species are	<i>L.L.L</i>	
	implemented as appropriate.		
	Evidence should be provided that short and long-term		
	objectives, which are consistent with achieving the		
4	outcomes expressed by MSC's Principles 1 and 2, are	3.2.1	NA
	explicit within the fishery-specific management		
	system.		

Table 15. Summary of non-binding conditions

6.4. Recommendations

(OPTIONAL)

[If the CAB wishes to include any recommendations to the client, include these here.]



6.5. Determination, Formal Conclusion and Agreement

SAIG's assessment team determined that the OSF Orkney brown crab fishery does not conform with the MSC Fisheries Standard and therefore does not recommend certification to be awarded.

(REQUIRED FOR PCR)

1. The report shall include a formal statement as to the certification action taken by the CAB's official decision-makers in response to the Determination recommendation.

6.6. Changes in the fishery prior to and since Pre-Assessment

(OPTIONAL)

Identify any work conducted by the client (or the management agency) specifically targeted at bringing the fishery to the MSC standard, either prior to or since any pre-assessment report that was prepared. This information is particularly valuable for MSC's reporting on the impacts of its programme.



7. References

Bakonya, L. 2016. Mapping the Habitat of Juvenile Edible Crabs (*Cancer pagurus* L.) in the Intertidal areas of Mainland Orkney. MSc Thesis. Heriot-Watt University.

Baxter, J.M., Boyd, I.L., Cox, M., Donald, A.E., Malcolm, S.J., Miles, H., Miller, B., Moffat, C.F., (Editors), 2011. Scotland's Marine Atlas: Information for the national marine plan. Marine Scotland, Edinburgh. pp. 191.

Bell, M.C. and Gascoigne, J. 2012. MSC Pre-Assessment of the Orkney lobster, brown crab and velvet crab fishery. MacAlister Elliott and Partners Ltd. 100pp.

Bennett, D.B. 1995. Factors in the life history of the edible crab (*Cancer pagurus* L.) that influence modelling and management. *ICES Marine Science Symposia*, **199**, 89-98.

Brown, C.G. & Bennett, D.B. 1980. Population and catch structure of the edible crab (*Cancer pagurus*) in the English Channel. *Journal du Conseil*, ICES. **39**, 88-100.

Cefas 2014. Edible crab (Cancer pagurus). Cefas Stock Status Report 2014. Cefas, Lowestoft. 16 pp.

Chuenpagdee, R., Morgan, L.E., Maxwell, S.M., Norse, E.A., and Pauly, D. 2003. Shifting gears: collateral impacts of fishing methods in U.S. waters. Frontiers in Ecology and Environment 1(10): 517-524.

Coleman, M.T. and Rodrigues, E. 2017a. Orkney Shellfish Research Project: Orkney brown crab (*Cancer pagurus*) tagging project. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd. Report no. 19, 21 pp.

Coleman M.T. and Rodrigues E., 2017b. Logbook and Observer Report: Orkney Shellfish Research Project. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd. No 21, pp 25.

Coleman M.T. and Rodrigues E., 2017c. Succorfish Report. Orkney Shellfish Research Project. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd. No 20, pp 18.

DFO. 2010. Potential impacts of fishing gears (excluding mobile bottom-contacting gears) on marine habitats and communities. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2010/003.

Eaton, D.R., Brown, J., Addison, J. T., Milligan, S. P. and Fernand, L. 2003. Larvae surveys of edible crab (*Cancer pagurus*) off the east coast of England: implications for stock structure. Fisheries Research, 65: 191-199.

Edwards, E. 1979. The Edible Crab and its fishery in British Waters. Surrey, England: Fishing News Books Ltd.

Eno N. C., D. S. MacDonald, J. A. M. Kinnear, S. C. Amos, C. J. Chapman, R. .A Clark, F. St P. .D Bunker and C. Munro, 2001. Effects of crustacean traps on benthic fauna. ICES Journal of Marine Science 58: 11-20.

Haig, J. A., Bakke, S., Bell, M. C., Bloor, I. S. M., Cohen, M., Coleman, M., Dignan, S., Kaiser, M. J., Pantin, J. R., Roach, M., Salomonsen, H., and Tully, O. Reproductive traits and factors affecting the size at maturity of *Cancer pagurus* across Northern Europe. – ICES Journal of Marine Science, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw081.

Hearn A. R. 2004. Reproductive biology of the velvet swimming crab, *Necora puber* (Brachyura: Portunidae), in Orkney Islands, UK. Sarsia North Atlantic Marine Science (Taylor & Francis) October 2004 – DOI 10.1080/00364820410002578.



Howard, A.E. 1982. The distribution and behaviour of ovigerous edible crabs (*Cancer pagurus*), and consequent sampling bias. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 40, 259–261.

Hunter, E., Eaton, D., Stewart, C., Lawler, A., and Smith, M. T. 2013. Edible crabs 'go west': Migrations and incubation cycle of *Cancer pagurus* revealed by electronic tags. PLoS One, 8: 1–9.

ICES 2016a. Norway lobster (*Nephrops norvegicus*) in Division 4.a, Functional Unit 10 (northern North Sea, Noup). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort – Celtic Seas and Greater North Sea Ecoregions. Published 30 June 2016, vercion 2: 11 November 2016.

ICES 2016b. Celtic Seas Ecoregion – Ecosystem overview. ICES Ecosystem Overviews. Published 04 March 2016, version 2, 13 May 2016.

ICES 2017a. Herring (*Clupea harengus*) in Subarea 4 and divisions 3.a and 7.d, autumn spawners (North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat, eastern English channel). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort – Greater North Sea Ecoregion. Published 31 May 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3130.

ICES 2017b. Cod (*Gadus morhua*) in Subarea 4, Division 7.d, and Subdivision 20 (North Sea, easter English Channel, Skagerrak). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort – Greater North Sea Ecoregion. Published 14 November 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3526.

ICES 2017c. Saithe (*Pollachius virens*) in subareas 4 and 6, and in Division 3a (North Sea, Rockfall and West Scotland, Skagerrak and Kattegat). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort – Celtic Seas, Faroes and Greater Noth Sea Ecoregions. Published 30 June 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3206.

ICES 2017d. Haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) in Division 6.b (Rockall). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort – Celtic Seas and Oceanic Northeast Atlantic Ecoregions. Published 30 June 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3121.

ICES 2017e. Haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) in Subareas 4, Division 6.a, and Subdivision 20 (North Sea, West of Scotland, Skagerrak). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort – Celtic Seas and Greater North Sea Ecoregions. Published 14 November 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3525.

ICES 2017f. Whiting (*Merlangius merlangus*) in Subarea 4 and Division 7.d (North sea and eastern English Channel). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort – Greater North Sea Ecoregion. Published 14 November 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3530.

ICES 2017g. Horse mackerel (*Trachurus trachurus*) in Divisions 3.a, 4.b-c, and 7.d (Skagerrak and Kattegat, southern and central North Sea, eastern English channel). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort – Greater North Sea Ecoregion. Published 29 September 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3027.

ICES 2017h. Lesser-spotted dogfish (*Scyliorhinus canicula*) in Subarea 6 and divisions 7.a-c and 7-j. ICES Advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort Celtic Seas, Greater North Sea, and Oceanic Northeast Atlantic Ecoregions. Published 6 October 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3179.

Jones G., Gibson, P., Dobby, H. and McLay, A. 2010. Brown Crab (*Cancer pagurus*) Migrations off the Northern Scottish Coast. Scottish Industry Science Partnership Report 02/10. Marine Scotland Science. Pp19.

Jones, R. 1984. Assessing the effects in changes in exploitation pattern using length composition data. FAO Fish. Tech. Pap. 256: 118 pp.



Lee J. T., R. A. Coleman, M. B. Jones, 2006. Population dynamics and growth of juveniles of the velvet swimming crab *Necora puber* (Decapoda: Portunidae). Marine Biology 148: 609-619. Marine Scotland 2015a. Scottish Inshore Fisheries Strategy.

Marine Scotland 2015b. Scotland's National Marine Plan – A Single Framework for Managing Our Seas. The Scottish Government, Edinburgh 2015.

Marine Scotland 2016. Pilot Pentland Firth and Orkney Waters Marine Spatial Plan. Prepared by the Pilot Pentland Firth and Orkney Waters Working Group. The Scottish Government, Edinburgh 2016.

Marine Scotland Science 2017. Fish and Shellfish Stocks. Published by the Scottish Government, May 2017.

McCoy, M.W. and Gillooly, J.F. 2008. Predicting natural mortality rates of plants and animals. Ecology Letters 11: 710-716.

Mesquita, C., Miethe, T., Dobby, H. and McLay, A. 2017. Crab and Lobster Fisheries in Scotland: Results of stock assessments 2013-2015. Scottish Marine and Freshwater Science, Volume 8, No.14.

MSC, 2014. *Benchmarking and Tracking Tool (BMT): Guidance for benchmarking fisheries improving towards MSC certification.* Marine Stewardship Council.

Northridge S., A. Cargill, A. Coram, L. Mandleberg, S. Calderan and B. Reid, 2010. Entanglement of minke whales in Scottish waters; an investigation into occurrence, causes and mitigation. Sea Mammal Research Unit, University of St Andrews, SAC, Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust. Final Report to Scottish Government CR/2007/49, June 2010.

Neal K.J. and E. Wilson, 2008. Cancer pagurus Edible crab. In Tyler-Walters H. and Hiscock K. (eds) Marine Life Information Netwoork: Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Reviews, [on-line]. Plymouth: Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom. Available from: <u>https://www.marlin.ac.uk/species/detail/1179</u>

Nøstvik, F. and Pedersen, T., 1999. Catching cod for tagging experiments. Fisheries Research 42 (1): 57-66.

Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd 2017. Inshore Fisheries Management Plan, January 2017.

Orkney Sustainable Fisheries (OSF). (no date) Research Objectives/Strategy 2017-2020.

Pierpoint, C., 2000. Bycatch of marine turtles in UK and Irish waters, JNCC Report 310, 32 pages, ISSN 0963 8091.

Rodrigues, E. 2015. Effectiveness of escape panels for reducing undersized catch in the Orkney brown *Cancer pagurus*. 3pp. Unpublished.

Rodrigues, E., and Coleman, M.T. 2017. Orkney Shellfish Research Project: Market sampling report. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd. Report no. 22, 39 pp.

Ryan C., R. Leaper, P. G. H. Evans, K. Dyke, K. P. Robinson, G. N. Haskins, S. Calderan, N. van Geel, O. Harries, K. Froud, A. Brownlow and A. Jack, 2016. Entanglement: an emerging threat to humpback whales in Scottish waters. International Whaling Commission, SC/66b/HIM/01.



Sewell, J. & Hiscock, K., 2005. Effects of fishing within UK European Marine Sites: guidance for nature conservation agencies. Report to the Countryside Council for Wales, English Nature and Scottish Natural Heritage from the Marine Biological Association. Plymouth: Marine Biological Association. CCW Contract FC 73-03-214A. 195 pp.

Sheehy, M.R.J and Prior, A. E. 2008. Progress on an old question for stock assessment of the edible crab *Cancer pagurus*. Marine Ecology Progress Series, **353**: 191-202.

Shester, G. and Micheli, F. 2011. Conservation challenges for small-scale fisheries: Bycatch and habitat impacts of traps and gillnets. Biological Conservation 144: 1673-1681.

Taggart, C.T., P. Penney, N. Barrowman and C. George 1995. The 1954-1993 Newfoundland codtagging database: statistical summaries and spatial-temporal distributions. Can. Tech. Rep. Fis. and Aquat. Sci. 2042.

Tallack, S. M. L. 2002. The biology and exploitation of three species in the Shetland Islands, Scotland: *Cancer pagurus, Necora puber* and *Carcinus maenas*. PhD Thesis. NAFC/UHI.

Tallack S. M.L., 2007. Size-fecundity relationships for *Cancer pagurus* and *Necora puber* in the Shetland Islands, Scotland: how is reproductive capacity facilitated? J. Mar. Biol. Ass. UK. 87: 507-515.

Thompson, B.M., Lawler, A.R. & Bennett, D.B. 1995. Estimation of the spatial distribution of spawning crabs (*Cancer pagurus* L.) using larval surveys of the English Channel. *ICES Marine Science Symposia*, 199, 139-150.

Websites

OSF website http://www.orkneysustainablefisheries.co.uk/

Marine Scotland http://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine

Scottish Natural Heritage https://www.snh.scot/

Joint Nature Conservation Committee http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/default.aspx?page=1729

ICES – Lates Advice http://www.ices.dk/community/advisory-process/Pages/Latest-advice.aspx

CITES Appendices <u>https://cites.org/eng/app/appendices.php</u>

IUCN Red List http://www.iucnredlist.org/



8. Appendices

8.1. Appendix 1 Scoring and Rationales

8.1.1. Appendix 1.1 Performance Indicator Scores and Rationale – Evaluation Tables

8.1.1.1 Principle 1 – Sustainable Target Fish Stocks – Evaluation Tables Pl 1.1.1 – Stock Status

PI :	1.1.1	The stock is at a level which mai overfishing	ntains high productivity and has	a low probability of recruitment		
Scol	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100		
а	Stock status	relative to recruitment impairn	nent			
	Guidepost	It is likely that the stock is above the point where recruitment would be impaired (PRI).	It is highly likely that the stock is above the PRI.	There is a high degree of certainty that the stock is above the PRI.		
	Met?	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Justification	The Risk Based Framework (RBF) has been used to score this PL			
		Consequence Analysis (CA) and 1.2.1 and 1.2.2, respectively. CA score is 80 and PSA score is 8 FCR v.2.0 Table PF7 shows the r	alysis (CA) and Productivity Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) tables are in Annexe espectively. d PSA score is 84. F7 shows the rules to beused to produce an overall score. When the CA score is			
		80 or 100, and the PSA score i	is ≥80, the overall score awarde	d shall be at the midway point		
		between the CA and PSA scores				
b	Charle status	A score of 82 is therefore award	led for this PI.			
a	Stock status	In relation to achievement of N	/IST	There is a high degree of		
	Guidepost		around a level consistent with MSY.	certainty that the stock has been fluctuating around a level consistent with MSY or has been above this level over recent years.		
	Met?		(Y/N)	(Y/N)		
	Justification	The Risk Based Framework (RBF Consequence Analysis (CA) and 1.2.1 and 1.2.2, respectively. CA score is 80 and PSA score is 8 FCR v.2.0 Table PF7 shows the r 80 or 100, and the PSA score is between the CA and PSA scores A score of 82 is therefore aw	BF) has been used to score this PI. and Productivity Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) tables are in Ana is 84. e rules to beused to produce an overall score. When the CA sco e is ≥80, the overall score awarded shall be at the midway p res.			
		Bennett, D.B. 1995. Factors in th	he life history of the edible crab (C	Cancer pagurus L.) that influence		
Refe	erences	Modelling and management. ICE Haig, J. A., Bakke, S., Bell, M. C., Pantin, J. R., Roach, M., Salomo	ES Marine Science Symposia, 199 , Bloor, I. S. M., Cohen, M., Colem onsen, H., and Tully, O. Reproduc	89-98. nan, M., Dignan, S., Kaiser, M. J., ctive traits and factors affecting		



PI 1.1.1	The stock is at a level which maintains high productivity and has a low probability of recruitment overfishing					
Scoring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100			
	the size at maturity of <i>Cancer pagurus</i> across Northern Europe. – ICES Journal of Marine Science, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw081. Mesquita, C., Miethe, T., Dobby, H. and McLay, A. 2017. Crab and Lobster Fisheries in Scotland: Results of stock assessments 2013-2015. Scottish Marine and Freshwater Science, Volume 8, No.14.					
	Sheehy, M.R.J and Prior, A. E. 2008. Progress on an old question for stock assessment of the dibble crab <i>Cancer pagurus</i> . Marine Ecology Progress Series, 353: 191-202					
	Tallack, S. M. L. 2007. Size-fecundity relationships for <i>Cancer pagurus</i> and <i>Necora puber</i> in the Shetland Island, Scotland: how is reproductive capacity facilitated. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. UK, 87: 507-515.					
	Thompson, B.M., Lawle spawning crabs (<i>Cancer</i> Science Symposia, 199, 1	r, A.R. & Bennett, D.B. 1995 <i>pagurus</i> L.) using larval sur 39-150.	5. Estimation of the spatia rveys of the English Chanr	l distribution of nel. <i>ICES Marine</i>		
Stock Status relativ	e to Reference Points					
	Type of reference point	Value of reference point	Current stock status relat point	ive to reference		
Reference point used in scoring stock relative to PRI (SIa)	[e.g. B _{LOSS}]	[Include value Include current stock status in the specifying units. e.g. 50,000t total stock 90,000/BLOSS=1.8]				
Reference point used in scoring stock relative to MSY (SIb)	[e.g. B _{MSY}]	Includevalue[Include current stock status in the same units as the reference point e.g.e.g.100,000ttotalstock biomass]90,000/B _{MSY} =0.9]				
OVERALL PERFORM	ANCE INDICATOR SCORE	:		82		
CONDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):			N/A		



PI 1.1.2 – Stock rebuilding

PI	1.1.2	Where the stock is reduced	, there is evidence of stock reb	uilding within a spec	ified timeframe
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100	
а	Rebuilding tim	neframes	·	·	
3	Guidepost	A rebuilding timeframe is specified for the stock that is the shorter of 20 years or 2 times its generation time . For cases where 2 generations is less than 5 years, the rebuilding timeframe is up to 5 years.		The shortest practic timeframe is specifi not exceed one ger the stock.	cable rebuilding ied which does neration time for
	Met?	N/A		N/A	
	Justification	As the RBF is used to scor	e PI 1.1.1, this PI is not score	d (FCR 2.0 Table PF	1).
b	Rebuilding ev	valuation			
	Guidepost	Monitoring is in place to determine whether the rebuilding strategies are effective in rebuilding the stock within the specified timeframe.	There is evidence that the rebuilding strategies are rebuilding stocks, or it is likely based on simulation modelling, exploitation rates or previous performance that they will be able to rebuild the stock within the specified timeframe.	There is strong evidence that the rebuilding strategies are rebuilding stocks, or it is highly likely based on simulation modelling, exploitation rates or previous performance that they will be able to rebuild the stock within the specified timeframe.	
	Justification	As the RBF is used to scor	e PI 1.1.1. this PI is not score	d (FCR 2.0 Table PF	1).
				•	
Refe	erences				
OVE	RALL PERFORM	IANCE INDICATOR SCORE:			N/A
CONDITION NUMBER (if relevant):		ER (if relevant):			N/A



PI 1.2.1 – Harvest strategy

PI	1.2.1 There is a robust and precautionary harvest strategy in place			
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100
а	Harvest strat	egy design		
	Guidepost	The harvest strategy is	The harvest strategy is	The harvest strategy is
		expected to achieve stock	responsive to the state of	responsive to the state of
		management objectives	the stock and the elements	the stock and is designed to
		reflected in PI 1.1.1 SG80.	of the harvest strategy	achieve stock management
			work together towards	objectives reflected in PI
			achieving stock	1.1.1 SG80.
			management objectives	
			reflected in PI 1.1.1 SG80.	
	Met?	Υ	Ν	Not scored
	Justification	Justification The harvest strategy is expected to achieve stock management objectives reflected 1.1.1 SG80.		nent objectives reflected in PI
		The overall harvest strategy is u	inderpinned by the European Un	ion's CFP which is implemented
at a national level through the individual Member Sta		individual Member States. Res	ponsibility for inshore fisheries	
for inshore fisheries is set out in the Scottish Inshore Fisheries Str		eries Strategy which includes		
		implementing effective assess	ment methodologies for fishing	at MSY. Under the European
		Marine Strategy Framework Dir	rective (MSFD) Member States a	re required to prepare national
		strategies to achieve Good Envi	ronmental Status (GES) by 2020.	Included under Descriptor 3 of
		GES is the requirement that stoc	ks should be exploited sustainabl	y consistent with high long-term
		yields, have full reproductive ca	pacity in order to maintain stock	biomass, and the proportion of
		older and larger fish/shellfish sh	ould be maintained (or increased	being an indicator of a healthy
		that all commercially exploited s	tocks should be in a healthy state	and that exploitation should be
		sustainable, vielding the MSY. T	he main tools available to Scottis	h Ministers to regulate fisheries
		are through restrictive licensing	or other measures set out in th	e Inshore Fishing (Scotland) Act
		1984.		
		At a local level, an IFMP has	been developed by OSF which	is recognised by the Scottish
		Government as the local Inshor	re Fisheries Group for Orkney.	As the Orkney Inshore Fisheries
		Group, OSF has no legislative	powers. The Orkney IFMP was	developed "to formulate local
		to oncure that local inshere	ficharias are well managed su	s high level objectives and help
		objectives of the management	nan are "to secure the future of	inshore fisheries in Orkney and
		maximise benefits to the loca	l community – through protect	ing stocks by developing local
		management measures, and enl	nancing our scientific knowledge o	on which management decisions
		can be made". The IFMP desc	ribes the characteristics of the a	rea, the local environment, the
		inshore fisheries and other m	narine activities, an overview o	of local shellfish research and
		information on newly introduced	d management measures. Whilst	the brown crab fishery operates
		under the IFMP, it is noticeable that the IFMP does not refer specifically to the brown crab fishery,		
		Indeed the IFMP does not even in	this MUS to 150mm CW from Fol	nt MLS for brown crab of 140mm
		Practice for crab suppliers whi	ich ensures only good condition	crabs are landed and covers
		hygiene practices on vessels of	good handling and storage proc	esses and good environmental
		practices.		Good entrollinental
		The key elements of the harves	st strategy are a restrictive licens	sing scheme for shellfish fishing
		under the governance of Marin	e Scotland and a MLS) of 140 mr	n CW as set out in EU Technical
		Conservation Regulation 850/9	8. Following a consultation with	the fishing industry and other
		stakeholders in 2016, the MLS w	vill be increased in February 2018	to 150 mm CW across Scotland



PI	1.2.1	There is a robust and precautio	nary harvest strategy in place				
		must have a general licence but must also have a shellfish entitlement to fish for brown crabs. Vessels without a shellfish entitlement are restricted to a maximum daily catch of 25 crabs in total of all crab species, hobby fishermen are limited to a catch of 5 crabs of any species per day, and trawlers are entitled to a maximum bycatch of 10% of crabs. Whilst there is a limit on the number of vessels that hold a shellfish entitlement, any Scottish registered vessel with a shellfish entitlement could fish in Orkney waters, although this is an unlikely scenario. There is no limit or creel numbers that can be targeted at brown crabs, no spatial controls on the fishery, and there is no annual quota or TAC for either the fishery as a whole or individual vessels. Under the Sea Fisheries (Shellfish) Act 1967 there is a prohibition on the landing of egg-bearing (berried) females and soft-shelled crabs.					
		A key element of the harvest st returns. All vessels over 12m in must complete an electronic log in the shellfish fleet to have Succourfish position recording logbooks. All vessels under 10m	A key element of the harvest strategy is the requirement for licensed crab vessels to make catch returns. All vessels over 12m in length must have on board a VMS to record fishing position and must complete an electronic logbook (ERS). As yet there is no requirement for the smaller vessels in the shellfish fleet to have VMS on board, although around 20 have been fitted with a Succourfish position recording system. Vessels of 10-12m length must complete EU paper logbooks. All vessels under 10m length must complete the Marine Scotland FISH1 landing returns.				
		A harvest strategy which inclanding size is expected to ach rates of brown crabs at or al- undertake regular assessmen no formal annual process management advice to Marin action. Stock assessment and University will feed back to O trigger OSF to consider addit power, and therefore any ne- up and progressed by Marin Marine Scotland to act quick status in Orkney declined r increasing the minimum land the number of creels (which w strategy is responsive to the SG 100 is not scored as all SG80	cludes a restrictive entry licens nieve the stock management of pove current levels and therefor by which the stock assess ne Scotland in Edinburgh and h d research work undertaken lo DSF as the IFG in Orkney. Whi ional management measures, we management measures pro e Scotland. There is no clear ly to introduce management re apidly. Whilst there have be ling size (which was implement vas not implemented), it is not state of the stock and therefor	sing scheme and a minimum bjectives of maintaining catch ore SG60 is met. Whilst MSS crab stock in Orkney, there is sments are translated into nence potential management ocally by OSF and Heriot-Watt lst such scientific advice may OSF has no formal legislative posed by OSF must be taken mechanism in place to allow measures if brown crab stock een recent consultations on ted) and introducing limits on clear that the current harvest re the SG80 is not met.			
b	Harvest strat	egy evaluation		•			
	Guidepost	The harvest strategy is likely to work based on prior experience or plausible argument.	The harvest strategy may not have been fully tested but evidence exists that it is achieving its objectives.	The performance of the harvest strategy has been fully evaluated and evidence exists to show that it is achieving its objectives including being clearly able to maintain stocks at target levels.			
	Met?	Y	Y	Not scored			
	Justification	ification The harvest strategy may not have been fully tested but evidence exists that it is achieving its objectives.					



PI	1.2.1 There is a robust and precautionary harvest strategy in place				
		A harvest strategy based on a restrictive entry licensing scheme and a minimum landing size significantly above the observed size-at-maturity is likely to work based on prior experience in other brown crab fisheries, so SG60 is met. Recent landings of brown crab in Orkney have been relatively stable over the last 10 years, but show an increase since 2014/15, and LPUE data from OSF logbooks have been stable since the start of data collection in 2012. Although the harvest strategy has not been fully tested, and there is still a relatively short time series of logbook data, recent unpublished research from Heriot-Watt University concluded that the current fishing mortality ensures that there is a sustainable level of egg production. All the current evidence suggests that the harvest strategy is achieving its objectives of maintaining a sustainable fishery. Therefore, SG80 is met.			
С	Harvest strat	egy monitoring			
	Guidepost	Monitoring is in place that is expected to determine whether the harvest strategy is working.			
	Met?	Y			
	Justification	Monitoring is in place that is expected to determine whether the harvest strategy is working. There is mandatory collection of landings and effort data through electronic log books for over 12m vessels, EU log books on vessels between 10m and 12m and through the FI forms for under 10m vessels. In addition OSF has its own log book scheme where approximately 8% of the fleet provide data on landings, fishing effort, discards of brown crab, bycatch and soak time. The Registration of Buyers and Sellers Regulation ensures that all landings are recorded. Size distribution of brown crab landings are collected through Marine Scotland's market sampling programme, supplemented by additional size distribution data collected by OSF. OSF undertakes regular observer trips to obtain information on discarding practices for both unwanted brown crabs and other species. In addition, Marine Scotland Compliance enforcement activity at both sea and on the quayside, ensures that all fisheries regulations including minimum landing size (MLS) are observed. Sufficient monitoring is carried out to determine whether the harvest strategy is working. Therefore, SG60 is met.			
d	Harvest strat	egy review			
	Guidepost Met? Justification	SG 100 is not scored as all SG	80 have not been met (ECB 7 /	The harvest strategy is periodically reviewed and improved as necessary. Not scored	
е	Shark finning				
	Guidepost	It is likely that shark finning is not taking place.	It is highly likely that shark finning is not taking place.	There is a high degree of certainty that shark finning is not taking place.	
	Met?	Not relevant	Not relevant	Not relevant	
	Justification	Scoring issue is not scored as	sharks are not a target species	S	
f	Review of alte	rnative measures			
	Guidepost	There has been a review of the potential effectiveness and practicality of alternative	There is a regular review of the potential effectiveness and practicality of alternative	There is a biennial review of the potential effectiveness and practicality of alternative	



PI	기 1.2.1 There is a robust and precautionary harvest strategy in place				
		measures to minimise UoA-	measures to minimise UoA-	measures to n	ninimise UoA-
		related mortality of unwanted	related mortality of unwanted	related morta	lity of unwanted
		catch of the target stock.	catch of the target stock and	catch of the ta	irget stock, and
			they are implemented as	they are imple	mented, as
			appropriate.	appropriate.	
			- FF - F		
	Met?	Υ	Ν	Not scored	
	Justification	There has been a review of the	potential effectiveness and practi	cality of alterna	tive measures
		to minimise UoA-related mortal	ity of unwanted catch of the targ	et stock.	
		There is a significant unwante	d catch of the target species, e	ither through I	peing under the
		minimum legal landing size and	at times of the year when the cr	eels may contai	n large numbers
	of newly-moulted soft crabs for which there is no market. Survival of discarded small and soft				
		crabs has been estimated to be	very high in Orkney, and conside	ration has been	given by OSF as
		to how catches of unwanted cra	bs could be minimized through th	e use of escape	gaps. The SG60
	is met. Whilst recent research in Orkney has demonstrated the effectiveness of escape gaps				f escape gaps in
		reducing the catch and hence c	an minimise mortality of undersi	zed crabs, the	research has not
		vet been published and OSE r	eport that escape gaps cannot	currently be im	nlemented as a
mandatory technical measure, with such an action requiring public consultation and the re			and the resulting		
			unwanted catch		
		of brown crab have not been im	plemented and so SG80 is not me	at	unwanted eaten
		of brown crab have not been in	premented and so soloo is not me		
	SG 100 Is not scored as all SG80 have not been met (FCR 7.10.53).				
	EU Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) (Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013)				
		Dell M.C. and Casasiana I. 20			
		Bell, M.C. and Gascolgne, J. 20	II, M.C. and Gascoigne, J. 2012. MSC Pre-Assessment of the Orkney lobster, brown crab and		
		velvet crab fishery. MacAlister	-lliott and Partners Ltd. 100pp.		
		Marina Scotland 201	E Scottich Incho	ro Eichoria	s Stratogy
		http://www.govgcot/Pocourcov	5. Scottishi ilisho (0049/00494784.pdf	re risiterit	es Strategy.
		http://www.gov.scot/Resource/	<u>0049/00494/84.pdi</u>		
		Mesquita C Miethe T Dobhy	H and McLay A 2017 Crab ar	nd Lobster Fishe	ries in Scotland
		Results of stock assessments 2	012-2015 Scottish Marine and	Erechwater Sci	ance Volume 8
		No 14			ence, volume o,
Ref	erences	NU.14.			
		MSC 2014 Bonchmarking an	d Tracking Tool (PMT): Guidan	co for bonchr	arking ficharias
		improving towards MSC cortific	tion Marino Stowardshin Council		Idi kilig Tisheries
		http://www.msc.org/documont	s (developing world (bonchmarking	n. Ng and	
		http://www.insc.org/document	tracking tool guidance documon	<u>ig-allu-</u>	
trackingtool/benchmarking-and-tracking-tool-guidance-document					
Orkney Sustainable Fisheries (OSF). 2017. Insh			DSF). 2017. Inshore Fisheries Ma	anagement Plai	n, January 2017,
		14pp.			
		Rodrigues, E. 2015. Effective	eness of escape panels for red	ucing undersiz	ed catch in the
		Orkney brown Cancer paguru	is. 3pp. Unpublished.		
OVI	ERALL PERFORM				65
CO	NDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):			1



PI 1.2.2 – Harvest control rules and tools

PI 1.2.2 There are well defined and effective		ctive harvest control rules (HCRs	;) in place	
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100
а	HCRs design	and application		
	Guidepost	Generally understood HCRs	Well defined HCRs are in	The HCRs are expected to
		are in place or available	place that ensure that the	keep the stock fluctuating
		that are expected to reduce	exploitation rate is reduced	at or above a target level
		the exploitation rate as the	as the PRI is approached,	consistent with MSY, or
		point of recruitment	are expected to keep the	another more appropriate
		impairment (PRI) is	stock fluctuating around a	level taking into account
		approached.	target level consistent with	the ecological role of the
			(or above) MSY, or for key	stock, most of the time.
			LTL species a level	
			consistent with ecosystem	
			needs.	
	Met?	Y	Ν	Not scored
	Justification	Generally understood HCRs	are in place or available that	are expected to reduce the
		exploitation rate as the point	of recruitment impairment (P	RI) is approached.
		In the absence of formal target a	nd limit reference points, it is not	possible to determine the status
	of the brown crab stocks relative to biologically-based limits for sustainability, and the RBF		sustainability, and the RBF was	
		therefore used to score PI 1.1.1	. It is appropriate therefore to c	onsider how the harvest control
		rules manage the fishery to e	nsure that the susceptibility sco	o When the PPE is used it is not
		necessary for exploitation rates	to be reduced as reference point	s are approached
		The MSC Guidance for the F	isheries Certification Requireme	ents (MSC FCR Guidance v2.0.
		paragraph GSA2.5.2 – 2) states t	that -	
		"CABs should assess the extent	to which there are management	tools and measures in place that
		are consistent with ensuring that	at susceptibility of the target spec	ties to removal is no higher than
		that which would cause the ri	sk to the target species to be a	bove an acceptable risk range.
		Measures could be spatial, te	mporal, or changes to gear over	erlap. Assessments should also
		consider measures in place to	respond to changes in the fish	the direction of its objectives "
		susceptionity of target species v	men the fishery is not heading in	the direction of its objectives.
		The restrictive entry licensing so	heme should limit the areal overl	ap of the fishery with the brown
		crab stock, capture in creels requ	uires brown crabs to be active and	feeding to enter the creels, and
		the current MLS is significantly	above the size-at-maturity, and	post-capture mortality is low in
		brown crabs that are captured a	and discarded. During and follow	ing the site visit, the assessment
		team was presented with some	e new approaches to assessing st	ock status of brown crab by Dr.
		Mike Bell of Heriot-Watt Univer	sity, Stromness. This new study	concluded that both the current
		and future MLS should provide	significant protection to spawn	ing potential even at increased
		levels of fishing mortality (fishin	ig effort), and therefore along wi	th the high survival of discarded
		considered to be an effective b	en demonstrated for the Orkney	y creel lishery, the MLS can be
		and has not undergone any formal near raviou process, along with other surront management		with other current management
		tools, it provides an initial evalu	uation of the likelihood that the	current tools in use would seem
		appropriate to ensuring that the	e susceptibility of the brown crab	stock is not impacted.
		Being the recognised Orkney	Inshore Fisheries Group, OSF I	nas no legislative powers, and
		so legislation must be mad	e through Marine Scotland.	The main tools available to
		Scottish Ministers to regul	ate fisheries are through re	estrictive licensing or other
		measures set out in the Insh	ore Fishing (Scotland) Act 1984	4, and a range of orders have
		been made under the Act ir	troducing a number of local	and national measures for a



PI	1.2.2	There are well defined and effe	ctive harvest control rules (HCRs	in place
		range of instery management purposes. It is generally understood that measures will be introduced if stock indicators decline in the Orkney brown crab fishery, and local measures introduced previously under the 1984 Act demonstrate that appropriate HCRs are available to reduce exploitation rates if required. For example, in 2016 new minimum landing sizes for velvet crabs, lobsters and green crabs in Orkney were implemented along with a prohibition on the landing of berried velvet crabs. The introduction of these management measures followed an initial proposal by OSF, consultations with stakeholders undertaken by Marine Scotland and then implementation of these measures by Marine Scotland. The SG60 is met therefore. As noted above, the MSC CRv2.0 Guidance states that "assessments should also consider measures in place to respond to changes in the fishery, for example, by reducing susceptibility of target species when the fishery is not heading in the direction of its objectives". There are no current limits on creel numbers and no TAC in place, the likelihood is that the MLS (the assumed HCR) will not be either increased or decreased in relation to changes in stock status primarily due to market considerations, and there is no well-defined mechanism in place to allow OSF or Marine Scotland to act quickly to introduce management measures in the Orkney brown crab fishery. It cannot be concluded therefore that there are well-defined HCRs that would ensure that exploitation rates would be reduced or that susceptibility would be reduced quickly in response to significant detrimental trends in stock indicators. Therefore, SG80 is not met.		
b	HCRs robustr	ness to uncertainty		[
	Guidepost		robust to the main uncertainties.	wide range of uncertainties including the ecological role of the stock, and there is evidence that the HCRs are robust to the main uncertainties.
	Met?		γ	Not scored
	Justification	The HCRs are likely to be robust to the main uncertainties. The assessment team was presented with some new approaches to assessing status of brown crab by Dr. Mike Bell of Heriot-Watt University, Stromness durin following the site visit. This new study concluded that the MLS should provide signi protection to spawning potential even at increased levels of fishing mortality (f effort), and therefore along with the high survival of discarded undersized crabs has been demonstrated for the Orkney creel fishery, the MLS can be considered to effective harvest control rule. The study considered uncertainties in relation to gr natural mortality, discard mortality, fecundity, size at maturity and fishing mortality assessed how well the MLS (the assumed HCR) is likely to function when the unexp (e.g. doubling of fishing effort) happens in the future. Whilst the MLS cann considered as a well-defined HCR, and it is not clear that there are mechanisms in to ensure that exploitation rates are reduced when stock indicators decline and susceptibility of the stock to fishing is not increased, there has been some evaluat the robustness of the selected HCR to the main uncertainties. SG80 is met.		pproaches to assessing stock ersity, Stromness during and ALS should provide significant s of fishing mortality (fishing rded undersized crabs which LS can be considered to be an tainties in relation to growth, rity and fishing mortality, and unction when the unexpected Whilst the MLS cannot be here are mechanisms in place c indicators decline and that has been some evaluation of es. SG80 is met. 10.53).
С	HCRs evaluati	on		



PI	1.2.2	There are well defined and effective harvest control rules (HCRs) in place			
	Guidepost	There is some evidence that tools used or available	Availableevidenceindicatesthatthetoolsin	Evidence clearly sh the tools in use are	hows that re effective
		to implement HCRs are appropriate and effective in controlling exploitation.	use are appropriate and effective in achieving the exploitation levels required under the HCRs.	in achieving the ex levels required und HCRs.	xploitation ider the
	Met?	γ	γ	Not scored	
	Justification	Available evidence indicates achieving the exploitation lev A restrictive entry licensing s size -at-maturity have been ensure that the susceptibility was significantly improved in tools in use are effective in susceptibility of the stock is r SG 100 is not scored as all SG	ible evidence indicates that the tools in use are appropriate and effective in ving the exploitation levels required under the HCRs. trictive entry licensing scheme and a minimum landing size significantly above the at-maturity have been shown to be appropriate tools to control exploitation and e that the susceptibility of the stock to fishing does not increase. Since data quality ignificantly improved in 2012, the evidence from stock indicator trends is that the in use are effective in ensuring that exploitation levels do not increase and that ptibility of the stock is not compromised. SG 80 is met.		
Ref	MSC Fisheries Certification Requirements Guidance v2.0 Bell, M. 2017. Egg per recruit analysis in support of a Harvest Control Rule for the Orkney brow crab creel fishery. Unpublished report. Haig, J. A., Bakke, S., Bell, M. C., Bloor, I. S. M., Cohen, M., Coleman, M., Dignan, S., Kaiser M. J., Pantin, J. R., Roach, M., Salomonsen, H., and Tully, O. 2016. Reproductive trait and factors affecting the size at maturity of <i>Cancer pagurus</i> across Northern Europe. ICES Journal of Marine Science, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw081.			rkney brown n, S., Kaiser, uctive traits n Europe. –	
		FR (if relevant).		75	
				2	

5



PI 1.2.3		Relevant information is collected to support the harvest strategy			
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100	
а	Range of info	ormation			
	Guidepost	Some relevant information	Sufficient relevant	A comprehensive range of	
		related to stock structure,	information related to stock	information (on stock	
		stock productivity and fleet	structure, stock	structure, stock	
		composition is available to	productivity, fleet	productivity, fleet	
		support the harvest	composition and other data	composition, stock	
		strategy.	is available to support the	abundance, UoA removals	
			harvest strategy.	and other information such	
				as environmental	
				information), including	
				some that may not be	
				directly related to the	
				current harvest strategy, is	
				available.	
	Met?	Y	Y	Y	
Justification A comprehensive range of information (on stock structure, stock productiv		ure, stock productivity, fleet			
	composition, stock abundance, UoA removals and other information such a				
		environmental information), including some that may not be directly related to the			
		current harvest strategy, is available.			
		Recording of landings and fishi	ng effort data is mandatory und	ler Marine Scotland regulations	
		through electronic logbooks, p	aper EU logbooks or FISH1 form	s depending on the size of the	
		vessel, VMS is required on all	large vessels to record fishing a	activity, and Succorfish position	
		monitoring equipment has bee	n installed on approximately 20	of the smaller inshore vessels.	
		Catch and effort data are supp	lemented by voluntary OSF logb	ooks, market sampling of stock	
		structure is undertaken by both	n Marine Scotland and OSF, and	observer sampling of discarded	
		catches of both brown crab an	id bycatch species is undertaken	by USF staff. There is a good	
		landings under the Registration	of Buyers and Sellers (BBS) schem	and the manualory recording of	
		to the log books on LloA remov	vals A wide range of biological d	ata on the Orkney crah stock is	
		available including estimates	of natural and fishing mortalit	v size at maturity fecundity	
		estimates of mortality rates of	f discards, and tagging studies t	to evaluate stock structure. In	
		addition, there is a major progr	ramme of environmental monito	ring in Orkney waters, including	
		sea surface temperate (SST) m	nonitoring by the European Mar	ine Energy Centre, sea bottom	
		temperature monitoring by O	SF, and SST, water quality, ma	arine intertidal and non-native	
		monitoring by Orkney Marine	Services. In summary, there	is a comprehensive range of	
		information available to suppor	t the harvest strategy. The SG100) is met therefore.	
b	Monitoring				
	Guidepost	Stock abundance and UOA	Stock abundance and UoA	All information required by	
		removals are monitored	removals are regularly	the narvest control rule is	
		and at least one indicator is	monitored at a level of	monitored with high	
		available and monitored	accuracy and coverage	frequency and a high	
		with sufficient frequency to	consistent with the harvest	degree of certainty, and	
		support the narvest control	control rule, and one or	there is a good	
		rule.	more indicators are	understanding of inherent	
			available and monitored	uncertainties in the	
			with sufficient frequency to	information [data] and the	
			support the harvest control	robustness of assessment	
			rule.		

PI 1.2.3 – Information and monitoring



PI :	1.2.3 Relevant information is collected to support the harvest strategy			y	
				and management to this	
				uncertainty.	
	Met?	Y	Y	Ν	
	Justification	Stock abundance and UoA re	movals are regularly monitor	ed at a level of accuracy and	
		coverage consistent with th	e harvest control rule, and	one or more indicators are	
		available and monitored with	sufficient frequency to suppo	rt the harvest control rule.	
		UoA removals are well monito	red through mandatory recording	ng of landings and effort from	
		vessels of all size categories, and OSF supplements this information with more detailed log book			
	requirements on around 8% of the brown crab fleet, and by regular observer trips on brown crab				
	vessels. Similarly the Registration of Buyers and Sellers regulations ensures that all landings are				
		recorded. Stock abundance ca	nnot be measured directly, but	LPUE data from mandatory log	
		under 10m sector of the fleet h	abundance. The SG80 is met. In	atony recording of fishing effort	
		information and there is curren	tly only a relatively short time se	ries of LPLIE data collected from	
		log books by OSE since 2012. In	addition, there may be some unc	ertainty about how I PUF relates	
		to stock abundance in a creel f	ishery and so there is not a high	degree of certainty about long	
		term time trends in stock inc	licators. Whilst there is some	understanding of the inherent	
		uncertainties in the data, the rob	oustness of assessment and mana	gement to the uncertainty is not	
		well understood. SG100 is not r	net.		
c	c Comprehensiveness of information				
	Guidepost		There is good information		
			on all other fishery		
			removals from the stock.		
	Met?		Y		
	Justification	There is good information on	all other fishery removals from	n the stock.	
		There may be fishery removals	from vessels other than licensed	fishing vessels with a shellfish	
		entitlement. Vessels without a shellfish entitlement may only land a daily maximum of 25 crabs			
		virtually all vessels have shellfing	species - brown crab, verver crab,	spluer crab and green crab, but	
		catch, but may land up to 5 bro	own crabs per day, although ther	e is little opportunity for hobby	
		fishermen to find sufficient space	ce on the ground to fish their cree	els. There is no requirement for	
		hobby fishermen to declare the	ir landings, but hobby landings a	are considered to be minimal in	
		relation to the commercial fishe	ery. There are no vessels fishing i	n Orkney waters for crab which	
		then land outside the region, so	there are no unrecorded catche	es of brown crabs, but any such	
		landings would in any case be r	ecorded on log books as originat	ing from ICES rectangles within	
		the Orkney fishery and so would	d be allocated to the Orkney fish	ery. There is a small bycatch in	
		scallop dredges, but there is som	ne understanding of the magnitud	de of these bycatches, and there	
		may be some bycatch by traw	lers, but if there is a sufficient i	humber landed they should be	
		fishery	Buyers and Sellers and recorde	u as landings from the Orkney	
		It can be concluded that there	e is good information on all oth	ner fishery removals from the	
		stock and the SG80 is met.	C C		
		Coleman, M.T. and Rodrigues, E	. 2017a. Orkney Shellfish Resea	rch Project: Orkney brown crab	
		(Cancer pagurus) tagging projec	t. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Li	td. Report no. 19, 21 pp.	
Def		Coleman, M. I. and Rodrigues, E.	2017b. Orkney Shellfish Research	Project: Logbook and Observer	
кете	erences	Report, 2013-2016. Orkney SUS	tamable risheries Ltd. Report No.	21, 25 μμ.	
		Coleman, M.T. and Rodrigues	E. 2017c. Orknev Shellfish Rese	arch Project: Succorfish report	
		Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltc	I. Report no. 20, 18 pp.		
		,	-/		



PI 1.2.3	Relevant information is collected to support the harvest strategy		
	 Haig, J. A., Bakke, S., Bell, M. C., Bloor, I. S. M., Cohen, M., Coleman, M., Dignan, Pantin, J. R., Roach, M., Salomonsen, H., and Tully, O. 2016. Reproductive t affecting the size at maturity of <i>Cancer pagurus</i> across Northern Europe. – ICES J Science, doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw081. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries (OSF). (no date) Research Objectives/Strategy 201 	, S., Kaiser, M. J., raits and factors ournal of Marine 7-2020.	
	Rodrigues, E., and Coleman, M.T. 2017. Orkney Shellfish Research Project: Market sampling report. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd. Report no. 22, 39 pp. Shellfish (Restrictions on Taking by Unlicensed Fishing Boats (Scotland) Order 2017		
OVERALL PERFORM	OVERALL PERFORMANCE INDICATOR SCORE: 90		
CONDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):	N/A	



PI 1.2.4 – Assessment of stock status

PI 1.2.4		There is an adequate assessme	nt of the stock status		
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100	
а	Appropriater	less of assessment to stock un	der consideration		
	Guidepost		The assessment is	The assessment takes into	
			appropriate for the stock	account the major features	
			and for the harvest control	relevant to the biology of	
			rule.	the species and the nature	
				of the UoA.	
	Met?		N/A	N/A	
	Justification	As the RBF is used to score P	I 1.1.1, a default score of 80 is	awarded to this PI (FCR v.2.0	
		Table PF1)			
b	Assessment a	approach			
	Guidepost	The assessment estimates	The assessment estimates		
		stock status relative to	stock status relative to		
		generic reference points	reference points that are		
		appropriate to the species	appropriate to the stock		
		category.	and can be estimated.		
	Met?	N/A	N/A		
	Justification	As the RBF is used to score P	1.1.1.1, a default score of 80 is	awarded to this PI (FCR v.2.0	
		Table PF1)			
С	Uncertainty i	n the assessment			
	Guidepost	The assessment identifies	The assessment takes	The assessment takes into	
		maior sources of	uncertainty into account.	account uncertainty and is	
		uncertainty.	,	evaluating stock status	
		,		relative to reference points	
				in a probabilistic way.	
	Met?	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Justification	As the RBF is used to score P	I 1.1.1, a default score of 80 is	awarded to this PI (FCR v.2.0	
		Table PF1)			
d	Evaluation of	assessment			
	Guidepost			The assessment has been	
				tested and shown to be	
				robust. Alternative	
				hypotheses and assessment	
				approaches have been	
				rigorously explored.	
	Met?			N/A	
	Justification	As the RBF is used to score P	I 1.1.1, a default score of 80 is	awarded to this PI (FCR v.2.0	
		Table PF1)			
е	Peer review of	of assessment			
	Guidepost		The assessment of stock	The assessment has been	
			status is subject to peer	internally and externally	
			review.	peer reviewed.	
	Met?		N/A	N/A	
	Justification	As the RBF is used to score P	I 1.1.1, a default score of 80 is	awarded to this PI (FCR v.2.0	
		Table PF1)	·		
Ref	erences	MSC FCR v.2.0 Table PF1			
OVI	OVERALL PERFORMANCE INDICATOR SCORE: 80				



PI 1.2.4	There is an adequate assessment of the stock status	
CONDITION NUMBER (if relevant):		N/A



Finaly 3		The LIOA aims to maintain primary species above the PRI and does not hinder recovery of			
PI	2.1.1	primary species if they are belo	ow the PRI.	a does not minder recovery of	
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100	
а	Main primary	y species stock status			
	Guidepost	Main primary species are likely to be above the PRI OR If the species is below the PRI, the UoA has measures in place that are expected to ensure that the UoA does not hinder recovery and rebuilding.	Main primary species are highly likely to be above the PRI OR If the species is below the PRI, there is either evidence of recovery or a demonstrably effective strategy in place between all MSC UoAs which categorise this species as main, to ensure that they collectively do not hinder	There is a high degree of certainty that main primary species are above the PRI and are fluctuating around a level consistent with MSY.	
			recovery and rebuilding.		
	Met?	Y	Υ	Y	
		And the first a high degree of ter are fluctuating around a leve Main primary species are he and Eastern English Channel a of Scotland, Skagerrak and Ka North Sea, Skarregak, Katte classified as being at full repro 10 years. North Sea, Rockall and We fluctuated without trend and classifies the stock as being a	I consistent with MSY, the fish erring (<i>Clupea harengus</i>) in No and saithe (<i>Pollachius virens</i>) ir attegat used as bait. egat and Eastern English Char oductive capacity. SSB is well a est of Scotland, Skagerrak a d has been well above MSY B _t	ery meeting SG100. orth Sea, Skarregak, Kattegat n North Sea, Rockall and West nnel herring stock has been bove MSY B _{trigger} since the last nd Kattegat saithe SSB has rigger in the last 10 years. ICES	
b	Minor prima	ry species stock status			
	Guidepost			Minor primary species are highly likely to be above the PRI OR If below the PRI, there is evidence that the UoA does not hinder the recovery and rebuilding of minor primary species	
	Met?			γ	
	Justification	All minor primary species are	highly likely to be above the PF	RI, the fishery meeting SG100.	

8.1.1.2 Principle 2 – Environmental Impact of Fishing – Evaluation Tables Pl 2.1.1 – Primary species outcome



PI	2.1.1	The UoA aims to maintain primary species above the PRI and does not hin primary species if they are below the PRI.	der recovery of
		Minor primary species are cod (<i>Gadus morhua</i>) in North Sea, eastern Engli Skagerrat, haddock (<i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>) in North Sea, West Skagerrat and Rockall, and whiting (<i>Merlangius merlangus</i>) in North Se English Channel used as bait and caught in brown crab creels as non-targe	sh channel and Scotland and ea and eastern et species.
North Sea, eastern Englis at full reproductive capa be currently above B _{lim} increased recruitment in In Rockall, haddock SSB h estimated to be above M but has improved since w Scotland and Skagerrat, h		North Sea, eastern English channel and Skagerrat cod stock has been class at full reproductive capacity. SSB has increased from the historical low lebe currently above B_{lim} and slightly below MSY $B_{trigger}$. There are also increased recruitment in 2017.	ssified as being evel in 2006 to indications of
		In Rockall, haddock SSB has increased from the lowest observed in 2014 a estimated to be above MSY $B_{trigger}$. Recruitment was weak during the perbut has improved since with a 2017 recruitment estimated to be high. In N Scotland and Skagerrat, haddock SSB has been mostly above MSY $B_{trigger}$ s	and is currently riod 2008-2012 lorth Sea, West ince 2002.
		In North Sea and eastern English Channel, whiting SSB has fluctuated around, above MSY B _{trigger} . ICES classifies the stock as being at full reproductive capacity.	and is currently
above MST brigger. ICLS classifies the stock at Coleman M.T. and Rodrigues E., 2017b. Logi Project. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd. No ICES 2017a. Herring (<i>Clupea harengus</i>) in Su (North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat, eastern catch, and effort – Greater North S 10.17895/ices.pub.3130.ICES 2017b. Cod (<i>Gadus morhua</i>) in Subarea English Channel, Skagerrak). ICES advice on fi Sea Ecoregion. Published 14 November 2017ICES 2017c. Saithe (<i>Pollachius virens</i>) in sub and West Scotland, Skagerrak and Kattegat). – Celtic Seas, Faroes and Greater Noth 10.17895/ices.pub.3206.ICES 2017d. Haddock (<i>Melanogrammus aegu</i> opportunities, catch, and effort – Celtic Seas 30 June 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3121. ICES 2017e. Haddock (<i>Melanogrammus aegu</i> (North Sea, West of Scotland, Skagerrak). IC Celtic Seas and Greater North Sea E 10.17895/ices.pub.3525.ICES 2017f. Whiting (<i>Merlangius merlangus</i>) English Channel). ICES advice on fishing of Ecoregion. Published 14 November 2017, DOI		Coleman M.T. and Rodrigues E., 2017b. Logbook and Observer Report: Orkney Sl Project. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd. No 21, pp 25.	nellfish Research
		ICES 2017a. Herring (<i>Clupea harengus</i>) in Subarea 4 and divisions 3.a and 7.d, and (North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat, eastern English channel). ICES advice on fishir catch, and effort – Greater North Sea Ecoregion. Published 31 M. 10.17895/ices.pub.3130.	utumn spawners 1g opportunities, ay 2017, DOI:
		ICES 2017b. Cod (<i>Gadus morhua</i>) in Subarea 4, Division 7.d, and Subdivision 20 (N English Channel, Skagerrak). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort Sea Ecoregion. Published 14 November 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3526.	Iorth Sea, easter : – Greater North
		ICES 2017c. Saithe (<i>Pollachius virens</i>) in subareas 4 and 6, and in Division 3a (No and West Scotland, Skagerrak and Kattegat). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, – Celtic Seas, Faroes and Greater Noth Sea Ecoregions. Published 30 Ju 10.17895/ices.pub.3206.	rth Sea, Rockfall catch, and effort une 2017, DOI:
		ICES 2017d. Haddock (<i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>) in Division 6.b (Rockall). ICES opportunities, catch, and effort – Celtic Seas and Oceanic Northeast Atlantic Ecore 30 June 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3121.	advice on fishing gions. Published
		ICES 2017e. Haddock (<i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>) in Subareas 4, Division 6.a, an (North Sea, West of Scotland, Skagerrak). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, ca Celtic Seas and Greater North Sea Ecoregions. Published 14 Novemb 10.17895/ices.pub.3525.	d Subdivision 20 tch, and effort – per 2017, DOI:
		ICES 2017f. Whiting (<i>Merlangius merlangus</i>) in Subarea 4 and Division 7.d (North English Channel). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort – Greecoregion. Published 14 November 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3530.	sea and eastern eater North Sea
OV	RALL PERFORM	IANCE INDICATOR SCORE:	100
CON	IDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):	N/A



PI 2.1.2 – Primary species management strategy

PI 2.1.2		There is a strategy in place that is designed to maintain or to not hinder rebuilding of primary species, and the UoA regularly reviews and implements measures, as appropriate, to minimise		
		the mortality of unwanted cate	h.	
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100
а	Managemen	t strategy in place		
	Guidepost	There are measures in	There is a partial strategy in	There is a strategy in place
		place for the UoA, if	place for the UoA, if	for the UoA for managing
		necessary, that are	necessary, that is expected	main and minor primary
		expected to maintain or to	to maintain or to not hinder	species.
		not hinder rebuilding of the	rebuilding of the main	
		main primary species at/to	primary species at/to levels	
		levels which are likely to	which are highly likely to be	
		above the point where	above the point where	
		recruitment would be	recruitment would be	
		impaired.	impaired.	
	Met?	Υ	Y	N
	Justification	There is a partial strategy in	place for the UoA for manag	ing main and minor primary
species, the fishery meeting SG80. Main primary species are herring and saithe us		e herring and saithe usead as		
bait. Minor primary species are cod, haddock and whiting used as bait and cauge		g used as bait and caught in		
brown crab creels as non-target species.				
		All main and minor primary s	province stocks are highly likely	to be above the point where
		recruitment would be impaired	species stocks are triging likely	to be above the point where
		Bait are mostly supplied from	healthy stocks with managemen	t strategy in place for directed
		fisheries. The fishing method of	can be considered as a partial s	trategy itself as creels are not
		designed to catch fish and it is e	xpected that post-release mortali	ty of fish may be low as they are
		catch usually alive, with no inju	uries and low capture-related st	ress. According to OSF, 10% of
		fishermen equip creels with esc	apement vents on a voluntary ba	sis, escapement vents not being
		mandatory.		
		Fishing practices in place avoid	s in place and amount of balt use	d by creelers is available.
		of bad weather creels are set in	deeper OSE Code of Practices incl	lude a clause related to recovery
		of lost fishing gear. According	to OSF and fishermen met durin	g the site visit. lost of creels is
		infrequent.		
		However, it cannot be considere	ed as a full strategy for managing r	main and minor primary species,
		escapement vents are not man	datory, number of creels per fis	hing vessel are not limited and
h		fishing activities operate all year	r round, preventing the fishery fro	om meeting SG100.
a	Guidenest	The measurer are	Thora is some chiestive	Testing supports high
	Guidepost	considered likely to work	hasis for confidence that	confidence that the partial
		based on plausible	the measures/partial	stratogy/stratogy will work
		argument (e.g. general	strategy will work based on	based on information
		argument (e.g., general	some information directly	directly about the fishery
		comparison with similar	some mornation directly	and/or spacios involved
		fisheries/species)	species involved	anu/or species involveu.
	Met?	γ	γ	Y
	Justification	Testing supports high confide	nce that the nartial strategy v	vill work, the fishery meeting
		SG100	the that the partial strategy v	the work, the namery meeting



PI	2.1.2	There is a strategy in place tha species, and the UoA regularly the mortality of unwanted catc	t is designed to maintain or to n reviews and implements measur h.	ot hinder rebuilding of primary res, as appropriate, to minimise
		Bycatch monitoring is in plac recent stocks assessments, a above the PRI.	e to show that bycatch level is all main and minor primary sp	low. Also, according to most becies are highly likely to be
С	Management	strategy implementation		
	Guidepost		There is some evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully .	There is clear evidence that the partial strategy/strategy is being implemented successfully
				objective as set out in scoring issue (a).
	Met?		Y	Y
	Justification	There is clear evidence that t	he partial strategy is being imp	plemented successfully and is
		achieving its overall objective	2.	
		Bycatch monitoring is in place	e to show that bycatch level is	low. Bait are mostly supplied
		from healthy stocks with mar	nagement strategy in place for	directed fisheries. The fishing
		method can be considered as	s a partial strategy itself as cre	els are not designed to catch
		fish and it is expected that p	ost-release mortality of fish n	nay be low as they are catch
		usually alive, with no injuries	and low capture-related stress	s. All main and minor primary
d	Shark finning	species are highly likely to be		
	Guidepost	It is likely that shark finning	It is highly likely that shark	There is a high degree of
		is not taking place.	finning is not taking place.	certainty that shark finning
				is not taking place.
	Met?	Not relevant	Not relevant	Not relevant
	Justification	Not relevant as no Primary sp	pecies are sharks.	
е	Review of alt	ernative measures		
	Guidepost	There is a review of the	There is a regular review of	There is a biennial review of
		potential enectiveness and	and practicality of	and practicality of
		measures to minimise UoA-	alternative measures to	alternative measures to
		related mortality of	minimise UoA-related	minimise UoA-related
		unwanted catch of main	mortality of unwanted	mortality of unwanted
		primary species.	catch of main primary	catch of all primary species,
			species and they are	and they are implemented,
			implemented as	as appropriate.
	Mot?	Not scored	appropriate.	N
	lustification	SG60 and SG80 are not score	ad as there is no unwanted ca	tch of main primary species
		main primary species being o	only species used as bait.	ten of main printing species,
		SG100 is not met as there is r	o biennial review of potential	effectiveness and practicality
		of alternative measures to m	inimise UoA-related mortality	of unwanted catch of minor
		primary species and escapem	nent vents are not mandatory.	
Def		Coleman M.T. and Rodrigues E.,	2017b. Logbook and Observer Re	eport: Orkney Shellfish Research
References		Froject. Orkney Sustainable Fish	ieries Ltu. NO 21, pp 25.	



PI 2.1.2	There is a strategy in place that is designed to maintain or to not hinder rebuilding of primary species, and the UoA regularly reviews and implements measures, as appropriate, to minimise the mortality of unwanted catch.			
	ICES 2017a. Herring (<i>Clupea harengus</i>) in Subarea 4 and divisions 3.a and 7.d, au (North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat, eastern English channel). ICES advice on fishin catch, and effort – Greater North Sea Ecoregion. Published 31 Ma 10.17895/ices.pub.3130.	utumn spawners Ig opportunities, ay 2017, DOI:		
	ICES 2017b. Cod (<i>Gadus morhua</i>) in Subarea 4, Division 7.d, and Subdivision 20 (North Sea, easter English Channel, Skagerrak). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort – Greater Nort Sea Ecoregion. Published 14 November 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3526.			
	 ICES 2017c. Saithe (<i>Pollachius virens</i>) in subareas 4 and 6, and in Division 3a (North Sea, Rockfa and West Scotland, Skagerrak and Kattegat). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effor – Celtic Seas, Faroes and Greater Noth Sea Ecoregions. Published 30 June 2017, DO 10.17895/ices.pub.3206. ICES 2017d. Haddock (<i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>) in Division 6.b (Rockall). ICES advice on fishin opportunities, catch, and effort – Celtic Seas and Oceanic Northeast Atlantic Ecoregions. Published 30 June 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3121. 			
	ICES 2017e. Haddock (<i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>) in Subareas 4, Division 6.a, and Subdivision 20 (North Sea, West of Scotland, Skagerrak). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort – Celtic Seas and Greater North Sea Ecoregions. Published 14 November 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3525.			
	ICES 2017f. Whiting (<i>Merlangius merlangus</i>) in Subarea 4 and Division 7.d (North sea and eastern English Channel). ICES advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort – Greater North Sea Ecoregion. Published 14 November 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3530.			
	Nøstvik, F. and Pedersen, T., 1999. Catching cod for tagging experiments. Fisheries Research 42 (1): 57-66.			
	Taggart, C.T., P. Penney, N. Barrowman and C. George 1995. The 1954-1993 Newfoundland codtagging database: statistical summaries and spatial-temporal distributions. Can. Tech. Rep. Fis and Aquat. Sci. 2042.			
	OSF Code of Practice			
	IANCE INDICATOR SCORE:	90 NA		
	en (ii reievant).	INA		



PI 3	2.1.3	Information on the nature and extent of primary species is adequate to determine the risk posed by the UoA and the effectiveness of the strategy to manage primary species		
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100
а	Information a	adequacy for assessment of im	pact on main primary species	
	Guidepost	Qualitative information is	Some quantitative	Quantitative information is
		adequate to estimate the	information is available and	available and is adequate
		impact of the UoA on the	is adequate to assess the	to assess with a high
		main primary species with	impact of the UoA on the	degree of certainty the
		respect to status.	main primary species with	impact of the UoA on main
			respect to status.	primary species with
		OR		respect to status.
			OR	
		If RBF is used to score PI		
		2.1.1 for the UoA:	If RBF is used to score PI	
		Qualitative information is	2.1.1 for the UoA:	
		adeqaute to estimate	Some quantitative	
		productivity and	information is adequate to	
		susceptibility attributes for	assess productivity and	
		main primary species.	susceptibility attributes for	
	N4-42		main primary species.	
	wet:	Y Overtitetive information is	Y	Y
	Justification	Quantitative information is a	available and is adequate to a	assess with a high degree of
		fishery meeting SG100	ODA on main primary species	s with respect to status, the
		Instiery meeting 50100.		
		Main primary species are be	prring (Clunea barenaus) in No	orth Sea Skarregak Kattegat
		and Fastern English Channel	and saithe (<i>Pollachius virens</i>) in	North Sea, Bockall and West
		of Scotland. Skagerrak and Ka	attegat used as bait.	
		Bait are supplied by OSF and put	rchased to Enterfoods in Fraserbu	rgh Scotland, all fish caught and
		landed in Scotland by licenced a	nd registered vessels. OSF provide	d the quantity of bait purchased
		for 2015-2016 and 2016-2017.		
		A total of 95t of herring and 149	It of saithe has been used by cree	lers in 2015-2016. A total of 45t
		of herring and 1/9t of saithe has	s been used by creelers in 2016-2	017.
h	Information	dequacy for assessment of im	pact on minor primary species	
~	Guidepost		pact of minor primary species	Some quantitative
				information is adequate to
				estimate the impact of the
				UoA on minor primary
				species with respect to
				status.
	Met?			Y
	Justification	Some quantitative information	on is adequate to estimate the	impact of the UoA on minor
		primary species with respect	to status, the fishery meeting	SG100.
		Minor primary species are o	cod in North Sea, eastern Eng	glish channel and Skagerrat,
		haddock in North Sea, West S	cotland and Skagerrat and Roc	kall, and whiting in North Sea
		and eastern English Channel	used as bait and caught in bro	wn crab creels as non-target
		species.		

PI 2.1.3 – Primary species information



PI 2	PI 2.1.3 Information on the nature and extent of primary species is adequate to determine the ris				ermine the risk
		posed by the UoA and the effect	tiveness of the strategy to mana	ge primary spe	cies
		Logbook programme and observer programme are undertaken since 2013 as part of the			
		OSRP. Quantity of bait used by creelers is known and the information is available by			
		species. Stocks status of minor primary species are asseded and their status is known.			
C	Information a	dequacy for management stra	ategy		
	Guidepost	Information is adequate to	Information is adequate to	Information	is adequate to
		support measures to	support a partial strategy	support a	strategy to
		manage main primary	to manage main Primary	manage all p	rimary species,
		species.	species.	and evaluate	e with a high
				degree o	of certainty
				whether the	e strategy is
				achieving its	ohiective
	Met?	V	V	N	00500000
	lustification	Information is adoquate to su	Innert a partial strategy to mar		rimany spacios
	Justification	the fishers meeting CC90	ipport a partial strategy to mar	lage an main p	rimary species,
		the fishery meeting 5080.		lunn ain an 2011	
		Logbook programme and obs	server programme are underta	ken since 201.	s as part of the
		USRP. Quantity of balt used	by creelers is known and the	e information	is available by
		species. The stock status of m	hain and minor primary species	s is assessed a	na known.
		SG100 is not meet has there	is no strategy in place to mana	ige all primary	species.
		Coleman M.1. and Rodrigues E.,	2017a. Logbook and Observer Re	eport: Orkney Sh	hellfish Research
		Project. Orkney Sustainable Fish	ieries Ltd. No 21, pp 25.		
		ICES 20172 Horring (Clungs have	canque) in Subaroa 4 and division	2 a and 7 d au	itumn snawnors
		(North Sea, Skagerrak and Katter	at eastern English channel) ICES	advice on fishir	a onnortunities
		catch, and effort – Greate	r North Sea Ecoregion. Pub	lished 31 M	av 2017. DOI:
10.17895/ices.pub.3130.			-,,		
		ICES 2017b. Cod (Gadus morhua) in Subarea 4, Division 7.d, and S	ubdivision 20 (N	North Sea, easter
		English Channel, Skagerrak). ICES	Sadvice on fishing opportunities, o	catch, and effort	– Greater North
		Sea Ecoregion. Published 14 Nov	vember 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices	.pub.3526.	
		ICES 2017c. Saithe (Pollachius vi	irens) in subareas 4 and 6, and in	Division 3a (No	rth Sea, Rockfall
		and West Scotland, Skagerrak ar	id Kattegat). ICES advice on fishing	opportunities,	catch, and effort
Refe	erences	10 17895/ices nub 3206			
		10.17895/ices.pub.3206.			
			energy and figure) in Division (h		advice on fishing
		CES 2017d. Haddock (Meldilogi	Coltic Soos and Oceanic Northon	(ROCKAII). ICES	advice on fishing
		30 June 2017 DOI: 10 17895/ice	- Celtic Seas and Oceanic Northea	SI Allantic Ecore	gioris. Publisheu
		503une 2017, 501. 10.17035/rec			
		ICES 2017e. Haddock (Melanogr	ammus aeglefinus) in Subareas 4,	Division 6.a, an	d Subdivision 20
		(North Sea, West of Scotland, Sk	agerrak). ICES advice on fishing o	pportunities, ca	tch, and effort –
		Celtic Seas and Greater No	orth Sea Ecoregions. Published	d 14 Novemb	er 2017, DOI:
		10.17895/ices.pub.3525.			
		ICES 2017f. Whiting (Merlangius	<i>merlangus</i>) in Subarea 4 and Div	ision 7.d (North	sea and eastern
		English Channel). ICES advice of	on fishing opportunities, catch, a	and effort – Gr	eater North Sea
		Ecoregion. Published 14 Novem	ber 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub	.3530.	
OVE		IANCE INDICATOR SCORE:			95
CON	IDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):			NA



PI 2.2.1 – Secondary species outcome	PI 2.2.	1 – Secondar	y species	outcome
--------------------------------------	---------	--------------	-----------	---------

ы	2 2 1	The UoA aims to maintain secondary species above a biologically based limit and does not				
	2.2.1	hinder recovery of secondary s	pecies if they are below a biologi	cal based limit.		
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100		
a	Main second Guidepost	SG 00ary species stock statusMain Secondary species arelikelytobewithinbiologically based limits.ORIf below biologically basedlimits, there are measuresin place expected to ensurethat the UoA does nothinderrecoveryrebuilding.	Main secondary species are highly likely to be above biologically based limits OR If below biologically based limits, there is either evidence of recovery or a demonstrably effective partial strategy in place such that the UoA does not hinder recovery and	There is a high degree of certainty that main secondary species are within biologically based limits.		
			rebuilding. AND Where catches of a main secondary species outside of biological limits are considerable, there is either evidence of recovery or a, demonstrably effective strategy in place between those MSC UoAs that also have considerable catches of the species, to ensure that they collectively do not hinder recovery and rebuilding.			
Met? N		NA	NA	NA		
	Justification	Velvet crab (<i>Necora puber</i>) is the using the RBF. PSA tables are scores are 1.33 and 1.43, respenderived score of 96.	he only main secondary species. in Appendix 1.2.2. The production ectively. The PSA score is 1.95 wh	The species has been assessed vity and susceptibility attribute sich corresponds to a MSC PSA-		
b	Minor seconda	ry species stock status				
	Guidepost			Minor secondary species are highly likely to be above biologically based limits.		
	Met?			If below biologically based limits', there is evidence that the UoA does not hinder the recovery and rebuilding of secondary species NA		



PI 2.2.1 The UoA aims to maintain secondary species above a biologically hinder recovery of secondary species if they are below a biological b		The UoA aims to maintain secondary species above a biologically based lim hinder recovery of secondary species if they are below a biological based limit.	it and does not
	Justification	Minor secondary species are lobster, lesser-spotted dogfish and horse ma	ackerel (bait).
		Lobster has been scored using the RBF. Although lobster has been asse	essed using the
		RBF, horse mackerel and lesser-spotted dogfish have not been conside	red in the PSA
		analysis so the the outputs of the PSA for lobster is not presented, thus P	PF5.3.2.1 "If the
		team has only considered main species in the PSA analysis, the final PI sc greater than 80."	ore shall not be
		Coleman M.T. and Rodrigues E., 2017b. Logbook and Observer Report: Orkney S	hellfish Research
References		Project. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd. No 21, pp 25.	
		Hearn A. R. 2004. Reproductive biology of the velvet swimming crab, <i>Necora puber</i> (Brachyura: Portunidae), in Orkney Islands, UK. Sarsia North Atlantic Marine Science (Taylor & Francis) October 2004 – DOI 10.1080/00364820410002578.	
		Lee J. T., R. A. Coleman, M. B. Jones, 2006. Population dynamics and growth of juveniles of the velvet swimming crab <i>Necora puber</i> (Decapoda: Portunidae). Marine Biology 148: 609-619.	
		Tallack, S. M. L. 2002. The biology and exploitation of three species in the Shetland Islands, Scotland: <i>Cancer pagurus, Necora puber</i> and <i>Carcinus maenas</i> . PhD Thesis. NAFC/UHI.	
		Tallack S. M.L., 2007. Size-fecundity relationships for Cancer pagurus and Nec	ora puber in the
		Shetland Islands, Scotland: how is reproductive capacity facilitated? J. Mar. Biol.	Ass. UK. 87: 507-
515.		515.	
OVE	RALL PERFORM	IANCE INDICATOR SCORE:	80
CON	NDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):	NA



PI 2.2.2 – Secondary species management strategy

PI :	2.2.2	There is a strategy in place for managing secondary species that is designed to maintain or to not hinder rebuilding of secondary species and the UoA regularly reviews and implements measures, as appropriate, to minimise the mortality of upwanted catch			
Sco	ring Issue			SG 100	
a	Management	strategy in place	50.00	50 100	
ŭ	Guidepost	There are measures in	There is a partial strategy in	There is a strategy in place for	
		place if necessary which	place if necessary for the	the UoA for managing main	
		are expected to maintain or	LIOA that is expected to	and minor secondary species.	
		not hinder rebuilding of	maintain or not hinder		
		main secondary species	rebuilding of main		
		at/to levels which are highly	secondary species at/to		
		likely to be within	levels which are highly likely		
		biologically based limits or	to be within biologically		
		to ensure that the UoA does	hased limits or to ensure		
		not hinder their recovery.	that the UoA does not		
			hinder their recovery.		
	Met?	Y	Y	Not scored	
	Justification	There is a partial strategy in r	lace if necessary for the LIOA	that is expected to maintain	
		or not hinder rebuilding of m	ain secondary species at/to le	vels which are highly likely to	
		be within biologically based	limits or to ensure that the	UoA does not hinder their	
		recovery.			
		The main secondary species is t	he velvet crab. The species has be	een assessed using the RBF. PSA	
		tables are in Appendix 1.2.2. The Productivity attribute was score 1.33 and the Susceptibility			
		attribute was score 1.28 leading to a MSC PSA derived score of 97			
		The OSF Inshore Fisheries Management Plan set out management measures for velvet			
		crab. There is a MLS of 70 mm and it is prohibited to land berried females.			
		The fishing method can be considered as a partial strategy itself, it is expected that post-release			
	mortality of undersized velvet crab may be low as they are catch usually alive, with no injuries and				
		Nonts on a voluntary basis escal	provide to USF, 10% of fishermer	ry creeis with escapement	
		Velvet crab catches are monitor	ed.	' y .	
		Fishing practices in place avoid u	unobserved mortality due to ghos	t fishing from lost creels. In case	
		of bad weather, creels are set in	deeper.OSF Code of Practices incl	ude a clause related to recovery	
		of lost fishing gear. According	to OSF and fishermen met durin	g the site visit, lost of creels is	
		infrequent. The Code of Pract	ice also advice undersized crab	s to be carefully released and	
		returned to sea as soon as possi	ble.		
		SC100 is not seared as not EC			
h	Managamon	t stratogy ovaluation	.R 7.10.5.5.		
5	Guidenost	The measures are	Thora is some objective	Testing supports high	
	Guidepost	considered likely to work	hasis for confidence that	confidence that the partial	
		based on plausible	the measures/partial	strategy/strategy will work	
		argument (e.g. general	strategy will work based on	hased on information	
		evnerience theory or	some information directly	directly about the UpA	
		comparison with similar	about the LloA and/or	and/or species involved	
		LIOAs/species)	species involved	and/or species involved.	
	UoAs/species). species involved. Met? V V				



PI	2.2.2	There is a strategy in place for managing secondary species that is designed to maintain or to not hinder rebuilding of secondary species and the UoA regularly reviews and implements measures, as appropriate, to minimise the mortality of unwanted catch.			
	Justification	There is some objective basis some information directly at SG80.	for confidence that the partia bout the UoA and/or species i	l strategy will work, based on nvolved, the fishery meeting	
		Bycatch monitoring is in place to show that bycatch level is low. Main secondary species is velvet crab. Velvet crab has been assessed using the RBF, the PSA tables are in Appendix 1.2.2. The Productivity attribute was score 1.33 and the Susceptibility attribute was score 1.28 leading to a MSC PSA derived score of 97. Minor secondary species are lobster, horse mackerel and lesser-spotted dogfish. Lobster has been scored using the RBF, the PSA tables are in Appendix 1.2.2. The Productivity attribute was score 2.17 and the Susceptibility attribute was score 1.20 leading to a MSC PSA derived score of 85. The combined Channel Groundfish Survey (CGFS)-North Sea International Bottom Trawl Survey (IBTS) index indicates that the horse mackerel stock continues to be at a low level although some signs of recovery are observed. Lesser-spotted dogfish stock size indicator has increased over the time series.			
		SG100 is not scored as per FCR 7.10.5.3.			
С	Managemen	t strategy implementation	Thora is some ouidenes	There is clear avidance that	
	Guidepost		that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully.	the partial strategy/strategy is being implemented successfully and is achieving its objective as set out in scoring issue (a).	
	Met?		Y	Not scored	
	Met?YNot scoredJustificationThere is some evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being in successfully.Bycatch monitoring is in place to show that bycatch level is low. The OSF Inshot Management Plan set out management measures for velvet crab and lobste crab, there is a MLS of 70 mm and it is prohibited to land berried females. For MLS of 88 mm (moving to 90 mm one year thereafter). The fishing method can be considered as a partial strategy itself, it is expected release mortality of undersized velvet crab may be low as they are catch u with no injuries and low capture-related stress. Velvet crab has been assessed using the RBF, the PSA tables are in Appendid Productivity attribute was score 1.33 and the Susceptibility attribute was leading to a MSC PSA derived score of 97. Lobster has been scored using the RBF, the PSA tables are in Appendid Productivity attribute was score 2.17 and the Susceptibility attribute was leading to a MSC PSA derived score of 85. The combined Channel Groundfish Survey (CGFS)-North Sea International Bo Survey (IBTS) index indicates that the horse mackerel stock continues to be a although some signs of recovery are observed. Lesser-spotted dogfish stock size indicator has increased over the time series		tegy is being implemented ow. The OSF Inshore Fisheries of crab and lobster. For velvet erried females. For lobster, a tself, it is expected that post- they are catch usually alive, es are in Appendix 1.2.2. The ity attribute was score 1.28 are in Appendix 1.2.2. The ity attribute was score 1.20 a International Bottom Trawl continues to be at a low level ver the time series.		



PI	There is a strategy in place for managing secondary species that is designed to maintain or to2.2.2not hinder rebuilding of secondary species and the UoA regularly reviews and implements measures, as appropriate, to minimise the mortality of unwanted catch.					
al		SG100 is not scored as per FC	CR 7.10.5.3.			
a	Shark finning	It is likely that should find in a	It is highly likely that should	There is a high degree of		
	Guidepost	it is likely that shark linning	finning is not taking place	containty that shark finning		
		is not taking place.	initing is not taking place.	is not taking place		
	Met?	Y	γ	Not scored		
	Justification	It is highly likely that shark fir	nning is not taking place.			
		Lesser-spotted dogfish is a m could retained it as a comple fins being cut on-board.	inor species, this a small shark mentary bait, this species is di	rk species Althoug few creelers discarded, whole body without		
		SG100 is not scored as per EC	CP 7 10 5 3			
е	Review of alt	ernative measures to minimise	e mortality of unwanted catch			
-	Justification	There is a review of the	There is a regular review of	There is a biennial review of		
		potential effectiveness and	the potential effectiveness	the potential effectiveness		
		practicality of alternative	and practicality of	and practicality of		
		measures to minimise UOA- related mortality of	alternative measures to	alternative measures to		
		unwanted catch of main	minimise UoA-related	minimise UoA-related		
		secondary species.	mortality of unwanted	mortality of unwanted		
			species and they are	species and they are		
			implemented as	implemented.		
			appropriate.	appropriate.		
	Met? Y N Not scored			Not scored		
	Guidepost	There is a review of the potential minimise UoA-related mortality Unwanted catches of main set berried females. A review of escapement vents has bee ca meeting SG60. Whilst recent research in Ork reducing the catch and hence has not yet been published implemented as a mandator	The second process and practicality of alternative measures to nise UoA-related mortality of unwanted catch of main secondary species. The use anted catches of main secondary species are velvet crab undersized individuals a ed females. A review of the potential effectiveness and practicality of the use pement vents has bee carried out in 2015 by the Heriot-Watt University, the fishe ting SG60. The catch and hence can minimise mortality of undersized crabs, the resear not yet been published and OSF report that escape gaps cannot currently emented as a mandatory technical measure, with such an action requiring publication.			
		consultation and the resulting	genforcement by Marine Scotl	and. Although approximately		
		measures to minimise mo	rtality of unwanted catch v	a voluntary pasis, alternative velvet crab have not been		
		implemented and so SG80 is	not met.			
		SG100 is not scored as per FC	CR 7.10.5.3.			
Ref	erences	References Coleman M.T. and Rodrigues E., 2017b. Logbook and Observer Report: Orkney Shellfish Researce Project. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd. No 21, pp 25.				



PI 2.2.2	There is a strategy in place for managing secondary species that is designed to maintain or to not hinder rebuilding of secondary species and the UoA regularly reviews and implements measures, as appropriate, to minimise the mortality of unwanted catch.		
	ICES 2017g. Horse mackerel (<i>Trachurus trachurus</i>) in Divisions 3.a, 4.b-c, and 7.c Kattegat, southern and central North Sea, eastern English channel). ICES a opportunities, catch, and effort – Greater North Sea Ecoregion. Published 29 S DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3027.	d (Skagerrak and dvice on fishing september 2017,	
	ICES 2017h. Lesser-spotted dogfish (<i>Scyliorhinus canicula</i>) in Subarea 6 and divisions 7.a-c and 7- j. ICES Advice on fishing opportunities, catch, and effort Celtic Seas, Greater North Sea, and Oceanic Northeast Atlantic Ecoregions. Published 6 October 2017, DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3179.		
	OSF Code of Practice		
	Rodrigues, E. 2015. Effectiveness of escape panels for reducing undersized catch in the		
	Orkney brown Cancer pagurus. 3pp. Unpublished.		
OVERALL PERFORM	IANCE INDICATOR SCORE:	75	
CONDITION NUMB	CONDITION NUMBER (if relevant): 3		


PI 2.2.3 – Secondar	y species information
---------------------	-----------------------

PI 2.2.3		Information on the nature and amount of secondary species taken is adequate to determine the risk posed by the UoA and the effectiveness of the strategy to manage secondary species.			
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100	
а	Information a	adequacy for assessment of im	pacts on main secondary spec	ies	
	Guidepost	Qualitative information is adequate to estimate the impact of the UoA on the main secondary species with respect to status. OR	Some quantitative information is available and adequate to assess the impact of the UoA on main secondary species with respect to status.	Quantitative information is available and adequate to assess with a high degree of certainty the impact of the UoA on main secondary species with respect to status.	
		If RBF is used to score PI 2.2.1	SK SK		
		for the UoA:	If RBF is used to score PI 2.2.1 for the UoA:		
		Qualitative information is adequate to estimate productivity and susceptibility attributes for main secondary species	Some quantitative information is adequate to assess productivity and susceptibility attributes for main secondary species		
	Met?	v	v	v	
	Justification	Quantitative information is certainty the impact of the U	available and adequate to as oA on velvet crab with respect	ssess with a high degree of to status, the fishery meeting	
		SG100.			
		Information to assess velvet Marine Scotland Science asse	crab productivity and suscept esses the velvet crab stock.	ibility attributes is adequate.	
		Information on the amount of vevelt crab landed is recorded and available. Information			
		about the amount of velvet crab discarded is monitored through the logbook programme			
		and the observer programme.			
b	Information ad	lequacy for assessment of impacts	on minor secondary species		
	Guidepost			some quantitative information is adequate to estimate the impact of the UoA on minor secondary species with respect to status.	
	Met?			Υ	
	Justification	Some quantitative information is adequate to estimate the impact of the UoA on lobster, horse mackerel and lesser-spotted dogfish with respect to status, the fishery meeting SG100.			
		Logbook programme and observer programme are undertaken since 2013 as part of the. The quantity of horse mackerel used as bait by creelers is recorded and available. Information to assess lobster productivity and susceptibility attributes is adequate. Marine Scotland Science assesses the lobster stock and horse mackerel, and lesser-			
С	Information a	adequacy for management stra	ategy		
	Guidepost	Information is adequate to	Information is adequate to	Information is adequate to	
		support measures to	support a partial strategy	support a strategy to manage all secondary	



PI 2.2.3		Information on the nature and amount of secondary species taken is adequate to determine the risk posed by the UoA and the effectiveness of the strategy to manage secondary species.				
manage main secondary to manage main secondary species, and ev					evaluate with	
		species.	species.	a high degre	e of certainty	
				whether th	e strategy is	
				achieving its	objective.	
	Met?	Y	Y	Ν		
	Justification	Information is adequate to se	upport a partial strategy to ma	anage velvet c	rab, the fishery	
		meeting SG80.				
		Information to assess velvet	crab productivity and suscept	ibility attribut	es is adequate.	
		Marine Scotland Science asse	esses the velvet crab stock.			
		Information on the amount o	of vevelt crab landed is recorde	ed and availab	le. Information	
		about the amount of velvet c	rab discarded is monitored thro	ough the logbo	ok programme	
		and the observer programme	and the observer programme.			
		SG100 is not meet as there is	no strategy in place to manag	e all secondar	y species.	
		Coleman M.T. and Rodrigues E., 2017a. Logbook and Observer Report: Orkney Shellfish Research				
		Project. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd. No 21, pp 25.				
		UCEC 2017- Users and love (Teachuras teachuras) is Division 2.5. Although division di				
		Kattegat southern and central North Sea, eastern English channel) ICES advice on fiching				
		opportunities, catch, and effort – Greater North Sea Ecoregion, Published 29 September 2017.				
		DOI: 10.17895/ices.pub.3027.				
Ref	erences					
		ICES 2017h. Lesser-spotted dogfish (Scyliorhinus canicula) in Subarea 6 and divisions 7.a-c and 7-				
		j. ICES Advice on fishing oppor	rtunities, catch, and effort Celtic	: Seas, Greater	North Sea, and	
		Oceanic Northeast Atlantic Ecor	egions. Published 6 October 2017	, DOI: 10.17895	5/ices.pub.3179.	
		Marine Scotland Science 2017.	Fish and Shellfish Stocks. Publishe	ed by the Scott	sh Government,	
0\/					05	
		EP (if relevant):			95 NA	
	CONDITION NOIVIBER (IT relevant): NA					

 \mathcal{O}



PI	2.3.1	– ETP	species	outcome
----	-------	-------	---------	---------

PI 2.3.1		The UoA meets national and international requirements for the protection of ETP species The UoA does not hinder recovery of ETP species			
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100	
а	Effects of the	UoA on population/stock with	nin national or international lir	nits, where applicable	
	Guidepost	Where national and/or	Where national and/or	Where national and/or	
		international requirements	international requirements	international requirements	
		set limits for ETP species,	set limits for ETP species,	set limits for ETP species,	
		the effects of the UoA on	the combined effects of the	there is a high degree of	
		the population/stock are	MSC UoAs on the	certainty that the	
		known and likely to be	population/stock are	combined effects of the	
		within these limits.	known and highly likely to	MSC UoAs are within these	
			be within these limits.	limits.	
	Met?	Not scored	Not scored	Not scored	
	Justification	Not scored as there are no na	ational or international require	ements that set limits for ETP	
		species (FCR v.20 SA3.10.1.1)			
b	Direct effects				
	Guidepost	Known direct effects of the	Known direct effects of the	There is a high degree of	
		UoA are likely to not hinder	UoA are highly likely to not	confidence that there are	
		recovery of ETP species.	hinder recovery of EIP	no significant detrimental	
			species.	direct effects of the UoA on	
				ETP species.	
	Met?	Ŷ	Ŷ	Y leatherback turtle	
				Y basking shark	
				Yotter	
				Y dolphins	
				Yseal	
				N minke whale	
	Justification	ETP species that may overlap	b with the Orkney brown crab	creel fishery includes minke	
		Whale, doiphins, seals, otters	, leatherback turtle and baskir	ig snark.	
		Minko whole	ioa are highly likely to not him	del recovery of ETP species.	
		Northridge et al (2010) investig	ated the occurrence of entangler	ment of minke whale in Scottish	
		waters. It was determined that	Orkney Islands may have a relati	ve elevated risk of minke whale	
		entanglement. Overall, North	ridge et al (2010) concludes	that it cannot be said that	
		entanglements of minke (or or	rther) whales in Scottish waters	represent a serious threat for	
		conservation. The assessment t	eam has been provided with ent	anglements data by the SMASS.	
		Since 2010, SMASS has had reco	ords of entanglement of 2 minke v	vhale in Orkney. However, it has	
		not been confirmed which fish	ing gear was involved in these e	entanglements. The assessment	
		team therefore determined tha	t SG80 is met. Given the risk leve	el of minke whale entanglement	
		and the potential occurrence of	unreported entanglements, a hi	gh degree of confidence cannot	
		Dolphins	o is not met.		
		Gillnets, driftnets and trammelr	nets account for the maiority of h	arbour porpoise and bottlenose	
		dolphin bycatch in UK waters. No	o concern has been raised regardi	ng incidental capture of dophins	
		by brown crab creels in Orkne	ey during meetings with fihserm	en, management agencies and	
		nature conservation organisatio	ns. The assessment tyeam deterr	nined that SG100 is met.	
		Seal			
		Incidental catch of grey seals an	nd harbour seals in gillnets has be	en widely reported. Since 2010,	
		SMASS has had 3 records of inc	cidental catch of seals in Orkney	: one grey seal (Billia Croo near	



PI	2.3.1	The UoA meets national and international requirements for the protection of ETP species The UoA does not hinder recovery of ETP species				
		Stromness) and two harbour seals (Wideweall Bay, South Ronaldsay). However, it has not been confirmed which fising gear was involved in these entanglements. No concern has been raised regarding incidental capture of seals by brown crab creels in Orkney during meetings with fihsermen, management agencies and nature conservation organisations. The assessment team determined that SG100 is met.				
		OtterOtters are known to be attracted by fish and crustaceans which are used as bait or caught in creels, and a survey of drowned otters in lobster creels off the Uists (Hebrides) showed that the majority drowned while foraging in depth of 2-5 m. Crab creels were considered not to pose such a threat as the gear was usually set on sandy seabed in deeper water. No concern has been raised regarding incidental capture of otters by brown crab creels in Orkney during meetings with fihsermen, management agencies and nature conservation organisations. The assessment tyeam determined that SG100 is met.Leatherback turtleThe leatherback turtle is the only sea turtle considered to have a regular and normal occurrence in UK waters. In the last 20 years, the most significant incidental catch of leatherback turtles in UK waters has been by inshore pot fisheries (whelk and crustaceans) and pelagic drift nets. The leatherback turtle sightings indicate a higher occurrence in west of Eire, northwest of Scotland, the Irish Sea and English Channel. No concern has been raised regarding incidental capture of leatherback turtle by brown crab creels in Orkney during meetings with fishermenn, management agencies and nature conservation organisations. The assessment tyeam				
		Basking shark No concern has been raised regarding incidental capture of basking sharks by brown crab creels in Orkney during meetings with fihsermen, management agencies and nature conservation organisations. None of the stakeholder met were aware of any entanglement of basking shark in Orkney Islands. The assessment tyeam determined that SG100 is met.				
С	Indirect effect	S				
	Guidepost	Indirect effects have been considered and are thought to be highly likely to not createThere is a high degree of confidence that there are no significant detrimental indirect effects of the fishery on ETP species.				
	Met?	Y Y				
	Justification	There is a high degree of confidence that there are no significant detrimental indirect effects of the fishery on ETP species. The creel fishery does not interact with the food sources of ETP species. Fishing vessels could potentially affect seabirds loafing habitats, however the intensity of disturbance appears to be minor to negligible. According to OSF and fishermen met during the site visit, lost of creels is infrequent meaning that the level of ghost fishing is very low. Fishing practices in place avoid unobserved mortality due to ghost fishing from lost creels. In case of bad weather, creels are set in deeper.OSF Code of Practices include a clause related to recovery of lost fishing gear.				
Ref	Practices include a clause related to recovery of lost fishing gear.Northridge S., A. Cargill, A. Coram, L. Mandleberg, S. Calderan and B. Reid, 2010. Entanglen minke whales in Scottish waters; an investigation into occurrence, causes and mitigation Mammal Research Unit, University of St Andrews, SAC, Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trus Report to Scottish Government CR/2007/49, June 2010.Pierpoint, C., 2000. Bycatch of marine turtles in UK and Irish waters, JNCC Report 310, 32 ISSN 0963 8091.					



PI 2.3.1	The UoA meets national and international requirements for the protection of ETP species The UoA does not hinder recovery of ETP species			
	Ryan C., R. Leaper, P. G. H. Evans, K. Dyke, K. P. Robinson, G. N. Haskins, S. Calderan, N. van Geel, O. Harries, K. Froud, A. Brownlow and A. Jack, 2016. Entanglement: an emerging threat to humpback whales in Scottish waters. International Whaling Commission, SC/66b/HIM/01.			
	Sewell, J. & Hiscock, K., 2005. Effects of fishing within UK European Marine Sites: guidance for nature conservation agencies. Report to the Countryside Council for Wales, English Nature and Scottish Natural Heritage from the Marine Biological Association. Plymouth: Marine Biological Association. CCW Contract EC 73-03-214A, 195 pp.			
OVERALL PERFORM	VERALL PERFORMANCE INDICATOR SCORE: 95			
CONDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):	N/A		

	The UoA has in place precautionary management strategies designed to:					
 meet national and international requirements; 						
	1 2 7	• ensure the UoA does not	hinder recovery of ETP species.			
1	2.3.2					
Also, the UoA regularly reviews and implements measures, as appropriate, to minimise						
		mortality of ETP species.		1		
co	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100		
	Managemen	t strategy in place (national an	d international requirements)			
	Guidepost	There are measures in	There is a strategy in place	There is a comprehensive		
		place that minimise the	for managing the UoA's	strategy in place for		
		UoA-related mortality of	impact on ETP species,	managing the UoA's impact		
		ETP species, and are	including measures to	on ETP species, including		
		expected to be highly likely	minimise mortality, which is	measures to minimise		
		to achieve national and	designed to be highly likely	mortality, which is designed		
		international requirements	to achieve national and	to achieve above nationa		
		for the protection of ETP	international requirements	and internationa		
		species.	for the protection of ETP	requirements for the		
			species.	protection of ETP species.		
	Met?	Υ	Υ	N		
	Justification	There is a strategy in place	for managing the UoA's impa	act on ETP species, including		
		measures to minimise mortal	lity, which is designed to be hi	ghly likely to achieve national		
		and international requiremer	nts for the protection of ETP sp	pecies.		
		Whale species and bottlenose d	olphin are under a grouped UK Bi	odiversity Action Plan (BAP); the		
		harbour porpoise, basking shark	have their own UK BAP, and sea	turtles are under a grouped Uk		
		BAP. However, the work previou	isly carried out by the UK BAP is no	ow focussed at the country-leve		
		rather than UK-level, and the U	JK Post-2010 Biodiversity Framew	work published in July 2012 has		
		succeeded the UK BAP.				
		OSE has developed a Code of	Dractices for Crab Suppliars (a	convibes been provided to the		
		OSF has developed a code of	Practices for Crab Suppliers (a Practices include a section related	to the record and report of an		
		accidental capture of FTP specie	s in a FTP species logbook Also is	included the notice of the British		
		Divers Marine Life Rescue (BDN	MLR) - Large Whale Entangleme	nt Awareness that explains and		
		describes what to do in the ever	nt of a entanglement of whale in	fishing gear.		
		Fishing practices in place avoid u	inobserved mortality due to ghos	t fishing from lost creels. In case		
		of bad weather, creels are set in	deeper. OSF Code of Practices inc	lude a clause related to recovery		
		,	•			
		of lost fishing gear. According to OSF and fishermen met during the site visit, lost of creels is				



PI 2.3.2		 The UoA has in place precautionary management strategies designed to: meet national and international requirements; ensure the UoA does not hinder recovery of ETP species. Also, the UoA regularly reviews and implements measures, as appropriate, to minimise the				
		In April 2017, the Scottish Creel Fishermen's Federation (SCFF) published a booklet "Reducing the risk of entanglement in creel ropes for marine animals" produced in collaboration with the BDMLR, Scottish Natural Heritage, Whale and Dolphin Conservation, SMASS and the Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust. It cannot be said that a comprehensive stragtegy is in place, preventing the fishery from				
h	Managemen	meeting SG100. t strategy in place (alternative)				
	Guidepost	There are measures in place that are expected to ensure the UoA does not hinder the recovery of ETP species.	There is a strategy in place that is expected to ensure the UoA does not hinder the recovery of ETP species.	There is a comprehensive strategy in place for managing ETP species, to ensure the UoA does not hinder the recovery of ETP species		
	Met?	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Justification	Not score as there are requi legislation (FCR v.2.0 SA3.11.	rement for protection and ret 2 and SA3.11.2.1)	uilding through national ETP		
с	Managemen	t strategy evaluation	Γ			
	Guidepost	The measures are considered likely to work, based on plausible argument (e.g., general experience, theory or comparison with similar fisheries/species).	There is an objective basis for confidence that the measures/strategy will work, based on information directly about the fishery and/or the species involved.	The strategy/comprehensive strategy is mainly based on information directly about the fishery and/or species involved, and a quantitative analysis supports high confidence that the strategy will work.		
	Met?	Υ	Y	Ν		
	Justification	There is an objective basis for information directly about the The assessment team conclude shark, otter and dolphins. Interna entanglements may be unreport represent serious threat for the SG 100 is not meet as there fishery-ETP speices interaction	or confidence that the measures/strategy will work, based on the fishery and/or the species involved. es that there are no interactions with leatherback turtles, basking interactions with seals are not rare and although some whale borted, interactions with whales seem to be low ehought not to eir conservation and recovery. The is no quantitative analysis specific to Orkney brown crab ion.			
d	Managemen	t strategy implementation				
	Guidepost		There is some evidence that the measures/strategy is being implemented successfully.	There is clear evidence that the strategy/comprehensive strategy is being implemented successfully and is achieving its		



PI	2.3.2	 The UoA has in place precautionary management strategies designed to: meet national and international requirements; ensure the UoA does not hinder recovery of ETP species. 					
		Also, the UoA regularly reviews and implements measures, as appropriate, to minimise the mortality of ETP species.					
				objective as set out in scoring issue (a) or (b).			
	Met?		Y	Ν			
	Justification	There is some evidence that	the measures/strategy is being	g implemented successfully.			
		Based on the information p	rovided in the background se	ection, the assessment team			
		concludes that there are no	interactions with leatherback	turtles, basking shark, otter			
		and dolphins. Interactions w	ith seals are rare and interaction	ons with whales are rare and			
		SG100 is not mot as actions f	ar the concervation of the spec	on and recovery.			
		not clearly implemented for	the Orkney brown crab fishery	sies set in the species bar are			
е	Review of alt	ernative measures to minimize	e mortality of ETP species				
	Guidepost	There is a review of the	There is a regular review of	There is a biennial review of			
		potential effectiveness and	the potential effectiveness	the potential effectiveness			
		practicality of alternative	and practicality of	and practicality of			
		measures to minimise UoA-	alternative measures to	alternative measures to			
		related mortality of ETP	minimise UoA-related	minimise UoA-related			
		species.	mortality of ETP species and	mortality ETP species, and			
			they are implemented as	they are implemented, as			
	Met?	v	v	N			
	Justification	There is a regular review of	the potential effectiveness a	nd practicality of alternative			
		measures to minimise UoA-r	elated mortality of ETP specie	es and they are implemented			
		as appropriate.					
		Northridge et al (2010) i	nvestigated the minitgation	measures that could be			
		implemented to minimise th	e UoA-related mortality of ETP	species. A OSF FIP Workshop			
		neid in 2015 reviewed ma	nagement measures to redu	ice the risk of ETP species			
		OSE has developed a Code of	Practices for Crab Suppliers (a)	copy has been provided to the			
		assessment team). This Code of	Practices include a section related	to the record and report of any			
		accidental capture of ETP specie	s in a ETP species logbook. Also is i	included the notice of the British			
		Divers Marine Life Rescue (BDI	MLR) - Large Whale Entanglemer	nt Awareness that explains and			
		In April 2017 the Scottish	Creel Eisbermen's Eederation	(SCEE) nublished a booklet			
		"Reducing the risk of entan	glement in creel ropes for m	harine animals" produced in			
		collaboration with the BDMLR. Scottish Natural Heritage. Whale and Dolphin					
		Conservation, SMASS and the Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust.					
		Northridge S., A. Cargill, A. Cora	m, L. Mandleberg, S. Calderan and	d B. Reid, 2010. Entanglement of			
		minke whales in Scottish wate	rs; an investigation into occurrer	nce, causes and mitigation. Sea			
		Report to Scottish Government	CR/2007/49, June 2010.	T whate and Dolphin Hust. filld			
Ref	erences		. , ,				
		Pierpoint, C., 2000. Bycatch of r	narine turtles in UK and Irish wat	ers, JNCC Report 310, 32 pages,			
		ISSN 0963 8091.					



PI 2.3.2	 The UoA has in place precautionary management strategies designed to: meet national and international requirements; ensure the UoA does not hinder recovery of ETP species. Also, the UoA regularly reviews and implements measures, as appropriate, mortality of ETP species.	to minimise the
	Ryan C., R. Leaper, P. G. H. Evans, K. Dyke, K. P. Robinson, G. N. Haskins, S. Calde O. Harries, K. Froud, A. Brownlow and A. Jack, 2016. Entanglement: an em humpback whales in Scottish waters. International Whaling Commission, SC/66b Sewell, J. & Hiscock, K., 2005. Effects of fishing within UK European guidance for nature conservation agencies. Report to the Countryside Con English Nature and Scottish Natural Heritage from the Marine Biologic Plymouth: Marine Biological Association. CCW Contract FC 73-03-214A. 1 UK species BAP <u>http://jncc.defra.gov.uk/page-5167</u> OSF Code of Practices for Crab Suppliers	ran, N. van Geel, erging threat to /HIM/01. Marine Sites: uncil for Wales, cal Association. 95 pp.
OVERALL PERFORM	ANCE INDICATOR SCORE:	80
CONDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):	N/A



Relevant information is co			ted to support the management of UoA impacts on ETP species,			
		including:				
PI 3	2.3.3	 Information for the development of the management strategy; 				
		 Information to assess the effectiveness of the management strategy; and 				
		Information to determ	ine the outcome status of ETP sp	ecies.		
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100		
а	Information a	adequacy for assessment of im	pacts			
	Guidepost	Qualitative information is	Some quantitative	Quantitative information is		
		adequate to estimate the	information is adequate to	available to assess with a		
		UoA related mortality on	assess the UoA related	high degree of certainty the		
		ETP species.	mortality and impact and to	magnitude of UoA-related		
			determine whether the	impacts, mortalities and		
		OR	UoA may be a threat to	injuries and the		
			protection and recovery of	consequences for the		
		If RBF is used to score PI	the ETP species.	status of ETP species.		
		2.3.1 for the UoA:				
			OR			
		Qualitative information is				
		adequate to estimate	If RBF is used to score PI			
		productivity and	2.3.1 for the UoA:			
		susceptibility attributes for	Some quantitative			
		ETP species.	information is adequate to			
			assess productivity and			
			susceptibility attributes for			
			ETP species.			
	Met?	Y	Y	N		
	Justification	Some quantitative informati	on is adequate to assess the	UoA related mortality and		
		impact and to determine wh	ether the UoA may be a threa	t to protection and recovery		
		of the ETP species.				
		Northridge et al (2010) inves	tigated the occurrence of enta	anglement of minke whale in		
		Scottish waters. Overall, Nor	thridge et al (2010) conclude	s that it cannot be said that		
		entanglements of minke (or o	orther) whales in Scottish wate	ers represent a serious threat		
		for conservation.				
		Ryan et al (2016) investigate	d the entaglements of humpb	ack whale in Scottish waters		
		and concluded that humpbac	k whales occur in very low abu	undance in Scottish waters.		
		Sewell and Hiscock (2005) inv	estigated the environmental e	ffect of different fishing gears		
		used within UK European ma	rine sites including potential e	ffect of ETP species.		
		The SMASS records incide	ental catches of amrine ma	amals, and since 2010, 2		
		entanglements of minke what	le and 3 entanglements of sea	als have been reported, with		
		no confirmation of the fishing	g gear involved.			
		Based on the information from t	the sources cited above, it was de	termined that that there are no		
		interactions with leatherback tu	urtles, basking shark, otter and d	olphins. Interactions with seals		
		are not rare and interactions wit	h whales seem to be low ehough	t not to represent serious threat		
		for their conservation and recov	ery.			
		SG100 is not met as some inc	idental catches can occur with	out being reported.		
b	Information a	adequacy for management stra	itegy			
	Guidepost	Information is adequate to	Information is adequate to	Information is adequate to		
		support measures to	measure trends and	support a comprehensive		

PI 2.3.3 – ETP species information



		Relevant information is collected to support the management of UoA impacts on ETP species,				
DI 222		including:				
PI 2.3.3		 Information for the development of the management strategy; Information to assess the effectiveness of the management strategy; and 				
		Information to determ	ine the outcome status of ETP sp	pecies.		
		manage the impacts on ETP support a strategy to strategy to manage				
		species.	manage impacts on ETP	impacts, minimize mortality		
			species.	and injury of ETP species,		
				and evaluate with a high		
				degree of certainty		
				whether a strategy is		
				achieving its objectives.		
Met?		Y	Y	N		
Justification Information is adequate to measure trends and support a strategy to manage impac		rategy to manage impacts on				
		Northridge et al (2010) inves	tigated the occurrence of ent	anglement of minke whale in		
		Scottish waters Overall No	cthridge et al (2010) conclude	s that it cannot be said that		
		entanglements of minke (or o	orther) whales in Scottish wate	ers represent a serious threat		
		for conservation.				
		Ryan et al (2016) investigate	d the entaglements of humph	back whale in Scottish waters		
		and concluded that humpbac	ck whales occur in very low ab	undance in Scottish waters.		
		Sewell and Hiscock (2005) inv	estigated the environmental e	ffect of different fishing gears		
		used within UK European ma	rine sites including potential e	ffect of ETP species.		
		The SMASS records incide	ental catches of marine m	amals, and since 2010, 2		
		entanglements of minke what	ale and 3 entanglements of se	als have been reported, with		
		no confirmation of the fishin	g gear involved.			
		Based on the information from	the sources cited above lit was de	etermined that that there are no		
		interactions with leatherback to	urtles, basking shark, otter and c	lolphins. Interactions with seals		
		are not rare and interactions with	th whales seem to be low ehough	t not to represent serious threat		
		for their conservation and recov	/ery.			
		SG100 is not met as some ind	cidental catches can occur with	iout being reported.		
		minke whales in Scottish wate	m, L. Mandleberg, S. Calderan and	3 B. Reid, 2010. Entanglement of		
		Mammal Research Unit, University of St Andrews, SAC, Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust. Final				
		Report to Scottish Government CR/2007/49, June 2010.				
		Pierpoint, C., 2000. Bycatch of r	narine turtles in UK and Irish wat	ers, JNCC Report 310, 32 pages,		
ISSN 0963 8091.						
References		Rvan C., R. Leaper, P. G. H. Evan	s. K. Dvke. K. P. Robinson. G. N. H	askins. S. Calderan. N. van Geel.		
		O. Harries, K. Froud, A. Brown	nlow and A. Jack, 2016. Entang	ement: an emerging threat to		
		humpback whales in Scottish wa	aters. International Whaling Com	mission, SC/66b/HIM/01.		
		Sewell, J. & HISCOCK, K., 20	US. Effects of fishing within	UK European Marine Sites:		
		guiuance for nature conserva	Natural Heritago from the M	Junitryside Council for Wales,		
		Plymouth: Marine Riological	Association CCW Contract FC	73-03-21 Δ 195 nn		
OV	RALL PERFORM	ANCE INDICATOR SCORE:		80		
CON	DITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):		N/A		



PI 2.4.1 – Habitats outcome

		The UoA does not cause serious or irreversible harm to habitat structure and function,			
PI 2	2.4.1	considered on the basis of the area covered by the governance body(s) responsible for fisheries			
600	ring locus	management in the area(s) who	ere the UoA operates.	56 100	
500		SG 60	SG 80	SG 100	
а	Commonly ei	ncountered habitat status			
	Guidepost	The UoA is unlikely to	The UoA is highly unlikely	There is evidence that the	
		reduce structure and	to reduce structure and	UoA is highly unlikely to	
		function of the commonly	function of the commonly	reduce structure and	
		encountered habitats to a	encountered habitats to a	function of the commonly	
		point where there would be	point where there would be	encountered habitats to a	
		serious or irreversible	serious or irreversible	point where there would be	
		harm.	harm.	serious or irreversible	
				harm.	
	Met?	Y	Y	N	
	Justification	Commonly encountered habi	tats are coarse sand/mixed see	diment and rock and biogenic	
		reef			
		The UoA is highly unlikely to r	educe structure and function o	f the commonly encountered	
	habitats to a point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.		sible harm.		
The distribution of fishing effort is well understood.					
Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fish		species. Although trap fisheries			
	are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogen		tat, traps can impact biogenic		
		structures (e.g. sponges, corals)	through crushing or entanglemer	nt. Crushing and scouring effects	
		can result if traps are dragged	across the bottom during retrie	val or during periods of strong	
		currents (e.g. storms, tides).	offects of fishing with crustages	n trans on bonthis found in LIK	
		through qualitative and quantit	ative experiments. This study exa	mined the effects of lobster and	
		crab traps being bauled from ro	ocky substrates in southern Engla	nd and found that the habitats	
		and their communities appeared	d relatively unaffected by potting		
		Other studies indicate that tr	aps cause minimal damage to	habitats.	
		Although the above evidence	e supports the determination	that the fishery meets SG80.	
		there is no direct evaluation	of the Orkney brown crab cree	l fishery impacts on habitats.	
		preventing the fishery from r	neeting SG100		
b	VME habitat	status			
	Guidepost	The UoA is unlikely to reduce	The UoA is highly unlikely	There is evidence that the	
		structure and function of the	to reduce structure and	UoA is highly unlikely to	
		VME habitats to a point	function of the VME	reduce structure and	
		where there would be serious	habitats to a point where	function of the VME	
		or irreversible harm.	there would be serious or	habitats to a point where	
			irreversible harm.	there would be serious or	
				irreversible harm.	
	Met?	γ	γ	Y	
	Justification	There is evidence that the UoA	is highly unlikely to reduce stru	icture and function of the VME	
		habitats to a point where there	would be serious or irreversible h	iarm.	
		VMEs are mussel and native oys	ter beds, burrowed mud, cold-wa	ter coral reefs, deep-sea sponge	
		aggregations, kelp beds, seagra	ss beds, maerl beds, offshore de	ep sea muds, offshore subtidal	
		sands and gravels, seamount co	ommunities and tide-swept algal	communities and coarse sands	
		with burrowing bivalves.			
		Mussel and native oyster beds	, Northern sea fan and sponge	communities, flame shell beds,	
		burrowed mud, deep-sea habita	its and seamount communities ar	e not present in Orkney Islands.	
		Creels fishing effort distribution	snows that creels are not set on	maeris beds and seagrass beds.	



PI 2.4.1 The UoA does not cause serious or irreversible harm to habitat structure and function considered on the basis of the area covered by the governance body(s) responsible for fisherie management in the area(s) where the UoA operates.				e and function, ible for fisheries	
		Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting.			
с	Minor habitat	status			
	Guidepost	There is evidence that the UoA is highly unlikely to reduce structure and function of the minor habitats to a point where there would be serious or irreversible harm.			
	Met?			NA	
	Justification	There are no minor habitats, fishing activies occur only on the two commonly encountered habitats.			
Ref	References Baxter, J.M., Boyd, I.L., Cox, M., Donald, A.E., Malcolm, S.J., Miles, H., Miller, B., Moffat, C.F., (Editors), 2011. Scotland's Marine Atlas: Information for the national marine plan. Marine Scotland, Edinburgh. pp. 191. Coleman M.T. and Rodrigues E., 2017c. Succorfish Report. Orkney Shellfish Research Project. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltd. No 20, pp 18. DFO. 2010. Potential impacts of fishing gears (excluding mobile bottom-contacting gears) on marine habitats and communities. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2010/003. Eno N. C., D. S. MacDonald, J. A. M. Kinnear, S. C. Amos, C. J. Chapman, R. A Clark, F. St PD Bunker and C. Munro, 2001. Effects of crustacean traps on benthic fauna. ICES Journal of Marine Science 58: 11-20.				
OVE	RALL PERFORM	IANCE INDICATOR SCORE:			90
CO	CONDITION NUMBER (if relevant): N/A				

5



PI 2.4.2 – Habitats management strategy

		There is a strategy in place that is designed to ensure the UoA does not pose a risk of serious or			
FI .	2.4.2	irreversible harm to the habitat	ts.		
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100	
а	Management	strategy in place			
	Guidepost	There are measures in	There is a partial strategy in	There is a strategy in place	
		place, if necessary, that are	place, if necessary, that is	for managing the impact of	
		expected to achieve the	expected to achieve the	all MSC UoAs/non-MSC	
		Habitat Outcome 80 level of	Habitat Outcome 80 level of	fisheries on habitats.	
		performance.	performance or above.		
	Met?	Y	Y	N	
	Justification	There is a partial strategy in place, if necessary, that is expected to achieve the Habitat			
		Outcome 80 level of perform	ance or above.		
		A Pilot Pentland Firth and Orkn	ey Waters Marine Spatial Plan h	as been published in 2016. The	
		Plan sets out an integrated plan	nning policy framework to guide	marine development, activities	
		and management decisions, wh	list ensuring the quality of the m	arine environment is protected.	
		a useful basis for the prepared in p	a useful basis for the preparation of separate regional marine plans.		
		A network of MPAs helps to protect nationally important marine wildlife, habitats, geology and			
		undersea landforms. Developing	undersea landforms. Developing Scotland's network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet		
		the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically			
		diverse marine and coastal envi	ronment that meets the long ter	m needs of people and nature".	
		Scotland's MPAs includes Natu	re Conservation MPAs (NCMPA)	, Special Areas of Conservation	
		(SAC), Special protection Areas	(SPA) and Sites of Special Scient	tific Interest (SSSI). There are 3	
		Nature Conservation MPAs and	4 Special Areas of Conservation in	n Orkney Islands.	
		The fishing method itself can	be considered as a partial stra	tegy to avoid habitat impacts	
		as well as fishing operations v	with fishermen lifting their cree	els rather than dragging them	
		during hauling and setting th	neir creels in deeper waters du	uring bad weather. OSF Code	
		of Practices include a clause r	elated to recovery of lost fishir	ng gear. According to OSF and	
		fishermen met during the site	e visit, lost of creels is infreque	ent.	
		SG100 is not met as there is r	no specific strategy in place du	e to the low risk posed by the	
		fishery.			
b	Management	t strategy evaluation	Г <u>—</u> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	[
	Guidepost	The measures are	There is some objective	Testing supports high	
		considered likely to work,	basis for confidence that	confidence that the partial	
		based on plausible	the measures/partial	strategy/strategy will work,	
		argument (e.g. general	strategy will work, based on	based on information	
		experience, theory or	information directly about	directly about the UoA	
		comparison with similar	the UoA and/or habitats	and/or habitats involved.	
UoAs/habitats). involved.					
	Met?	Y	Y	N	
	Justification	There is some objective basis for confidence that the measures/partial strategy will work,			
		based on information directly about the UoA and/or habitats involved.			
		Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries			
		structures (e.g. sponges, corals)	through crushing or entanglement	tat, traps can impact biogenic	
		can result if trans are dragged	across the bottom during retrie	val or during periods of strong	
		currents (e.g. storms. tides).	actions the pottoin during retrie	the of during periods of strong	
		Eno et al (2001) examined the	effects of fishing with crustacea	n traps on benthic fauna in UK	
		through qualitative and quantita	ative experiments. This study exa	mined the effects of lobster and	



Image: Construction of the second s	PI	2.4.2	There is a strategy in place that irreversible harm to the habitat	is designed to ensure the UoA dess.	oes not pose a risk of serious or
c Management strategy implementation Guidepost There is some quantitative evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully. There is clear quantitative evidence that the measures/partial strategy/strategy is being implemented successfully. Met? Y N Justification There is some quantitative evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully. N A network of MPAs helps to protect nationally important marine wildlife, habitats, geology and undersea landforms. Developing "Scotland"s network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature", Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SS3)). There are 3 Nature Conservation MPAs and 4 Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponge, coral) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can reab term specied across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs. There is no testing about habitats impact specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creed fishery.			crab traps being hauled from ro and their communities appeared SG100 is not met as there is Islands brown crab creel fishe	ocky substrates in southern Engla d relatively unaffected by potting s no testing about habitats im ery.	nd, and found that the habitats pacts specific to the Orkney
Guidepost There is some quantitative evidence that the measures/partial strategy: being implemented successfully. There is clear quantitative evidence that the partial strategy/strategy is being implemented successfully. Met? Y N Justification There is some quantitative evidence that the measures/partial scoring issue (a). N A network of MPAs helps to protect nationally important marine geology and undersea landforms. Developing Scotland's network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature". Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of special areas of conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponge, corals) Hrough crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects and locoll examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 Is not met as there is no testing about habitats impact specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab crab fishery. d Compliance with management requirements and with protec	С	Management	t strategy implementation		
evidence that the evidence that the evidence that the price Met? Implemented successfully. Implemented successfully. and is achieving is objective, as outlined in scoring issue (a). Met? Implemented successfully. A network of MPAs helps to protect nationally important marine wildlife, habitats, geology and undersea landforms. Developing Sotiand's network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet the Scottish Governmen's commitment to a 'clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature". Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC). Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). There are 3 Nature Conservation MPAs and 4 Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southerm England, and found that the habitats and their communi		Guidepost		There is some quantitative	There is clear quantitative
def measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully. strategy/strategy is being implemented successfully. Met? Y N Justification There is some quantitative evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully. N A network of MPAs helps to protect nationally important marine wildlife, habitats, geology and undersea landforms. Developing Scotland's network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature". Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC). Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). There are 3 Nature Conservation MPAs and 4 Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. storms, tides). En et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative examplements. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs. first is geared mitter evidence that the UoA complex with both its management requirements and				evidence that the	evidence that the partial
being implemented implemented successfully. Met? Y N Justification There is some quantitative evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully. A network of MPAs helps to protect nationally important marine wildlife, habitats, geology and undersea landforms. Developing Scotland's network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature", Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special Interest (SSI), There are 3 Nature Conservation MPAs and 4 Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on bertic fauna in UK through qualitative examptionet. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs. There is some quantitative evidence that the				measures/partial strategy is	strategy/strategy is being
Met? y and is achieving its objective, as outlined in scoring issue (a). Justification There is some quantitative evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully. A network of MPAs helps to protect nationally important marine wildlife, habitats, geology and undersea landforms. Developing Scotland's network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature". Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). There are 3 Nature Conservation MPAs and 4 Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps are passive gear cores the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creef fishery. There is some quantitative evidence that the UOA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. There is clear quantitative evidence that the UOA complies with both its management				being implemented	implemented successfully
Met? Y N Justification There is some quantitative evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully. A network of MPAs helps to protect nationally important marine wildlife, habitats, geology and undersea landforms. Developing Scotland's network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature". Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tide). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SC100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creet fishery. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries' measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. There is clear quantitative evidence that the UOA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>successfully.</th> <th>and is achieving its</th>				successfully.	and is achieving its
Met? Y N Justification There is some quantitative evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully. A network of MPAs helps to protect nationally important marine wildlife, habitats, geology and undersea landforms. Developing Scotland's network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature", Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSS)). There are 3 Nature Conservation MPAs and 4 Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. d Complies with it its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.					objective, as outlined in
Metry N Justification There is some quantitative evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully. A network of MPAs helps to protect nationally important marine wildlife, habitats, geology and undersea landforms. Developing Scotland's network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature". Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSS). There are 3 Nature Conservation MPAs and 4 Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and puantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs Guidepost There is qualitative evidence that the UOA complies with both its management requireme					scoring issue (a).
distinction There is some quantitative evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being implemented successfully. A network of MPAs helps to protect nationally important marine wildlife, habitats, geology and undersea landforms. Developing Scotland's network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature". Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). There are 3 Nature Conservation MPAs and 4 Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponge, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs There is qualitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. </th <th></th> <th>Iviet?</th> <th></th> <th>Y</th> <th>N</th>		Iviet?		Y	N
d A network of MPAs helps to protect nationally important marine wildlife, habitats, geology and undersea landforms. Developing Scotland's network of MPAs is part of a wider strategy to meet the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe, productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long term needs of people and nature". Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). There are 3 Nature Conservation MPAs and 4 Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tide). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs. There is some quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. d Compliance with man		Justification	There is some quantitative evidence that the measures/partial strategy is being		
d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs. There is contained to the MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. There is no ther MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.			implemented successfully.		
d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UoAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect WMEs. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UoAs'/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Met? Not scored			geology and undersea landfo	orms. Developing Scotland's n	etwork of MPAs is part of a
d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Met? Not scored			wider strategy to meet the Scottish Government's commitment to a "clean, healthy, safe.		
d term needs of people and nature". Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs (NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). There are 3 Nature Conservation MPAs and 4 Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs. Guidepost There is qualitative evidence that the UOA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Met? Not scored Not scored Not scored Justification Not scored Not scored Not scored <th></th> <th></th> <th colspan="3">productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long</th>			productive and biologically diverse marine and coastal environment that meets the long		
d(NCMPA), Special Areas of Conservation (SAC), Special protection Areas (SPA) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). There are 3 Nature Conservation MPAs and 4 Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery.There is qualitative evidence that the UoA complies with its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by otherMet?Not scoredNot scoredNot scoredMet?Not scoredNot scoredNot scored			term needs of people and nature". Scotland's MPAs includes Nature Conservation MPAs		
d of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). There are 3 Nature Conservation MPAs and 4 Special Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs Guidepost There is qualitative evidence that the UoA complies with its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Met? Not scored Not scored Not scored Not scored Justification Not relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the Not scored			(NCMPA), Special Areas of Co	onservation (SAC), Special pro-	tection Areas (SPA) and Sites
Areas of Conservation in Orkeny Islands. Traps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery.dCompliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEsdCompliance with management requirements and mith protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.There is clear quantitative evidence that the UOA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.Met?Not scoredNot scoredNot scoredMet?Not scoredNot scoredNot scored			of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). There are 3 Nature Cons	servation MPAs and 4 Special
dTraps are passive gear types that rely on bait to attract the target species. Although trap fisheries are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery.dCompliance with management requirements and other MSC UoAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEsdCompliance with management requirements to protect VMEs.There is some quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.Met?Not scoredNot scoredNot scoredJustificationNot relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the the diverse track to the other with or when with protection the price of the other with or when with price of the other			Areas of Conservation in Ork	eny Islands.	
are generally considered to have slight impacts on the habitat, traps can impact biogenic structures (e.g. sponges, corals) through crushing or entanglement. Crushing and scouring effects can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong currents (e.g. storms, tides). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery.dCompliance with management requirements and other MSC UoAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEsdCompliance with management requirements and gement requirements to protect VMEs.There is qualitative 			Traps are passive gear types tha	t rely on bait to attract the target	species. Although trap fisheries
dCompliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protectdCompliance with management requirements to protect VMEs.GuidepostThere is qualitative management requirements to protect VMEs.Met?Not scoredMet?Not scoredNot scoredNot scoredNot relevant. The UOA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UOAs where relevant.			are generally considered to h	ave slight impacts on the habit	tat, traps can impact biogenic
d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAS'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs There is qualitative evidence that the UOA complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs. There is some quantitative evidence that the UOA complies with its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAS/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. There is clear quantitative evidence that the UOA complies with its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAS/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Met? Not scored Not			can result if trans are dragged	across the bottom during retrie	val or during periods of strong
Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery.dCompliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEsGuidepostThere is qualitative evidence that the UoA complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs.There is some quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.Met?Not scoredNot scoredNot scoredJustificationNot relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UOA within the lish of the via 0 diverse of the via 0 diverse.			currents (e.g. storms, tides).	deross the sotion during retre	tal of daming periods of scioling
dCompliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEsdCompliance with management requirements and other MSC UOAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEsGuidepostThere is qualitative evidence that the UOA complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs.There is qualitative evidence that the UOA complies with its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.There is clear quantitative evidence that the UOA complies with its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.There is clear quantitative evidence that the UOA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.Met?Not scoredNot scoredNot scoredJustificationNot relevant. The UOA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UOA within the the vide complex with vide complex vide complex with vide complex vide comple			Eno et al (2001) examined the	effects of fishing with crustacea	n traps on benthic fauna in UK
d crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UoAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs Guidepost There is qualitative evidence that the UoA complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs. There is some quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Met? Not scored Not scored Not scored Justification Not relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the Not scored			through qualitative and quantita	ative experiments. This study example	mined the effects of lobster and
and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting. SG100 is not met as there is no testing about habitats impacts specific to the Orkney Islands brown crab creel fishery. d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UoAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs Guidepost There is qualitative evidence that the UoA complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs. There is some quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Met? Not scored Not scored Not scored Justification Not relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the			crab traps being hauled from ro	ocky substrates in southern Engla	nd, and found that the habitats
d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UoAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs Guidepost There is qualitative evidence that the UoA complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs. There is some quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Met? Not scored Not scored Not scored Justification Not relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the			and their communities appeared	d relatively unaffected by potting	Siste the Onlyne of Islands have an
d Compliance with management requirements and other MSC UoAs'/non-MSC fisheries' measures to protect VMEs Guidepost There is qualitative evidence that the UoA complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs. There is some quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Met? Not scored Not scored Not scored Justification Not relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the			sgiul is not met as there is no to	esting about habitats impacts spec	cific to the Orkney Islands brown
VMEsGuidepostThere is qualitative evidence that the UoA complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs.There is some quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.Met?Not scoredNot scoredNot scoredJustificationNot relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the use of the view of the v	d	Compliance w	ith management requirements a	nd other MSC UoAs'/non-MSC fis	sheries' measures to protect
GuidepostThere is qualitative evidence that the UoA complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs.There is some quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.There is clear quantitative evidence that the UoA complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.Met?Not scoredNot scoredNot scoredJustificationNot relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the the text is fibre via fibre via fibre		VMEs			
evidencethattheUoA compliesevidencethattheUoA complies withevidencethattheUoA complies withthattheUoA complies withthatthattheUoAcomplies withthatthattheUoAor <td< th=""><th></th><th>Guidepost</th><th>There is qualitative</th><th>There is some quantitative</th><th>There is clear quantitative</th></td<>		Guidepost	There is qualitative	There is some quantitative	There is clear quantitative
Complieswith its management requirements to protect VMEs.complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UOAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.Met?Not scoredNot scoredNot scoredJustificationNot relevant. The UOA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UOA within the Ubat/scored within the the text of the state of the			evidence that the UoA	evidence that the UoA	evidence that the UoA
Met?Not scoredNot scoredNot scoredNot scoredNot scoredJustificationNot relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the				1	1
Met? Not scored Not scored Not scored Not scored Not scored Justification Not relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the			complies with its	complies with both its	complies with both its
Met? Not scored Not scored <th></th> <th></th> <th>complies with its management requirements</th> <th>complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures</th> <th>complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures</th>			complies with its management requirements	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures
Met? Not scored Not scored Not scored Justification Not relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the			complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs.	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other
Met? Not scored Not scored Justification Not relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the			complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs.	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries,	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries,
Justification Not relevant. The UoA does not impact VMEs, there is no other MSC UoA within the			complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs.	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant.
		Met?	complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs.	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Not scored	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Not scored
UoA's management area and the brown crab creel fishery is the main fishery in Orkney		Met? Justification	complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs. Not scored Not relevant. The UoA does	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Not scored not impact VMEs, there is no	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Not scored o other MSC UoA within the
Islands (FCR SA3.14.3).		Met? Justification	complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs. Not scored Not relevant. The UoA does UoA's management area and	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Not scored not impact VMEs, there is not the brown crab creel fishery	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Not scored o other MSC UoA within the is the main fishery in Orkney
References Coleman IVI.I. and Rodrigues E., 2017c. Succortish Report. Orkney Shelltish Research Project.		Met? Justification	complies with its management requirements to protect VMEs. Not scored Not relevant. The UoA does UoA's management area and Islands (FCR SA3.14.3).	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Not scored not impact VMEs, there is no the brown crab creel fishery	complies with both its management requirements and with protection measures afforded to VMEs by other MSC UoAs/non-MSC fisheries, where relevant. Not scored to other MSC UoA within the is the main fishery in Orkney



PI 2.4.2	There is a strategy in place that is designed to ensure the UoA does not pose a irreversible harm to the habitats.	risk of serious or
	DFO. 2010. Potential impacts of fishing gears (excluding mobile bottom-cont marine habitats and communities. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Sci. Advis. Rep. 2010	acting gears) on /003.
	Eno N. C., D. S. MacDonald, J. A. M. Kinnear, S. C. Amos, C. J. Chapman, F PD Bunker and C. Munro, 2001. Effects of crustacean traps on bent Journal of Marine Science 58: 11-20.	A. A. Clark, F. St hic fauna. ICES
	Marine Scotland 2015b. Scotland's National Marine Plan – A Single Framework for Managing Our Seas. The Scottish Government, Edinburgh 2015.	
	Marine Scotland 2016. Pilot Pentland Firth and Orkney Waters Marine Spatial P the Pilot Pentland Firth and Orkney Waters Working Group. The Scottish Govern 2016.	lan. Prepared by ment, Edinburgh
	OSF Code of Practices for Crab Suppliers	
OVERALL PERFORM	IANCE INDICATOR SCORE:	80
CONDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):	NA



PI 2.4.3 – Habitats information

PI 2.4.3		Information is adequate to determine the risk posed to the habitat by the UoA and the effectiveness of the strategy to manage impacts on the habitat.		
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100
а	Information of	quality		
	Guidepost	The types and distribution	The nature, distribution and	The distribution of all
		of the main habitats are	vulnerability of the main	habitats is known over their
		broadly understood.	habitats in the UoA area are	range, with particular
			known at a level of detail	attention to the occurrence
		OR	relevant to the scale and	of vulnerable habitats.
			intensity of the UoA.	
		If CSA is used to score PI		
		2.4.1 for the UoA:	OR	
		Qualitative information is	If CSA is used to score PI	
		adequate to estimate the	2.4.1 for the UoA:	
		types and distribution of		
		the main habitats.	Some quantitative	
			information is available and	
			is adequate to estimate the	
			types and distribution of	
	N4-+2		the main habitats.	
	wet:	Y The distribution of all hebits	Y	
	Justification	accurrence of unberable bab	s is known over their range, w	ith particular attention to the
		Benthic habitats around Orkne	vilais.	nd henthic habitats manning is
		available through the European	n Marine Observation and Data	Network (EMODnet) and Joint
		Nature Conservation Committe	e (JNCC). Benthic habitats are c	lominated by inshore rock and
		biogenic reef and coarse sedime	ent and offshore sand and coarse	sediment.
		VMEs and are: mussel and nativ	e oyster beds, seapens and burro	owing megafauna in circalittoral
		fine mud, cold-water coral reefs	s, deep-sea sponge aggregations,	kelp beds, seagrass beds, maerl
		tido swont algal communities a	offshore subtidal sands and grave	els, seamount communities and
		Distribution of VMFs is manned	d Mussel and native ovster beds	s seagrass beds (Zostera noltii)
		Northern sea fan and sponge c	ommunities, cold-water coral re	efs, flame shell beds, burrowed
		mud, deep-sea habitats and sea	mount communities are not prese	nt in Orkney Islands. Maerl beds
		are found in Orkney Islands wa	ters. Maerl is extremely slow gr	owing and maerl beds create a
		complex, open structure that	supports diverse associated com	munities of red seaweeds and
		animals including juveniles stage	es of a range of commercially imp	ortant species.
		Felgrass beds are considered to	he scarce in Scotland and have an	important role in the ecosystem
	by stabilising sediments, protecting the coast from waves action and being a nursery area fr		n and being a nursery area fro	
		many commercially important species.		
b	Information a	adequacy for assessment of im	pacts	
	Guidepost	Information is adequate to	Information is adequate to	The physical impacts of the
		broadly understand the	allow for identification of the	gear on all habitats have
		nature of the main impacts of	main impacts of the UoA on	been quantified fully.
		gear use on the main habitats,	is reliable information on the	
		habitat with fishing gear.	spatial extent of interaction	
			and on the timing and	
		OR	C	



PI 2.4.3		Information is adequate to determine the risk posed to the habitat by the UoA and the			
		effectiveness of the strategy to	manage impacts on the habitat.	ſ	
			location of use of the fishing		
		If CSA is used to score PI 2.4.1	gear.		
		for the UoA:			
			OR		
		Qualitative information is			
		adequate to estimate the	If CSA is used to score PI 2.4.1		
		consequence and snatial	for the UoA:		
		attributos of the main			
		attributes of the main	Some quantitative		
		habitats.	information is available and		
			is adaquate to estimate the		
			is adequate to estimate the		
			consequence and spatial		
			attributes of the main		
			habitats.		
	Met?	Υ	γ	Ν	
	Justification	Information is adequate to allo	w for identification of the main i	mpacts of the UoA on the main	
		habitats, and there is reliable in	formation on the spatial extent of	of interaction and on the timing	
		and location of use of the fishing	g gear.		
		Traps are passive gear types tha	t rely on bait to attract the target	species. Although trap fisheries	
		are generally considered to h	ave slight impacts on the habi	tat, traps can impact biogenic	
		structures (e.g. sponges, corals)	through crushing or entanglemer	nt. Crushing and scouring effects	
		can result if traps are dragged across the bottom during retrieval or during periods of strong			
		currents (e.g. storms, tides). Eno et al (2001) examined the effects of fishing with crustacean traps			
		on benthic fauna in UK through qualitative and quantitative experiments. This study examined the			
		effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and			
		effects of lobster and crab traps being hauled from rocky substrates in southern England, and found that the habitats and their communities appeared relatively unaffected by potting			
		The spatial and temporal extent of the brown crab creel fishing activity within and surrounding			
		Orkeny Islands have been invest	tigated		
		The physical impacts of cre	ool fishing on all habitats ha	ve not been quatified fully	
		ne physical impacts of cre	monthing SC100	ve not been quatmed runy,	
		preventing the lishery forma	neeting SG100.		
С	Wonitoring	Γ		Γ	
	Guidepost		Adequate information	Changes in habitat	
			continues to be collected to	distributions over time are	
			detect any increase in risk	measured.	
			to the main habitats.		
	Met?		Y	Y	
	lustification	Adequate information contin	ues to be collected to detect a	ny increase in risk to the main	
	Justification	Adequate mornation contin	des to be conected to detect a	formation on the anatial and	
		habitats. The succorrish prog	ram continues and provides in	formation on the spatial and	
		temporal extent of the brown	n crab creel fishing activity.		
		Changes in habitat distribution are monitored by the Marine Spatial Plan and updated			
		regurlarly.			
Ba		Baxter, J.M., Boyd, I.L., Cox, M	., Donald, A.E., Malcolm, S.J., M	iles, H., Miller, B., Moffat, C.F.,	
		(Editors), 2011. Scotland's Ma	rine Atlas: Information for the	national marine plan. Marine	
		Scotland, Edinburgh. pp. 191.		-	
References		Coleman M.T. and Rodrigues E	., 2017c. Succorfish Report. Ork	mey Shellfish Research Project.	
		Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Lto	d. No 20, pp 18.	-	
		Marine Scotland 2015b. Scotlan	d's National Marine Plan – A Sing	le Framework for Managing Our	
		Seas. The Scottish Government,	Edinburgh 2015.		



PI 2.4.3	Information is adequate to determine the risk posed to the habitat by the effectiveness of the strategy to manage impacts on the habitat.	ne UoA and the	
	Marine Scotland 2016. Pilot Pentland Firth and Orkney Waters Marine Spatial F the Pilot Pentland Firth and Orkney Waters Working Group. The Scottish Govern 2016. Joint Nature Conservation Committee	Plan. Prepared by Iment, Edinburgh	
OVERALL PERFORM	/IANCE INDICATOR SCORE:	32	
CONDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):	N/A	



PI 2.5.1 – Ecosystem outcome

PI	2.5.1	The UoA does not cause serious or irreversible harm to the key elements of ecosystem structure and function.			
Scoring Issue		SG 60	SG 80	SG 100	
а	Ecosystem st	atus			
	Guidepost	The UoA is unlikely to disrupt the key elements underlying ecosystem structure and function to a point where there would be a serious or irreversible	The UoA is highly unlikely to disrupt the key elements underlying ecosystem structure and function to a point where there would be a serious or irreversible	There is evidence that UoA is highly unliked disrupt the key elem underlying ecosy structure and function point where there wou	t the y to nents stem to a Id be
		harm.	harm.	a serious or irrever	sible
				harm.	
	Met?	Y The Us A is highly wellight to	Y	P	
Refe	Justification	a serious of inteversible a serious of inteversible point where there woulds be a serious or irreversible harm. Y Y P The UoA is highly unlikely to disrupt the key elements underlying ecosystem structur and function to a point where there would be a serious or irreversible harm. Orkney Islands are part of the Celtic Seas EcoRegion. ICES 2016 Celtic Seas Ecosyste overview concludes that the overall fishing pressure on commercial fish and shellfit stocks in the ecoregion has decreased and the overall fishing mortality for shellfits demersal and pelagic fish stocks has reduced since two decades. Crab potting has m been identified as impacting threatened and declining fish species, seabirds and marir mamals, and as leading to habitats abrasion and substrate loss. Predator-prey relationship for the brown crab and associated non-target species are we understood. Planktonic and early benthic life stages provide an important food source for fish species. Adults brow crab feed primarily on benthic invertebrates such is bivalves, small crustaceans and barnacles, but will also scavenge for food a demonstrated by their capture in creels baited with various fish species. In the adustage of their life cycle, brown crab and velvet crab in the ecosystem, as well as the range other benthic and bentho-pelagic predators and scavengers present in the stock areas, it is like that functional group composition, community distribution and trophic dynamics would I virtually unchanged from natural background levels. Species composition may be impacted I fishing, glown that removal of crabs is likely to reduce competition for other benthic predators and scavengers, but its eems unlikely that any changes would be major in comparison with the natur ange of variation. Although there i		cture stem ellfish llfish, s not arine e well urces ch as d as adult rance, nge of likely ld be ed by rs and atural nents rious rkney ed 04	
		Available from: <u>https://www</u>	.mariin.ac.uk/species/detail/1	<u>179</u>	
OVE	RALL PERFORM	IANCE INDICATOR SCORE:		90	

90



PI 2.5.1	2.5.1 The UoA does not cause serious or irreversible harm to the key elements of ecosystem struct and function.	
CONDITION NUMBER (if relevant):		N/A



PI 2.5.2		There are measures in place to ensure the UoA does not pose a risk of serious or irreversible harm		
		to ecosystem structure and funct	ion.	
Scoring	g Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100
а	Manager	ment strategy in place		
	Guidep	There are measures in place,	There is a partial strategy in	There is a strategy that
	ost	if necessary which take into	place, if necessary, which	consists of a plan , in place
		account the potential	takes into account available	which contains measures to
		impacts of the fishery on key	information and is	address all main impacts of
		elements of the ecosystem.	expected to restrain	the UoA on the ecosystem,
			impacts of the UoA on the	and at least some of these
			ecosystem so as to achieve	measures are in place.
			the Ecosystem Outcome 80	
			level of performance.	
	Met?	Y	Y	Ν
	Justific	There is a partial strategy in	place, if necessary, which t	akes into account available
	ation	information and is expected t	o restrain impacts of the Uo	A on the ecosystem so as to
		achieve the Ecosystem Outcom	ne 80 level of performance.	,
		The potential impacts of the O	rknev brown crab creel fisher	on the ecosystem structure
	and function is managed at the international level under the EU framework, at the national			
	level under UK and Scottish regulations and the regional/local level.			
		level under OK and Scottish regulations and the regional/local level.		
		A Scotland's National Marine Plan has been published in 2015. The Plan has been developed in		
		accordance with the EU Directive 2014/89/UE which came into force in 2014 and introduces a		
		framework for marine spatial plan	ning and aims to promote the sus	tainable development of marine
		areas and the sustainable use of r	marine resources. The Plan sets c	bjectives specific to the marine
		environment. A Pilot Pentland Fir	th and Orkney Waters Marine Sp	atial Plan has been published in
		2016. The Plan sets out an integr	rated planning policy framework	to guide marine development,
		activities and management decis	ions, whilst ensuring the quality	of the marine environment is
		protected. This pilot plan was pre	pared in parallel with the Scotland	d's Nationa Marine plan and will
		establish a useful basis for the pre	paration of separate regional ma	rine plans.
		A network of MPAs helps to pro	otect nationally important mar	ine wildlife, habitats, geology
		and undersea landforms. Dev	eloping Scotland's network	of MPAs is part of a wider
		strategy to meet the Scottish	n Government's commitment	to a "clean, healthy, safe,
		productive and biologically div	erse marine and coastal envir	onment that meets the long
		term needs of people and nati	ure". There are 3 Nature Cons	ervation MPAs and 4 Special
		Areas of Conservation in Orkne	ey Islands.	
		The OSF Inshore Fisheries Man	agement Plan set out manage	ment measures for shellfish.
		A MLS is in place for brown cra	ab. velvet crab. lobster and gre	een crab. It is also prohibited
		to land velvet crab berried females.		
	OSF Code of Conduct lists best practices to minimise impacts on the marine environment.		e marine environment.	
		Athough a Marine National Pla	and and a Pilot Pentland Firth	and Orkney Waters Marine
		Spatial Plan have been publishe	ed in 2015 and 2016, respectiv	ely, it cannot be said that the
		plan contains measures to a	ddress all main impacts of t	he UoA on the ecosystem.
		preventing the fishery from me	eting SG100.	, - ,
b	Manager	ment strategy evaluation	-	

PI 2.5.2 – Ecosystem management strategy



PI 2.5	2.5.2 There are measures in place to ensure the UoA does not pose a risk of serious or irreversible ha to ecosystem structure and function.			
	Guidep	The measures are considered	There is some objective	Testing supports high
	ost	likely to work, based on	basis for confidence that	confidence that the partial
		plausible argument (e.g.,	the measures/partial	strategy/strategy will work,
		general experience, theory or	strategy will work, based on	based on information
		comparison with similar	some information directly	directly about the UoA
		fisheries/ ecosystems).	about the UoA and/or the	and/or ecosystem involved
			ecosystem involved	
	IVIEt?	Y There is a new a his stire has is f	Y	N
	ation	has a come objective basis f	or confidence that the measur	es/partial strategy will work,
	ation	Orkney Islands are part of t	be Celtic Seas EcoRegion In	the ICES 2016 Celtic Seas
		Ecosystem, crab potting has no	t been identified as impacting	threatened and declining fish
		species, seabirds and marine i	mamals, and as leading to hat	pitats abrasion and substrate
		loss.	,	
		Given the generalist role of brow	n crab and velvet crab in the eco	osystem, as well as the range of
		other benthic and bentho-pelagic	predators and scavengers prese	nt in the stock areas, it is likely
		that functional group composition	i, community distribution and trop	onic dynamics would be virtually
		that removal of crabs is likely to	reduce competition for other bei	thic predators and scavengers,
		but it seems unlikely that any ch	anges would be major in compa	rison with the natural range of
		variation.		
		No concerns have been raised at	bout the impacts of the Orkney l	prown crab creel fishery on the
		wider ecosystem structure and fu	nction.	
		SG100 is not met as there is no	testing about the efficiency of	f the nartial strategy in place
с	Managen	nent strategy implementation	resting about the entitlency of	The partial strategy in place.
	Guidep		There is some evidence	There is clear evidence that
	ost		that the measures/partial	the partial
			strategy is being	strategy/strategy is being
			implemented successfully.	implemented successfully
				and is achieving its
				objective as set out in
	Mat?		v	N
	Justific	There is some evidence that the	e nartial strategy is heing imn	emented successfully
	ation	The OSF Inshore Fisheries Mar	agement Plan set out manage	ment measures for shellfish.
		A MLS is in place for brown cra	ab, velvet crab, lobster and gro	een crab. It is also prohibited
	to land velvet crab berried females. Form the meeting with MS Compliance, teher is a high			IS Compliance, teher is a high
		degree of compliance with regulatins in place.		
		The Pilot Pentland Firth and Orkney Waters Marine Spatial Plan was published in 2016 and		
		sets out an integrated planning policy framework to guide marine development, activities		
		and management decisions, whilst ensuring the quality of the marine environment is		
		Protected.	Orkany Islands	
			Orkariy Islanus.	
		SG100 is not met as there is r	no clear evidence that the par	tial strategy is implemented
		succeffully and is achieving its	objectives.	
Poforo	ncos	Marine Scotland 2015b. Scotland	's National Marine Plan – A Singl	e Framework for Managing Our
References		Seas The Scottish Government F	dinburgh 2015	



PI 2.5.2	There are measures in place to ensure the UoA does not pose a risk of serious or irreversible harm to ecosystem structure and function.		
	Marine Scotland 2016. Pilot Pentland Firth and Orkney Waters Marine Spatial Plan. Prepared by the Pilot Pentland Firth and Orkney Waters Working Group. The Scottish Government, Edinburgh 2016.		
	OSF Code of Practices for Crab Suppliers		
OVERALL PERFOR	OVERALL PERFORMANCE INDICATOR SCORE: 80		
CONDITION NUM	CONDITION NUMBER (if relevant): N/A		



PI 2.5.3 – Ecosystem information

PI 2.5.3		There is adequate knowledge of the impacts of the UoA on the ecosystem.		
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100
а	Information of	quality		
	Guidepost	Information is adequate to	Information is adequate to	
		identify the key elements of	broadly understand the key	
		the ecosystem.	elements of the ecosystem.	
	Met?	Υ	Y	
	Justification	Information is adequate to	identify and broadly understa	and the key elements of the
		ecosystem.		
		There is considerable infor	mation available with regarc	is to key biotic and abiotic
		elements of the Celtic Seas E	coRegion, the North Sea and O	rkney Islands inshore waters.
		There is a substantial program	nme of environmental monitor	ing undertaken by a range of
	organisations in Orkney. The EMEC carries out monitoring of sea surface temperature (SST),		i surface temperature (SST), USF	
		marine intertidal and non-nat	ive species Marine Scotland S	Science monitor environmental
		parameters including salinity	and sea surface temperature	through their Scottish Coastal
		Observatory Programme includi	ng at Scapa Pier in Orkney.	
		ICES (2016b) and Baxter et al (2	2011) provides detailed informati	on and description of the biotic
		aspect including plankton, fish c	communities, benthic communitie	es, marine mammals, birds.
b	Investigation	of UoA impacts		
	Guidepost	Main impacts of the UoA on	Main impacts of the UoA on	Main interactions between
		these key ecosystem	these key ecosystem	the UoA and these
		elements can be inferred	elements can be inferred	ecosystem elements can be
		from existing information,	from existing information,	inferred from existing
		but have not been	and some have been	information, and have been
		investigated in detail.	investigated in detail.	investigated in detail.
	Met?	Y	Y	N
	Justification	Main impacts of the UoA on I	these key ecosystem elements	can be inferred from existing
		information, and some have	been investigated in detail.	
		Serious or irreversible harm	to ecosystem structure and fu	nction as would be indicated
		by trophic cascade, depletion	of top predators, severely true	ncated size structure of target
		species and non-target species	es, changes in species biodiver	sity has not been observed.
		However it cannot conclude	e that the main interactions l	between the UoA and these
		ecosystem elements have b	been investigated in details,	preventing the fishery from
		meeting SG100.		
с	Understandir	ng of component functions		
	Guidepost		The main functions of the	The impacts of the UoA on
			components (i.e., P1 target	P1 target species, primary,
			species, primary, secondary	secondary and ETP species
			and ETP species and	and Habitats are identified
			Habitats) in the ecosystem	and the main functions of
			are known .	these components in the
	N4-42		~	ecosystem are understood .
	Met?		Y	Y
	Justification	The impacts of the UoA on I	P1 target species, primary, see	condary and ETP species and
		Habitats are identified and th	e main functions of these com	ponents in the ecosystem are
	known and understood.			



PI	2.5.3	There is adequate knowledge o	f the impacts of the UoA on the	ecosystem.
		Information is available to un	derstand the main functions o	f brown crab, species used as
		bait, velvet crab, lobster and habitats. Information on brown crab and non-target species		
		catch, on interaction with ETP species and on the spatial extent of interaction with		
		habitats is available.		
d	Information r	relevance		
	Guidepost		Adequate information is	Adequate information is
			available on the impacts of	available on the impacts of
			the UoA on these	the UoA on the
			components to allow some	components and elements
			of the main consequences	to allow the main
			for the ecosystem to be	consequences for the
			inferred.	ecosystem to be inferred.
	Met?		Υ	Ν
	Justification	Adequate information is ava	ilable on the impacts of the U	JoA on these components to
		allow some of the main conse	equences for the ecosystem to	be inferred.
		Information on brown crab a	nd non-target species catch, or	n interaction with ETP species
		and on the spatial extent of i	nteraction with habitats is ava	ailable. The brown crab catch
		data are available, quantity o	f bait used is available by spec	cies, non-target species catch
		is recorded through the obs	server and lobbook programmer	nes, studies investigates the
		interaction of the brown crab	creel fishery with ETP species	and the spatial extend of the
		interaction with habitats has	also been investigated by the	succorfish programme.
		SG 100 is no tmet since the	ere is no information on imp	pacts on all elements of the
		ecosystem.		
e	Monitoring			
	Guidepost		Adequate data continue to	Information is adequate to
			be collected to detect any	support the development
			increase in risk level.	of strategies to manage
				ecosystem impacts.
	Met?		Y	Y
	Justification	Adequate data continue to	be collected and Information	is adequate to support the
		development of strategies to	manage ecosystem impacts.	when of toward and your toward
		Information and data are rout	finely collected and include cat	ches of target and non-target
		species, spatial distribution of	Tisning effort, observer proni	ramme.
		(Editors) 2011 Scotland's Ma	rine Atlas: Information for the	national marine plan Marine
		Scotland, Edinburgh, pp. 191.		
		, 511		
		Coleman, M.T. and Rodrigues, E. 2017a. Orkney Shellfish Research Project: Orkney brown crab		
		(Cancer pagurus) tagging projec	t. Orkney Sustainable Fisheries L	td. Report no. 19, 21 pp.
Ref	erences	Coleman M.I. and Rodrigues E	., 2017c. Succorfish Report. Ork	mey Shellfish Research Project.
		Orkney Sustainable Fisheries Ltc	1. NO 20, pp 18.	
		Coleman M.T. and Rodrigues E.,	2017b. Logbook and Observer Re	eport: Orkney Shellfish Research
		Project. Orkney Sustainable Fish	eries Ltd. No 21, pp 25.	. , .
		ICES 2016b. Celtic Seas Ecoregio	n – Ecosystem overview. ICES Eco	system Overviews. Published 04
		warch 2016, version 2, 13 May .	2010.	



PI 2.5.3	There is adequate knowledge of the impacts of the UoA on the ecosystem.		
	Marine Scotland Science 2017. Fish and Shellfish Stocks. Published by the Scottish Government,		
	May 2017.		
	Ryan C., R. Leaper, P. G. H. Evans, K. Dyke, K. P. Robinson, G. N. Haskins, S. Calderan, N. van Geel,		
	O. Harries, K. Froud, A. Brownlow and A. Jack, 2016. Entanglement: an emerging threat to		
	humpback whales in Scottish waters. International Whaling Commission, SC/66b/HIM/01.		
	Sewell, J. & Hiscock, K., 2005. Effects of fishing within UK European Marine Sites: guidance for		
	nature conservation agencies. Report to the Countryside Council for Wales, English Nature and		
	Scottish Natural Heritage from the Marine Biological Association. Plymouth: Marine Biological		
	Association. CCW Contract FC 73-03-214A. 195 pp.		
OVERALL PERFORMANCE INDICATOR SCORE: 90			
CONDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):	N/A	



PI 3.	1 3.1.1 – Legal and/or customary framework						
		The management system exists	within an appropriate legal and	/or customary framework			
		which ensures that it: \bullet is capable of delivering sustainability in the UeA(s); and					
PI	3.1.1	Observes the legal rights of	reated explicitly or established h	w custom of people dependent			
		on fishing for food or liveli	hood: and	y custom of people dependent			
		 Incorporates an appropriat 	e dispute resolution framework.				
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100			
а	Compatibility	of laws or standards with effe	ective management				
	Guidepost	There is an effective	There is an effective	There is an effective			
	•	national legal system and a	national legal system and	national legal system and			
		framework for cooperation	organised and effective	binding procedures			
		with other parties, where	cooperation with other	governing cooperation			
		necessary. to deliver	parties, where necessary.	with other parties which			
		management outcomes	to deliver management	delivers management			
		consistent with MSC	outcomes consistent with	outcomes consistent with			
		Principles 1 and 2	MSC Principles 1 and 2.	MSC Principles 1 and 2.			
		·	·	·			
	Met?	Y	Y	Y			
	Justification	Scotland, as part of the EU and	UK, has a well-established and e	ffective legal framework for the			
		management of fisheries. The m	management of fisheries. The main UK enabling legislation is the Sea Fish (Conservation) Act 1992				
		and more recently the Scotland	Act 1998 sets out the powers de	evolved from UK Government in			
		London, to the Scottish Governm	nent in Edinburgh. The 2010 Mari	ne (Scotland) Act is an Act of the			
		Scottish Parliament which pro	vides a framework which is in	itended to balance competing			
		fishery conservation measures	within 12 pm. The main tools as	vallable to Scottish Ministers to			
		regulate fisheries in these areas	are through restrictive licensing	or other measures set out in the			
		Inshore Fishing (Scotland) Act 1	984. In addition. Scottish Ministe	ers have the power to introduce			
		Regulating Order, to manage inshore fisheries out to 6 nautical miles, under the terms of the Sea					
		Fisheries (Shellfish) Act 1967.					
		Implementation of this framew	ork is via an established hierarc	hical structure of management			
		bodies, including DG Mare, Defra (the UK Managing Authority), Marine Scotland and OSF, the Inshore Fisheries Group for the Orkney Islands. Therefore, there is an effective national legal					
		inshore Fisheries Group for the	Orkney Islands. Therefore, the	re is an effective national legal			
		management outcomes consiste	es governing cooperation with	nd SG 100 is met			
b	Resolution of	f disputes					
	Guidepost	The management system	The management system	The management system			
	Caracherr	incorporates or is subject by	incorporates or is subject by	incorporates or is subject by			
		law to a mechanism for the	law to a transparent	law to a transparent			
		resolution of legal disputes	mechanism for the	mechanism for the			
		arising within the system	resolution of legal disputes	resolution of legal disputes			
		and by writing the system.	which is considered to be	that is appropriate to the			
			effective in dealing with	context of the fishery and			
			most issues and that is	has been tested and			
			appropriate to the context	nroven to be effective			
			of the UoA	proven to be enderive.			
	Met?	Y	V V	Y			
	Justification	Given the largely inshore n	ature of the ficheries and t	he fact that it is effectively			
	Justification	managed by the IEC with the	active of the institutes, dilu t	a Scotland The management			
	managed by the IFG with the guidance and support of Marine Scotland. The managen			c sconand. The management			

8.1.1.3 Principle 3 – Effective Management – Evaluation Tables



		The management system exists within an appropriate legal and/or customary framework			
		which ensures that it:	tainability in the $IIoA(s)$, and		
PI 3	3.1.1	 Observes the legal rights c 	reated explicitly or established b	ov custom of people dependent	
		on fishing for food or liveli	hood; and	,,	
Incorporates an appropriate dispute resolution framework.					
		system incorporates or is sul	pject by law to a transparent r	nechanism for the resolution	
		of legal disputes that is appro	priate to the context of the fis	hery and has been tested and	
		proven to be effective. This is	evidenced in the planning sys	tem on the Scottish mainland	
		and in Shetland that has a proven decision-making and appeals process. The			
		management system or fishery acts proactively to avoid legal disputes or rapidly			
		implements binding judicial o	decisions arising from legal cha	llenges. Management bodies	
		do this through encouragi	ng preapplication consultation	on and providing guidance.	
		Therefore, the management	system incorporates or is sub	pject by law to a transparent	
		mechanism for the resolutio	n of legal disputes that is appr	opriate to the context of the	
		fishery and has been tested a	and proven to be effective and	SG 100 is met.	
С	Respect for r	ights			
	Guidepost	The management system	The management system	The management system	
		has a mechanism to	has a mechanism to	has a mechanism to	
		generally respect the legal	observe the legal rights	formally commit to the	
		rights created explicitly or	created explicitly or	legal rights created	
		established by custom of	established by custom of	explicitly or established by	
		people dependent on	people dependent on	custom of people	
		tishing for food or	tishing for food or	dependent on fishing for	
		livelihood in a manner	livelihood in a manner	food and livelihood in a	
		consistent with the	consistent with the	manner consistent with the	
		objectives of MISC Principles	objectives of MISC Principles	objectives of MISC Principles	
	Mata				
	lustification	f Although fiching is an impor	tant aconomic activity in the	N Orknov Islands, there are no	
	Justification	groups of people who are h	v custom dependent upon fo	od for living or livelihoods in	
		the Orkney Islands Fishers	and other related stakeholders	in the Orkney Islands have a	
		number of established rights	under both LIK and FILlaw w	with no limitations in terms of	
		resource access and there is	a comprehensive level of labo	ur and other human rights	
		The vessel licensing system	fully commits to the legal and	customary rights of existing	
		participants in the fishery. L	icensed vessels, which incorpo	orates all participants with a	
		track record of commercial p	articipation, have full access to	the fishery. Access rights are	
		preserved by transference of	entitlements within fishery se	ctors and is lost only through	
		non-use.			
		The respect of legal rights for	people depended on fishing fo	r livelihood is also highlighted	
		in Scotland's National Marin	e Plan (2014), which includes	a policy statement that the	
		"cultural and economic im	portance of fishing, in parti	cular to vulnerable coastal	
		communities" should be ta	ken into account when decid	ding on uses of the marine	
		environment and the potent	ial impact on fishing.		
		The management system the	refore has a mechanism to obs	erve the legal rights of people	
		dependent on the fishery for	or food or livelihood and SG8	0 is met. The management	
		system does not formally cor	nmit to their legal rights; henc	e SG100 is not met.	
Rofe	erences	Marine (Scotland) Act 2010 h	http://www.legislation.gov.uk/	asp/2010/5/introduction	
here		National Marine Plan (2014)			
OVE	OVERALL PERFORMANCE INDICATOR SCORE: 95				



	The management system exists within an appropriate legal and/or customary which ensures that it:	framework
DI 211	 Is capable of delivering sustainability in the UoA(s); and 	
PI 5.1.1	• Observes the legal rights created explicitly or established by custom of people dependent	
	on fishing for food or livelihood; and	
	Incorporates an appropriate dispute resolution framework.	
CONDITION NUMBER (if relevant): N/A		



		The management system has effective consultation processes that are open to interested and				
PI	3.1.2	The roles and responsibilities of organisations and individuals who are involved in the				
		management process are clear	and understood by all relevant p	arties		
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100		
а	Roles and res	ponsibilities				
	Guidepost	Organisations and individuals involved in the	Organisations and individuals involved in the	Organisations and individuals involved in the		
		heen identified Functions	management process nave	heen identified Functions		
		roles and responsibilities	roles and responsibilities	roles and responsibilities		
		are generally understood .	are explicitly defined and	are explicitly defined and		
			well understood for key	well understood for all		
			areas of responsibility and interaction.	areas of responsibility and interaction.		
	Met?	Y	Y	Υ		
Met?YYYJustificationThe roles and responsibilities for management are well understood for all to this fishery. The Scottish Government established a network of reg Fisheries Groups (IFGs) following a pilot in 2009. In 2013 Orkney Sustain was recognised as the IFG for Orkney. At <i>local level</i> , OSF therefore has a management role for waters out to 6 nm, which it implements in close cor the other three local fishers stakeholder organisations, and this is clearly Orkney Inshore Fisheries Management Plan (Jan. 2017), a document ap OSF Board (which include creel fishermen, other fishers and processors) an Marine Scotland to ensure it is coherent with the higher level objective Inshore Fisheries Strategy. Marine Scotland Compliance is also represent two full-time fisheries officers who are based in an office in Kirkwall. At a <i>national level</i> Marine Scotland is responsible for stock and mari management (mainly via MS Science in Aberdeen), MCS (via MS Compliar fisheries management and governance (via MS Planning and Policy). Mar the Scottish Government's directorate responsible for the integrated m Scotland's seas, as laid out in the Marine (Scotland) Act 2010. Scottish Na (SNH) is the Scottish public body responsible for the country's natural herit it its natural, genetic and scenic diversity, and is thus responsible for licer rated to ETP species. The statutory organisations mentioned above have clear mandates, in mo upon law, and the majority of non-statutory organizations are either resp law (e.g. the POs) or from directives from the Scottish Government, wit roles in fisheries management. Therefore, Organizations and individuals i management proces have here proces have here herefore.		lerstood for all areas relevant network of regional Inshore Orkney Sustainable Fisheries herefore has a non-statutory nts in close consultation with d this is clearly laid out in the document approved by the processors) and reviewed by level objectives in the 2015 s also represented locally by Kirkwall. tock and marine ecosystem ia MS Compliance) and wider of Policy). Marine Scotland is e integrated management of 10. Scottish Natural Heritage r's natural heritage, especially onsible for licensing activities nandates, in most case based are either resulting from EU overnment, with clear stated nd individuals involved in the oles and responsibilities are				
		explicitly defined and well ur	nderstood for all areas of respo	onsibility and interaction and		
h	Consultation					
5	Guidepost	The management system	The management system	The management system		
		includes consultation	includes consultation	includes consultation		
		processes that obtain	processes that regularly	processes that regularly		
		relevant information from	seek and accept relevant	seek and accept relevant		
		the main affected parties, including local knowledge,	information, including local knowledge. The	information, including local knowledge. The		

PI 3.1.2 – Consultation, roles and responsibilities



1		The management system has effective consultation processes that are open to interested and affected parties.			
PI	3.1.2	The roles and responsibilities of organisations and individuals who are involved in the management process are clear and understood by all relevant parties			
		to inform the management system.	management system demonstrates	managemen demonstrate	t system
		,	consideration of the	consideratio	n of the
			information obtained.	information	and explains
				how it is use	d or not used.
	Met?	Y	Y	Ν	
	Justification	Following a series of devolution steps, fisheries management, especially for a predominantly coastal (e.g. < 6 nm) fishing activity such as brown crab is now largely, but not exclusively, managed at local level. The creation of the non-statutory IFGs in particular has seen the management role passed to local bodies such as OFC who, in close consultation with Marine Scotland, have developed their own inshore fisheries management plan. This plan, and it subsequent updates (it is considered a 'living' document) is developed internally but with regular consultation with other local stakeholder groups and is externally reviewed by Marine Scotland to ensure it is compliant with the high level objectives of the 2015 Inshore Fisheries Strategy. The OSF Board – which is composed of a wide range of representatives from the catching and processing sub-sectors in the Orkney Islands, meets quarterly to discuss the progress of scientific research, the status of Orcadian fisheries and their management, and any issues arising therefrom. The three Marine Scotland operational divisions are invited, with MS Science regularly attending, with the others attending as necessary. The minutes of these meetings are recorded and available upon request. Outside of OSF, the other two bodies (the Orkney Fisheries Society and the Orkney Fishermen's Association) meet regularly, and liaise with OSF (as the IFG) regularly (SG 80 is therefore met). These mechanisms have improved engagement by the governing bodies with the industry, but the management system does not explicitly demonstrate consideration of			
с	Participation				
	Guidepost		The consultation process	The consult	ation process
			provides opportunity for all	provides op	portunity and
			interested and affected	encouragem	ent for all
			parties to be involved.	interested	and affected
				parties to be	e involved, and
				facilitates t	heir effective
	Met?		V	v	•
	Justification	The consultation process incl	udes public consultation on ke	v developmen	t decisions and
		on policy development by	the Scottish Government. Th	is provides or	oportunity and
		encouragement for all interes	sted and affected parties to be	involved, and	facilitates their
		effective engagement (SG 10	0 is met).		
Ref	erences	Marine Scotland (2015). Sco	ttish Inshore Fisheries Strategy	2015. 4 pp.	
	OSF (2017). Orkney Inshore Fisheries Management Plan'. 14 pp.				
		ER (if relevant):			95 N/A
CON		EK (IT relevant):			IN/A



PI 3.1.3 – Long term objectives

PI	PI 3.1.3 The management policy has clear long-term objectives to guide decision-making that are consistent with MSC fisheries standard, and incorporates the precautionary approach.			uide decision-making that are ecautionary approach.
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100
а	Objectives			
	Guidepost	Long-term objectives to guide decision-making, consistent with the MSC fisheries standard and the precautionary approach, are implicit within management policy.	Clear long-term objectives that guide decision-making, consistent with MSC fisheries standard and the precautionary approach are explicit within management policy.	Clear long-term objectives that guide decision-making, consistent with MSC fisheries standard and the precautionary approach, are explicit within and required by management policy.
	Met?	Y	Y	Y
Refe	Met? Y Y Y Justification Clear long-term objectives that guide decision-making, consistent with MSC fisherie standard and the precautionary approach, are explicit within and required by management policy. The EU is legally obliged to maintain or restore fish stocks at sustainable levels (Maximur Sustainable Yield; MSY), and adhere to good environmental management practices that follow the precautionary principle as enshrined in Union law. The precautionary principle is a binding principle of European Union law and must be applied to EU policies durin their formulation and when they are implemented. The precautionary principle was als included in the establishment of the CFP and the Council's General Approach for the CF reform. At Scottish policy level, long-term objectives are embedded into the Scottish Inshor Fisheries Strategy, 2015, in particular Outcome 4. This covers both stocks (P1), habitaf and ETP species (P2). It should be noted that the ICES MSY framework – where ICE advises on the preferred level of fishing mortality – incorporate the precautionar approach (ICES adopted the Precautionary Approach in 1998). The Scottish Marine Plan (2015) explicitly states that "Where evidence is inconclusiv and impacts of development or use on marine resources are uncertain, reasonable efforts should be made to fill evidence gaps and decision makers should apply precaution within an overall risk-based approach" and High Level Marine Objective 21 is that "Th precautionary principle is applied consistently in accordance with the UK Governmer and Devolved Administrations" sustainable development policy". Marine Scotland (2015). Scottland's National Marine Plan - A Single Framework for Managing Our Seas. 144 pp Marine Scotland (2015). Scottland's National Marine Plan - A Single Framework for Managing Our Seas. 144 pp			
OVE	RALL PERFORM	ANCE INDICATOR SCORE:		100
CON	NDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):		N/A



PI 3.2.1 Fishery-specific objectives

PI 3.2.1		The fishery-specific management system has clear, specific objectives designed to achieve the outcomes expressed by MSC's Principles 1 and 2.		
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100
а	Objectives			
	Guidepost	Objectives, which are	Short and long-term	Well defined and
		broadly consistent with	objectives, which are	measurable short and long-
		achieving the outcomes	consistent with achieving	term objectives, which are
		expressed by MSC's	the outcomes expressed by	demonstrably consistent
		Principles 1 and 2, are	MSC's Principles 1 and 2,	with achieving the
		specific management	fishery-specific	MSC's Principles 1 and 2
		system.	management system.	are explicit within the
		- ,		fishery-specific
				management system.
	Y	Y	Р	Ν
	Justification	The Orkney Inshore Fisherie	s Management Plan is the de	facto fisheries management
		plan for brown crab. Whilst it is not limited only for brown crab, it recognises that brown		
		crab represents 74% by volu	me and 51% by value of Orkne	y's inshore fisheries landings
		and this fishery is the main f	ocus of the plan. A specific se	ection of the FMP devoted to
		the creel fishery is being deve	eloped, but is still in draft.	
		The management plan has a r	number of specific objectives for	or inshore fisheries in Orkney
		but these are not disaggre	egated into short and long-t	erm timelines, but can be
		considered as long-term in r	nature, thus partially meeting	SG 80. There are short-term
		objectives as part of OSF's Research Objectives / Strategy 2017 – 2020, focusing mainly		
		on P2 issues, but these are not explicit within the fisheries management plan. Therefore		
	SG 80 is not fully met.			
Refe	erences	Marine Scotland (2015). Sco	ttish Inshore Fisheries Strategy	2015. 4 pp.
0.7		OSF (2017). Research Objecti	ves / Strategy 2017 – 2020.	70
		EP (if relevant):		70
	LONDITION NOIVIDER (IT relevant): 4			



PI 3.2.2 – Decision-making processes

PI 3.2.2		The fishery-specific management system includes effective decision-making processes that result in measures and strategies to achieve the objectives, and has an appropriate approach to				
		actual disputes in the fishery.				
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100		
а	Decision-mal	Decision-making processes				
	Guidepost	There are some decision-	There are established			
		making processes in place	decision-making processes			
		that result in measures and	that result in measures and			
		strategies to achieve the	strategies to achieve the			
		fishery-specific objectives.	fishery-specific objectives.			
	Met?	Y	Y			
	Justification	There are established decision	on-making processes that resu	It in measures and strategies		
		to achieve the fishery-specific objectives.				
		The 'Orkney Inshore Fisheries Management Plan' is a live document, which is periodically				
		updated to reflect new resea	rch information and resultant	management decisions. Last		
		revised in January 2017, its	next revision is likely to be	when the proposed Inshore		
		Fisheries Bill is passed, probably sometime in 2018. According to the 2017 version of the				
		plan, it is the intention to internally review the plan on an annual basis, with any changes				
		requiring approval by the OS	- Board.			
		Desision making in this fisher, is mainly based around the suprised of the set				
		Decision-making in this fishery is mainly based around the quarterly IFG board meetings,				
		which are usually lifted to internal meetings and reedback from research (i.e. from ICII),				
		as well as other meetings with the Orkney Fisheries Association and the Orkney Eishermen's Society. These meetings allow issues to be raised and decisions made on				
		all aspects of the fishery relevant to the local level. Marine Scotland is invited to				
		participate at these guarterly IFG meetings and one or more of it three operational				
		divisions usually participate. All IFG meetings are minuted and are available on their				
		website.				
		The consistent quarterly board meetings at the IFG (OSF), as well as the regularly				
		reviewed Orkney Inshore Fish	neries Management Plan are ev	vidence that decision-making		
		takes place on a regular ba	takes place on a regular basis and that the results are embedded into the fisheries			
		planning process and therefore meets SG 80.				
b	Responsivent	ess of decision-making process	es			
	Guidepost	Decision-making processes	Decision-making processes	Decision-making processes		
		identified in relevant	other important issues	identified in relevant		
		research monitoring	identified in relevant	research monitoring		
		evaluation and	research monitoring	evaluation and		
		consultation in a	evaluation and	consultation in a		
		transparent, timely and	consultation. in a	transparent, timely and		
		adaptive manner and take	transparent, timely and	adaptive manner and take		
		some account of the wider	adaptive manner and take	account of the wider		
		implications of decisions.	account of the wider	implications of decisions.		
			implications of decisions.			
	Met?	Υ	Υ	Ν		
	Justification	Decision-making processes re	espond to serious and other i	mportant issues identified in		
		relevant research, monitoring, evaluation and consultation, in a transparent, timely and				
		adaptive manner and take account of the wider implications of decisions.				



PI 3.2.2		The fishery-specific management system includes effective decision-making processes that result in measures and strategies to achieve the objectives, and has an appropriate approach to actual disputes in the fishery.				
		actual disputes in the fishery.Local decision-making is largely based around the quarterly meetings of the IFG (OSF).At these meetings issues associated with the fishery are raised, including Orkney-basedresearch (mainly via the ICIT), as well as any wider national research relevant to thefishery produced by Marine Science (who are invitees to these meetings). In additionthere are regular meetings with Marine Scotland in Edinburgh and Aberdeen to discusspolicy / management / control and science issues respectively. The industry is alsostrongly linked to the management system, both through the IFG as well as otherrepresentative mechanisms such as the Scottish Fishermen's Federation (of which theOrkney Fisheries Association is a member). Marine Scotland Compliance is alsorepresented locally and engage with this fisheries management, albeit mainly viaEdinburgh.The results of this decision-making is adopted in various ways, including via (i) OSF BoardMeeting minutes (which are published on the OSF website ¹²), (ii) updates to the OrkneyInshore Fisheries Management Plan (usually done annually or after any significant changein management approach is agreed) which is published online and (iii) via MarineScotland in terms of changes to legislation and the wider fisheries management regime.Between them they cover all serious and other important issues, resulting in a largelyinclusive and transparent approach.				
		addresses all issues so fails to	reach the SG 100.			
с	Use of preca	utionary approach				
	Guidepost		Decision-making processes			
			approach and are based on			
			best available information.			
	Met?		Y			
	Justification	ustification Decision-making processes use the precautionary approach and are based on b				
		As a Scottish fishery, its management must meet High Level Marine Objective 21 is that				
		"The precautionary principle is applied consistently in accordance with the UK				
		Government and Devolved A	dministrations' sustainable de	velopment policy" .		
		Que the last four users due to QCE/s antropping with the ist Wett the inspit /s				
		International Centre for Island Technology (ICIT) campus in Strompess decision-making				
		is strongly science-driven. As with all Scottish fish stocks, there is an annual stock				
		assessment updated by Marine Scotland Science, which utilises ICIT / OSF inputs for this				
		fishery. In general, these decisions are precautionary in nature. Examples include the				
		use of 0.25 for natural mortality (M) (most crustacean assessments use 0.1), and the key				
		management tool, minimum landing-size, is 140 mm (is well below size age maturity				
d	Accountabilit	ty and transparency of management system and decision-making process				
	Guidepost	Some information on the	Information on the	Formal reporting to all		
		fishery's performance and	fishery's performance and	interested stakeholders		
		management action is	management action is	provides comprehensive		
			available on request, and	information on the		

¹² <u>http://www.orkneysustainablefisheries.co.uk/?page_id=752</u>



PI 3.2.2		The fishery-specific management system includes effective decision-making processes that result in measures and strategies to achieve the objectives, and has an appropriate approach to actual disputes in the fishery.				
		generally available on request to stakeholders.	explanations are provided for any actions or lack of action associated with findings and relevant recommendations emerging from research, monitoring, evaluation and review activity.	fishery's performance and management actions and describes how the management system responded to findings and relevant recommendations emerging from research, monitoring, evaluation and review activity.		
	Met?	γ	Y	N		
	Justification Information on the fishery's performance and management action i request, and explanations are provided for any actions or lack of action a					
		request, and explanations are provided for any actions or lack of action associated with findings and relevant recommendations emerging from research, monitoring, evaluation and review activity. OSF has a public website that includes a research section that provides the status of various species-specific research projects, including brown crab. As OFC have an open information policy, any research report or other IFG outputs would be made available on request, if not already on the website. OFC does not make its financial records available, although the annual accounts would be freely available through Companies House. Whilst there is no formalised set of explanations on decision-making, OFC Board Meeting Minutes, which are published online, will usually provide some degree of transparency on how decisions are arrived at. If not, further explanation can be requested from OSF and any non-confidential information willingly provided. Marine Scotland also has a number of online resources and publications relevant to this fishery, including stock , catch and other information. The brown crab fishery in general, including the Orkney creel fishery assessment area, undergoes an annual stock assessment, which is published online and includes a description of the assessment, the current state of the stocks and a brief summary of the current management advice. This advice is developed by MS Science and the results sent to MS Planning and Policy, with a high level summary provided to the IFGs, who are able to comment if necessary. In addition, the fishery participates in the ICES 'Working Group on the Biology and Life History of Crabs' (WG Crab), thus providing a scientific linkage (inc. stock models and management refence points) with wider European brown crab stock management. In summary, considerable amount of information is published on the internet (e.g. the annual stock assessment and OSF's board decisions) or available upon request, and thus				
		meets SG 80. However, th management decision-makin	meets SG 80. However, there is no formal, regular and comprehensive review of management decision-making in this fishery, so fails to meet SG 100.			
е	Approach to	disputes				
	Guidepost	Although the management authority or fishery may be subject to continuing court challenges, it is not indicating a disrespect or defiance of the law by	The management system or fishery is attempting to comply in a timely fashion with judicial decisions arising from any legal challenges.	The management system or fishery acts proactively to avoid legal disputes or rapidly implements judicial decisions arising from legal challenges.		
		repeatedly violating the	-	-		


PI 3.2.2		The fishery-specific manageme result in measures and strategic actual disputes in the fishery.	ent system includes effective d es to achieve the objectives, and	lecision-making processes that has an appropriate approach to						
		same law or regulation necessary for the								
		sustainability for the								
		fishery.								
	Met?	Ŷ	Y	Y						
	Justification	YYYationThe management system or fishery acts proactively to avoid legal disputes or rapidly implements judicial decisions arising from legal challenges. The UK Government's Sea Fish (Conservation) Act 1992 forms the basis for the implementation of the EU Common Fisheries Policy (2371/2002). The act establishes licensing, MCS and penalty procedures and also includes appeal procedures. The legal framework is clear and unambiguous. Outside of the main fisheries legislation, there is full and transparent right of appeal via the normal national judicial route, and even EU law. Although in the context of the Orkney fishery this has rarely been tested (simply because there has been no requirement, see next), the legal apparatus has been tested and proven effective in many other fisheries (including non-shellfish fisheries in other parts of Scotland).According to Marine Scotland Planning and Policy, no legal challenges have been made against this fishery's management system to date. This is likely due to the simple scale of the fishery (e.g. a single static gear type operating only from Orkney and relatively small in scale), but is also likely to be a consequence of a local management system that, in coordination with the authorities at national level, is generally proactive in ensuring stakeholder participation and agreement in developing harvest control rules and 								
References		Marine Scotland (2015). Sc Managing Our Seas. 144 pp. OSF website (<u>http://www.orl</u> Jim Watson, Marine Scotland	otland's National Marine Plar See <u>http://www.gov.scot/Res</u> <u>kneysustainablefisheries.co.uk</u> J. pers. comm., 5 September 20	n - A Single Framework for source/0047/00475466.pdf :/?page_id=752) 017						
OV	RALL PERFORM	ANCE INDICATOR SCORE:	· · ·	85						
CON	NDITION NUMB	ER (if relevant):		N/A						



Ы	3.2.3	Monitoring, control and surveillance mechanisms ensure the management measures i									
		fishery are enforced and compl	SG 100								
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100							
а	MCS impleme	entation		1							
	Guidepost	Monitoring, control and surveillance mechanisms exist, and are implemented in the fishery and there is a reasonable expectation that they are effective.	A monitoring, control and surveillance system has been implemented in the fishery and has demonstrated an ability to enforce relevant management measures, strategies and/or rules.	A comprehensive monitoring, control and surveillance system has been implemented in the fishery and has demonstrated a consistent ability to enforce relevant management measures, strategies and/or rules.							
	Met?	Y	Y	Ν							
b	Justification	A monitoring, control and su has demonstrated an ability and/or rules. Marine Scotland Compliance Based in Edinburgh, the Fishe assets at its disposal national There are two fisheries office in Kirkwall. Given the insho based, involving inspections of elsewhere on the Orkney is inflatable boat (RIB) patrols f year in Orcadian waters. database, providing location detected, then the details an other evidence retained. There is no annual plan for M is used. The Orkney fisheries assessment to the regional conference call every Friday to The high levels of compliance no cases have been brought has demonstrated an ability and/or rules and thus achiev and nature of the fishery, du certainty that this is a compre-	rveillance system has been im y to enforce relevant manage is responsible for enforcing fisher eries Monitoring Centre has a ly. ers based full-time in the Orkn re, static nature of this fisher of landings as they come ashor lands. If required, MS Com for specific operations, which All inspections are recorded and results of inspections. re recorded, with location, sa CS in Orkney, but instead a two officers assess local compliance office in Ullapool, and then to discuss emerging risks, and (from the 1,259 sea and land if and only one formal warning) y to enforce relevant manage ed SG 80. Although the system is to the limited sea time avai ehensive system, and therefor	plemented in the fishery and gement measures, strategies heries within fisheries waters. number of sea, air and other ey Islands, with a main office y, most enforcement is land- re on the pier in Stromness or pliance can contract in rigid are carried out about twice a on the MS Compliance MCS If potential infractions are mples and photographic and o week rolling risk assessment ce risks, and forward their risk onto Edinburgh. There is a responses, if any. inspections over 2013 – 2016, suggest that the MCS system gement measures, strategies em is appropriate for the size ilable, it cannot be said with re it fails to achieve SG 100.							
5	Sanctions										
	Guidepost	Sanctions to deal with non- compliance exist and there is some evidence that they are applied.	Sanctions to deal with non- compliance exist, are consistently applied and thought to provide effective deterrence.	compliance exist, are consistently applied and demonstrably provide effective deterrence.							
	Met?	Y	Y	Υ							
	Justification	Sanctions to deal with non-co provide effective deterrence.	ompliance exist, are consisten	tly applied and demonstrably							

PI 3.2.3 – Compliance and enforcement



PI	3.2.3	Monitoring, control and surveillance mechanisms ensure the management measures in the fishery are enforced and complied with.												
		Sanctions exist for non-compliance e.g. non- or mis-reporting, or landing under-size catch, but to date have never had to be imposed in this fishery. This, coupled with the high level of inspections, demonstrates that the sanctions are effective. This also supports the premise that this is a highly compliant fishery. This therefore reaches SG 100.												
С	Compliance													
	Guidepost	Fishers are generally thought to comply with the management system for the fishery under assessment, including, when required, providing information of importance to the effective management of the fishery.	Some evidence exists to demonstrate fishers comply with the management system under assessment, including, when required, providing information of importance to the effective management of the fishery.	There is a high degree of confidence that fishers comply with the management system under assessment, including, providing information of importance to the effective management of the fishery.										
	Met?	Y	Y	Y										
	Justification	There is a high degree of cor under assessment, including management of the fishery. The regulations for this fish carapace width of ≥140 mm buyers). There is no statutory by universal custom returned are no regulations on creel enhanced by the use of 60 m Compliance with technical me issued by MS Compliance for There is a high degree of con ICIT) and the local MS Com landings and visit the main of for the effective managemen compliance detected, this su	nfidence that fishers comply w g, providing information of i ery are fairly simple and are (although locally 150 mm is p y restriction on landing of berri I to the sea (and excluded in a mesh size, but escapement of mm mesh on the creels and e easures is considered to be very landing under-size crabs over operation between the indust pliance officers. The latter two crab processing factories, prov- nt of the fishery. Combined w ggests that SG 100 is achieved.	vith the management system mortance to the effective based around the minimum ractised and enforced by the ed female crabs, but they are local Code of Practice). There of undersized brown crabs is escape gaps are widely used. y high, with only 19 advisories the past four years. ry, local researchers (e.g. via wo groups regularly observe viding important information ith the very low level of non-										
d	Systematic n	on-compliance												
	Guidepost		There is no evidence of systematic non- compliance.											
	Met?	Y												
	Justification	YThere is no evidence of systematic non-compliance.Compliance levels in this fishery are considered by MS Compliance to be very high. Fromthe 1,259 sea and land inspections over 2013 – 2016, no cases have been brought andonly one formal warning (for a buyer who did not wish to report his purchases). Mostadvisories (19 over the last 4 years) have been for small quantities being recorded aslanded under-size. This suggests that levels of non-compliance (e.g. for the MLS rule) arelow, and a combination of regular landings inspections, strict rules for not buying under-size crab and a voluntary MLS of 10 mm above the legal minimum suggests there is no												
Ref	erences	Data and information provided by Marine Scotland Compliance												



PI 3.2.3 Monitoring, control and surveillance mechanisms ensure the management me fishery are enforced and complied with.							
OVERALL PERFORMANCE INDICATOR SCORE: 95							
CONDITION NUMBER (if relevant):							



PI	3.2.4	There is a system of monitoring and evaluating the performance of the fishery-specific management system against its objectives. There is effective and timely review of the fishery-specific management system.											
Sco	ring Issue	SG 60	SG 80	SG 100									
а	Evaluation co	overage											
	Guidepost	There are mechanisms in place to evaluate some parts of the fishery-specific management system.	There are mechanisms in place to evaluate key parts of the fishery-specific management system	There are mechanisms in place to evaluate all parts of the fishery-specific management system.									
	Met?	Y	Y	N									
	Justification	YNThere are mechanisms in place to evaluate key parts of the fishery-specific management system.The stock status and management regime is formally reviewed annually by MS Science and their results published by Marine Scotland. Whilst this is not a detailed audit it is sufficient to assess whether the management regime is broadly fit for purpose. In addition, the IFG's Orkney Inshore Fisheries Management Plan is a live document, which is periodically updated to reflect new research information and resultant management decisions. Whilst not specific to this fishery, it is wide-ranging coving the key fisheries of Orkney (including the brown crab fishery), environmental issues and in line with its marine spatial planning remit, with other maritime activities relent to these waters. It also covered local shellfish research, as well as management measures, and thus is reasonably comprehensive. Last revised in January 2017, its next revision is likely to be when the proposed Inshore Fisheries Bill is passed, probably sometime in 2018.Acombination of the quarterly OSF board meetings (which includes invited representatives from local scientific research and Marine Scotland), feedback from these meetings into updating the Inshore FMP, higher level stock assessment and performance evaluation on behalf of Marine Scotland, suggests that the key parts of the fishery- specific management system are being met and thus this meets SG 80. However there is no comprehensive regular review of all parts of the fishery-specific management system and thus this fails to meet SG 100.											
b	Internal and/	or external review											
	Guidepost	The fishery-specific management system is subject to occasional internal review.	The fishery-specific management system is subject to regular internal and occasional external review.	The fishery-specific management system is subject to regular internal and external review.									
	Iviet?	Ŷ	Y	N									
	Justification	Ine fishery-specific management system is subject to regular internal and occasional external review. According to the January 2017 version of the Orkney Inshore Fisheries Management Plan (FMP), it is the intention to internally review the plan on an annual basis, with any changes requiring approval by the OSF Board. In addition, elements of the FMP may be reviewed at the quarterly board meetings. These periodic changes to the FMP are also scrutinised by Marine Scotland and other statutory bodies, thus constituting an occasional external review. This therefore meets SG 80. According to the same FMP, an external audit is also required, and it is envisaged that a											

PI 3.2.4 – Monitoring and management performance evaluation



PI	374	There is a system of monitoring and evaluating the performance of the management system against its objectives	fishery-specific						
There is effective and timely review of the fishery-specific management system.									
	from the Orkney Islands Council would meet annually to review and evaluate the work								
		of OSF for the previous 12 months and endorse plans for the year an	ead. An annual						
		report from such a review process will be a public document and availa	ble on the OSF						
		website. As it is less than 12 months since this intention was declared,	no such review						
		has been undertaken to date. This therefore does not meet SG 100.							
Ref	erences	OSF (2017). Orkney Inshore Fisheries Management Plan'. 14 pp							
OV	OVERALL PERFORMANCE INDICATOR SCORE: 80								
COI	CONDITION NUMBER (if relevant): N/A								



8.1.2. Appendix 1.2 Risk Based Framework (RBF) Outputs

RBF was used to score PI 1.1.1 Brown crab stock status and P1 2.2.1 Secondary Species Outcome. The justification of the use of the RBF is available on the MSC website: <u>https://fisheries.msc.org/en/fisheries/osf-orkney-brown-crab-creel-fishery/@@assessments</u>

Initially, for secondary species, the use of the RBF was announced for velvet crab, European lobster and green crab. However, European lobster and green crab have been determined to be minor species. Other minor species were identified during the site visit for which RBF has not been used. Therefore, the assessment team opted to consider only velvet crab, the main secondary species, in the PSA analysis and the RBF outputs for lobster is not presented.

When scoring PI 1.1.1, both the Consequence Analysis (CA) and Productivity and Susceptibility Analysis (PSA) are used.

When scoring PI 2.2.1, the PSA alone is used.

8.1.2.1 Appendix 1.2.1 Consequence Analysis CA for Principle 1

Table 16. Principle 1 CA Scoring Template - Target Species

PRINCIPLE ONE:	Scoring element	Consequence subcomponents	Consequence Score
Stock status outcome	Brown crab, Cancer pagurus	Population size	
		Reproductive capacity	
		Age/size/sex structure	80
		Geographic range	
Rationale for most vulnerable subcomponent	The workshop agreed that most of the pop least vulnerable sub-component, because Reproductive capacity was also considered both Orkney, and more widely across othe was 97mm carapace width (CW) (Haig <i>et</i> and operational (153mm CW) minimum I impacting reproductive capacity. The v considered as the most vulnerable sub-co most vulnerable sub-component and may the short time series of LPUE data sugge pattern in the brown crab fishery in which the areas and is size selective, meant that the to exploitation.	bulation sub-components are closely related. The Orkney stock comprises part of an ex- d to be a low vulnerability sub-component b- er sites in the UK, showed that the size at 509 al., 2016) which is significantly lower than the anding size (MLS) in Orkney. It was conclue vorkshop considered that either the popul- omponent. Whilst some attendees considered be impacted by the fishery, there was no low sted no recent changes in abundance, and the fishery takes disproportionate numbers of age/size/sex structure was the sub-component	Geographic range was considered to be the ktensive brown crab stock around the UK. ecause recent studies on size of maturity in % maturity in female brown crabs in Orkney he current (140mm CW), new (150mm CW) ded that the fishery is highly unlikely to be lation size or age/size/structure could be ed that population size was likely to be the ng time series of stock abundance data, but that the specific nature of the exploitation f different sexes from different geographical hent which was most likely to be vulnerable
Rationale for consequence score	There may be some detectable changes in and females indicated only minor detect population dynamics and the fishery do differential in average size average betwee of overall removal of males to females is a have been detected, they are of such a consequence score of 80 is appropriate. Si and whilst there has been no obvious trend of fishing mortality from the most recent a previous assessments (Mesquita <i>et al.</i> , 202 / sex structure, so a consequence score of	In size structure due to exploitation, but the or table changes in size structure and hence it es not have an adverse effect on long-term en males and females. Although the sex dist around 1:1 and has changed little over the part of low magnitude that there is overall minimize structure is used as a key determinant of en- d in mean size of both males and females in re- assessment by Marine Scotland Science is slig 17). It cannot be concluded therefore that the 100 is not met.	observed stability of average sizes of males t is likely that there is minimal impact on m recruitment dynamics. There is a small ribution of catches varies by area, the ratio ast 20 years. Whilst some possible changes mal impact on population dynamics, so a exploitation rate by Marine Scotland Science ecent years (see figures below), the estimate the thy higher than that estimated in the three here are "insignificant" changes in age / size





8.1.2.2 Appendix 1.2.2 Productivity-Susceptibility Analysis PSA

8.1.2.2.1. Principle 1 – Brown crab

PI number	1.1.1									
A. Productivity										
Scoring element (species)	Brown crab, Cancer pagurus									
Attribute	ationale									
Average age at maturity.	Growth rate varies between areas, and animals will typically reach 140 mm carapace width (CW) at four to six years old. The size at first maturity for female brown crabs in Orkney was estimated at 97 mm CW (Haig <i>et al.</i> , 2016). However ageing using neurolipofuscin-based techniques (Sheehy and Prior, 2008) suggest that there is substantial variation of size at age, and so from a precautionary view, this attribute is scored as medium risk, (5-15 years).	2								
Average maximum age	The brown crab is a long-lived large decapod crustacean. Crabs are thought to live for at least 15 years in Orkney, although in the western English Channel, Sheehy and Prior (2008) estimated a maximum age of around 9 years. A score of medium risk (10-25 years) is appropriate.	2								
Fecundity	Females produce up to three million eggs (Bennett, 1995; Tallack, 2007).									
Average maximum size	Not applicable	n/a								
Average size at maturity	Not applicable	n/a								
Reproductive strategy	Broadcast spawner. Fertilised eggs are carried for up to nine months over the winter, until they hatch (Thompson <i>et al.</i> , 1995; Tallack, 2007).									
Trophic level	Brown crabs mainly eat benthic invertebrates, particularly bivalves, small decapods and barnacles. Trophic level is approximately 3.									
Density dependence	It is possible, but unlikely, that depensatory dynamics at low population sizes (Allee effects) will be observed. Medium risk.									
B. Susceptibility										
Fishery only where the scoring element is scored cumulatively	Susceptibility scores are given for three different gear types in which <i>Cancer pagurus</i> may be caught: Creel fishery (target) Hobby fishing (target) Scallop dredger (bycatch)									
Attribute	Rationale	Score								



	Creel fishery: most fishing for brown crabs takes place within 6 nm of the coast (Figure 7; Coleman and Rodrigues, 2017b). Although Figure 7 suggests that the fishery overlaps with only a small proportion of the crab stock, this is based upon data from vessels fitted with the Succourfish position-recording device, and RBF workshop attendees considered that these data may under-represent the distribution of fishing effort. The assessment team concluded that a precautionary medium risk score (10-30% overlap) was appropriate.	2
Areal Overlap		1
	Hobby fishing: <10% overlap, as there is minimal effort in the crab fishery through hobby fishers.	
	Scallop dredger: <10% overlap, as there are only 2 active boats fishing part of the year in Orkney and	1
	therefore minimal overlap with the crab stock.	o 1. 1. a
	Creel fishery: this is a pot fishery using attractive bait, so the chance to encounter the brownt crab is high.	Combined: 2
Encounterability	Hobby fishing : High risk	3
Selectivity of gear type	Creel fishery: a) The size at 50% maturity for brown crabs in Orkney was estimated at 92 mm CW for males and 97 mm CW for females (Haig <i>et al.</i> , 2016). The offshore component of the fishery has a low catch of juvenile crabs (<5%), but in the inshore component of the fishery there is a much higher likelihood of catching juvenile crabs. It was noted that with a creel full of mature crabs, juvenile animals are unlikely to enter. Evidence from the observer programme suggests that no more than 50% of creels will catch brown crabs of 90mm CW or below. Therefore individuals less than the size at maturity are <u>regularly</u> caught, and a medium risk score of 2 is allocated to this attribute. b) Brown crabs at half the size at maturity will be approximately 45-50mm CW. The mesh size of the creels allows brown crabs of around 70 mm CW to escape, so crabs at less than half the size at maturity can escape or avoid the gear and a medium risk score of 2 is allocated to this attribute.	a) 2 b) 2
	Hobby fishing: Same as commercial creel fishery.	a) 2 b) 2



	Scallop dredger: Brown crabs can escape scallop dredges as tow speeds are around 2.5 knots. Brown crabs are only rarely caught therefore in scallop dredges, and most captures are of larger crabs over 130mm CW. Individuals less than the size at maturity (92mm males; 97mm females) are rarely caught and can escape or	a) 1 b) 1
	avoid gear.	Combined: 2
	Creel fishery: As <i>Cancer pagurus</i> is the target species, a default score of 3 is given. Evidence provided at the site visit demonstrated that supplied of discarded undersized brown scale is yony high but all commercial	3
	sized brown crabs are removed from the stock and therefore post capture mortality is high.	
Post capture mortality	Hobby fishing: As above.	3
	Scallop dredger: <i>Cancer pagurus</i> is not the target species in the scallop dredge fishery, but any bycatch is likely to be dead.	3
Catch (weight) only where the scoring element is scored cumulatively	MSC Certification Requirements v2.0 PF4.4.4 states that when there are fisheries other than the UoA which may impact on the stock (in this case a hobby fishery and bycatch in the scallop dredge fishery), then the fisheries should be weighted as described in Table PF6. Using Table PF6, the weighted values would be as follows: Creel fishery (70 – 100% of entire removals), so weighted 4. Hobby fishing (unknown catches, but likely to be minimal (<25%) and actually probably <0.1% of total). Weighted 1 Scallop dredger (unknown, but only 2 active vessels, part year, and low catchability and so catches are likely to be minimal (<25%) and actually probably <0.1%). Weighted 1 However, there is no quantitative information on the catches by hobby fishermen or as bycatch in the scallop fishery, and the catch by commercial creel fishermen is expected to be more than 99% of the total catch. In line with PF4.4.5.1, the assessment team took a precautionary approach and concluded that "the susceptibility score for the overall PSA should be based on the attributes of the gear with the highest susceptibility score". The overall susceptibility score is therefore based on the score for the commercial creel fishery.	



V

8.1.2.2.2 Principle 2 - Secondary species

Velvet crab PSA Rational Table

PI number	2.2.1							
A. Productivity								
Scoring element (species) Velvet crab, Necora puber								
Attribute	Rationale	Score						
Average age at maturity. 50% maturity for females of 43.8 mm CW, 50% maturity for male of 52.8 mm CW: approximately 1.5-2 ye old Lee J. T., R. A. Coleman, M. B. Jones, 2006. Tallack, S. M. L. 2002. Tallack S. M.L., 2007.								
Average maximum age	Natural mortality-derived estimates suggests longevity <10 years (Shetland data suggests that 95% of the population would live to 5.2 years). This is echoed by the general life history of this species.	1						
Fecundity	Studies carried out in Orkney and Shetland estimated fecundity at between 5,000 and 278,000 eggs per female. Majority of mature females have >20,000 eggs. Hearn A. R. 2004. Lee J. T., R. A. Coleman, M. B. Jones, 2006. Tallack S. M.L., 2007.	1						
Average maximum size	Not applicable	n/a						
Average size at maturity	Not applicable	n/a						
Reproductive strategy	Egg bearing females	1						
Trophic level	Velvet crabs feed on both animal and algal material, with brown algae being the dominant item found in gut content analysis.	2						
Density dependence	It is possible, but unlikely, that depensatory dynamics at low population sizes (Allee effects) will be observed. Medium risk.	2						
A. Susceptibility								
Fishery only where the scoring element is scored cumulatively	Brown crab creel fishery, there are no other MSC fisheries certified impacting velvet crab stock.							
Attribute	Rationale	Score						
Areal Overlap	Most fishing for brown crabs takes place within 6 nm of the coast. Figures below suggests that the fishery overlaps with only a small proportion of the velvet crab stock, and RBF workshop attendees considered that velvet crab are targeted differently, on different habitat and using different baits. This suggested an areal	2						

















8.1.2.3 RBF Outcomes

8.1.2.3.1 Principle 1 – Broww crab

The CA score is 80 and the PSA score is 84. Table PF7 shows the rules for use of CA and PSA scores to determine the final overall score of PI 1.1.1. When CA score is 80 and PSA score is \geq 80, the overall score awarded shall be at the midway point between CA and PSA, which is **82**.

									F	roducti	ivity Sco	ores [1-	3]			Su	sceptil	bility Sc	ores [1	-3]			Cumula	tive onl	у				
Scoring	First of each scoring	Family	Scientific name	Common name	Species	Fishery	Average age at maturity	Average max age	⁻ ecundity	Average max size	Average size at Maturity	Reproductive strategy	rophic level	Density Dependance	Fotal Productivity (average)	Availability	Encounter ability	Selectivity	Post-capture mortality	Fotal (multiplicative)	oSA Score	Catch (tons)	Veighting	Weighted Total	Veighted PSA Score	MSC PSA-derived score	Regory Name	ASC scoring guidepost	Consequence Score (CA) Final MSC score (per scoring element)
1	First	Cangridae	Cancer pagurus	Brow n crab	Invertebrate	Creel	2	2	1	72	2	1	2	2	1.67	2	3	2	3	1.88	2.51	-	-	0.00	-	84	Low	≥80	80 82

8.1.2.3.2 Principle 2 – Secondary species

Velvet crab

The productivity and susceptibility attribute scores are 1.33 and 1.43, respectively. The PSA score is 1.95 which corresponds to a MSC PSA-derived score of 96.

	Scoring	First of each scoring element	Species Grouping only ID 'At Risk' species by selecting associated species group	Species Grouping only Number of species in species group w hich this species represents (N/2)	Family name	Scientific name	Common name	Species type	Fishery descriptor	Average age at maturity	Average max age	Fecundity	Average max size	Average size at Maturity	Reproductive strategy	Trophic level	Density Dependance	<mark>s(average)</mark> Availability	Encounterability	Selectivity	Post-capture mortality	Total (multiplicative)	PSA Score	Catch (tons)	Weighting	Weighted Total	Weighted PSA Score	MSC PSA-derived score	Risk Category Name	MSC scoring guidepost
1				1				Incidence			1	-	11			2	2 11				5									



8.1.3. Appendix 1.3 Conditions

As per 7.21.2, where the CAB makes a decision not to award certification and fail the fishery, the report:

- 7.21.2.1 Shall not specify any mandatory conditions or defined actions that would need to be undertaken before the fishery could be reconsidered for certification in the future;
- 7.21.2.2 Shall outline draft and non-binding conditions for any PIs that score more than 60 and less than 80;
- Shall clearly specify that the conditions outlined are non-binding and serve to provide and indication of the actions that may be required should the fishery should have been certified.

Table 17 presents the non-binding and non-mandatory conditions for PIs with score more than 60 and less than 80 and drafted by the assessment team to provide an indication of the actions that the fishery may implement to address the issues identified.

Performance Indicator	 PI 1.2.1 Harvest Strategy – There is a robust and precautionary harvest strategy in place. 80a - the harvest strategy is responsive to the state of the stock and the elements of the harvest strategy work together towards achieving stock management objectives reflected in PI 1.1.1 SG80. 80f – There is a regular review of the potential effectiveness and practicality of alternative measures to minimise UoA-related mortality of unwanted catch of the target stock and they are implemented as appropriate.
Score	65
Rationale	80a A harvest strategy which includes a restrictive entry licensing scheme and a minimum landing size is expected to achieve the stock management objectives of maintaining catch rates of brown crabs at or above current levels and therefore SG60 is met. Whilst MSS undertake regular assessments of the status of the brown crab stock in Orkney, there is no formal annual process by which the stock assessments are translated into management advice to Marine Scotland in Edinburgh and hence potential management action. Stock assessment and research work undertaken locally by OSF and Heriot-Watt University will feed back to OSF as the IFG in Orkney. Whilst such scientific advice may trigger OSF to consider additional management measures, OSF has no formal legislative power, and therefore any new management measures proposed by OSF must be taken up and progressed by Marine Scotland. There is no clear mechanism in place to allow Marine Scotland to act quickly to introduce management measures if brown crab stock status in Orkney declined rapidly. Whilst there have been recent consultations on increasing the minimum landing size (which was implemented) and introducing limits on the number of creels (which was not implemented), it is not clear that the current harvest strategy is responsive to the state of the stock and therefore the SG80 is not met. 80f Whilst recent research in Orkney has demonstrated the effectiveness of escape gaps in reducing the catch and hence can minimise mortality of undersized crabs, the research has not was hene whiled and OCF scaret that one can enter a substitute of the scare to an and hence can minimise mortality of undersized crabs, the research has not was negative and OCF scaret that the current has not the store of the stock and hence can minimise mortality of undersized crabs, the research has not was hene while and OCF scaret that the current has not the store of the stock and hence can minimise mortality of undersized crabs.
	yet been published and OSF report that escape gaps cannot currently be implemented as a mandatory technical measure, with such an action requiring public consultation and the resulting enforcement by Marine Scotland. Alternative measures to minimise mortality of unwanted catch of brown crab have not been implemented and so SG80 is not met.

Table 17. Non-binding conditions for the OSF Orkney brown crab creel fishery



Condition	Evidence should be provided that the harvest strategy is responsive to the state of the stock					
	and the elements of the harvest strategy work together towards achieving stock management					
	Objectives reflected in PI 1.1.1 SG80. Evidence should also be provided that alternative measures to minimise LIOA-related mortality					
	of unwanted catch of the target stock are implemented as appropriate					
Performance	PI 1.2.2 Harvest control rules & tools – Therea are weel defined and effective HCRs in place.					
Indicator	80a - Well defined HCRs are in place that ensure that the exploitation rate is reduced as the PRI					
	is approached, are expected to keep the stock fluctuating around a target level consistent with					
	(or above) MSY.					
Score	75					
Rationale	There are no current limits on creel numbers and no TAC in place, the likelihood is that					
	the MLS (the assumed HCR) will not be either increased or decreased in relation to					
	changes in stock status primarily due to market considerations, and there is no well-					
	defined mechanism in place to allow OSF or Marine Scotland to act quickly to introduce					
	management measures in the Orkney brown crab fishery. t cannot be concluded					
	therefore that there are well-defined HCRs that would ensure that exploitation rates					
	would be reduced or that susceptibility would be reduced quickly in response to					
	significant detrimental trends in stock indicators. Therefore, SG80 is not met.					
Condition	Evidence should be provided that well defined HCRs are in place that ensure that the					
	exploitation rate is reduced as the PRI is approached, are expected to keep the stock fluctuating					
	around a target level consistent with (or above) MSY.					
Deufeureenee	DI 2.2.2. Cocondem encodes more compared structures. There is a structure in place for more sing					
Performance	PI 2.2.2. Secondary species management strategy - There is a strategy in place for managing					
indicator	species and the UoA regularly reviews and implements measures, as appropriate, to minimise					
	the mortality of unwanted catch.					
	80e - There is a regular review of the potential effectiveness and practicality of alternative					
	measures to minimise UoA-related mortality of unwanted catch of the main secondary species					
	and they are implemented as appropriate.					
Score	75					
Rationale	Whilst recent research in Orkney has demonstrated the effectiveness of escape gaps					
	in reducing the catch and hence can minimise mortality of undersized crabs, the					
	research has not yet been published and OSF report that escape gaps cannot currently					
	be implemented as a mandatory technical measure, with such an action requiring					
	public consultation and the resulting enforcement by Marine Scotland. Although					
	approximately 10% of fishermen equip creels with escapement vents on a voluntary					
	basis, alternative measures to minimise mortality of unwanted catch velvet crab have					
	not been implemented and so SG80 is not met.					
Condition	Evidence should be provided that alternative measures to minimise UoA-related mortality of					
	unwanted catch of main secondary species are implemented as appropriate.					
Performance	PL 3.2.1 Fishery-specific objectives – The fishery-specific management system has clear					
Indicator	specific objectives designed to achieve the outcomes expressed by MSC's Principles 1 and 2.					
	80a - Short and long-term objectives, which are consistent with achieving the outcomes					
	expressed by MSC's Principles 1 and 2, are explicit within the fishery-specific management					
	system.					
Score	70					
Rationale	The Orkney Inshore Fisheries Management Plan is the <i>de facto</i> fisheries management					
	plan for brown crab. Whilst it is not limited only for brown crab, it recognises that					
	brown crab represents 74% by volume and 51% by value of Orkney's inshore fisheries					



	landings and this fishery is the main focus of the plan. A specific section of the FMP
	devoted to the creel fishery is being developed, but is still in draft.
	The management plan has a number of specific objectives for inshore fisheries in Orkney, but
	these are not disaggregated into short and long-term timelines, but can be considered as long-
	term in nature, thus partially meeting SG 80. There are short-term objectives as part of OSF's
	Research Objectives / Strategy 2017 – 2020, focusing mainly on P2 issues, but these are not
	explicit within the fisheries management plan. Therefore SG 80 is not fully met.
Condition	Evidence should be provided that short and long-term objectives, which are consistent with
	achieving the outcomes expressed by MSC's Principles 1 and 2, are explicit within the fishery-
	specific management system



8.2. Appendix 2 Marine Scotland FISH1 Form and OSF voluntary logbook sheet

Marine Scotland FISH1 Form

	ttich	MARINE SCO Fishery Office:	OTLAND	COMPLIANCE		ALL SPEC	CIES 10M	AND UN	IDER WE	EKL	.Y L/	ANDING DE	CLARATIO	551-2016.02 Rev 3
Governn Riaghaltas na	nent h-Alba	Email:				PLN						Vessel Name		
Port of Depa	nture	Please select				Owner/Master							Signed	
Port of Land	ling	Please select				Address							Total Pots Fishing (1)	
Fishing Activity Date (2)	La	t/Long (3)	Stat Rect / ICES Area (3)	Gear (4)	Mesh Size (4)	Species (5)	State (6)	Present- ation (7)	Weight (8)	DIS (9)	BMS (10)	Number of Pots Hauled (11)	Landing or Discard Date (12)	Transporter Reg, Not Transported or Landed to Keeps (13)
										Π				
										믐	븜		-	
										믐	븜			
										믐	븜			
										늼	늼			
										H	H			
										Π				
										Π				
Comments Buyers Infor (14)	and mation													
												Ema	il to Marin	e Scotland

All relevant fields/entries are mandatory and must be entered by the signatory

Email to Marine Scotland

~



Example of logbook sheet for the OSF voluntary logbook scheme (Source: Client)



Vessel Name: Target Spe

..... Target Species:

Species Key: BC – Brown Crab EL – European Lobster VC – Velvet Crab GC – Green Crab

Example Sheet

Date	Total Pots Lifted	Soak time (days)	Species	Weight Landed (Kg)	Percentage Undersize in Numbers (0 - 100%)	Percentage Discarded in Numbers (0 - 100%)	By-catch	Other Comments	
		1.0	BC	200	30	3	4x dogfish	Lots of White Crab	
01/04/15	250	2	EL	10	2	0	2x Wrasse		
01/04/15	250	2	VC	100	3	1			
			GC	50	1	25			
			BC	250	25	14	2x Conger	3 creels broken	
02/04/15	250	2	EL	6	2	1	1x Cod		
02/04/15	250	2 VC	150	4	4	1x Octopus			
			GC	25	1	0			
			BC	300	25	25	3x Rockling	1 string (25 creels) lost	
02/04/45	200	2	EL	9	0	0	3x Ling		
03/04/15	300	3	VC	200	4	12			
			GC						
			BC						
			EL						
			VC				10. 10.		
			GC	30]		
		9. 	BC						
			EL]		
			VC	5.					
			GC						
			BC						
			EL						
			VC						
			GC				1		
			BC						
			EL	š			1		
			VC	2. 			e 2		
			GC				7		

Example Sheet



8.3. Appendix 3 Peer Review Reports

(PCDR AND ALL SUBSEQUENT REPORTS)

The report shall include the unattributed reports of the peer reviewers in full using the 'MSC peer review template' available on the MSC website forms and templates page <u>here</u>.

The report shall also include the explicit responses of the team that include:

- a. Identification of specifically what (if any) changes to scoring, rationales, or conditions have been made.
- b. A substantiated justification for not making changes where peer reviewers suggest changes but the team makes no change.

[Note that if undertaking peer reviews before Peer Review College is operational; CABs shall ensure that the 'Contact information' table in the Peer Review report is removed before inserting in this report.]

(Reference: FCR 7.14.11 and sub-clauses)



8.4. Appendix 4 Stakeholder submissions

Whale and Dolphin Conservation's submission

WDC Scottish Dolphin Centre, Spey Bay, Moray, IV32 7PJ, UK T +44 (0) 791 869 3023 F +44 (0)1343 829 065 E fiona.read@whales.org W whales.org WDC is a cmpary limited by guarantee Registered in Englished Scottish Davity Re 50040201.

Ruth O'Connell Fisheries & Aquaculture Administrator SAI Global / Global Trust Certification Quayside Business Park, Mill Street Dundalk County Louth Ireland

Ruth.O'Conneil@saiglobal.com

24th August 2017

Dear Ruth O'Connell,

WDC comments on the OSF Orkney brown orab creel - Marine Stewardship Council Fishery Announcement.

Thank you for the opportunity for WDC to provide comments on this fisheries assessment. Given our area of interest, we have only focused on marine mammals.

We have concerns about entanglements of marine mammals (mainly humpback whales (*Megaptera* novaeangliae) and minke whales (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*)), and basking sharks (*Cetorhinus maximus*) in oreel fisheries in Scottish waters. In Scotland, half of minke whales stranded and post-mortemed from 1990 to 2010 showed signs of entanglement (Northridge *et al.*, 2010) and entanglements in oreels are a known cause of death for humpback whales in Scottish waters (*e.g.*, Ryan *et al.*, 2016). Non-fatal entanglements are also a serious welfare issue for marine megafauna. Entangled animals may carry gear for many weeks/months which significantly compromises their health due to reduced mobility, impaired foraging and severe gear-related injuries.

WDC recently produced waterproof 'Best Practice Guideline' booklets for reducing marine megafauna entanglements in creel fisheries in collaboration with the Soottish Creel Fishermen's Federation (SCFF), Soottish Natural Heritage (SNH), Soottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme (SMASS), British Divers Marine Life Resoue (BDMLR) and the Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust (HWDT). For more details and copies of the guideline booklets see: <u>http://www.scottishoreelfishermensfederation.co.uk/entanglement.htm</u>. We would recommend contacting all these organisations in relation to the assessment.

We would like to see the risk and assessment of marine megafauna entanglements included in the brown orab fishery assessment, including the reporting of 'lost gear'.

We hope you find these comments useful and would be happy to discuss these comments further.



WHALE AND DOLPHIN

A world where every whale and dolphin is safe and free



Kind regards,

Fiona Read Policy Officer – End Bycatch

References

Northridge, S., Cargill, A., Coram, A., Mandleberg, L., Calderan, S. and Reid, R. 2010 Entanglement of minke whales in Scottish waters; an investigation into occurrence, causes and mitigation. SMRU report to Scottish Government, CR/2007/49. 57 pp.

Ryan, C., Leaper, R., Evans, P.G.H., Dyke, K., Robinson, K.P., Haskins, G.N., Calderan, S., van Geel, N., Harries, O., Froud, K., Brownlow, A. and Jaok, A. 2016. Entanglement: an emerging threat to humpback whales in Scottish waters. Presented to the Scientific Committee Meeting of the International Whaling Commission, 2016, SC/66b/HIM/01. 11pp.



Assessment team's response

Fiona Read, Policy Officer – End Bycatch Whale and Dolphin Conservation Scottish Dolphin Centre Spay Bay, Moray IV32 7PJ UK

Re: Your submission regarding the MSC Full assessment of the OSF Orkney brown crab creel fishery

April 12th , 2018

Dear Fiona,

The audit team appointed to conduct the full assessment of the OSF Orkeny brown crab creel fishery has reviewed your submission provided prior to the site visit.

The audit team would like to thank you for having taken time to formally participate in site visit through a conference call, and for providing comments in regards to the risk of entanglement of marine megafauna entanglement in creel gear.

I'm pleased to provide you with the audit team's responses to the specific issues raised in your 24th August 2017 letter .

The MSC Fisheries Standard comprises three core Principles including Principle 2 which covers the environmental impact of the fishery under assessment.

Principle 2

Fishing operations should allow for the maintenance of the structure, productivity, function and diversity of the ecosystem (including habitat and associated depedent and ecologically related species) on which the fishery depends.

Performance Indicator 2.3.1 evaluates the impacts of the fishery under assessment on the Endangered, Threatened and Protected (ETP) species, including the marine megafauna entanglement in creel gear.

ETP Species considered in this assessment are listed in the table below.

ETP species in Scotland waters that may overlap with the Orkney brown crab creel fishery. Source: Scottish Natural Heritage, CITES, IUCN Red List.

Group	Species		National Legislation	Status
	Bottlenose	dolphin,	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species
	Tursiops truncat	us		(Annex IV of the European
Dolahia				Habitats Directive),
Dolphin				ASCOBANS
	Harbour	Porpoise,	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species
	Phocoena phoco	pena		(Annex IV of the European



			Habitats Directive), ASCOBANS
	Humpback whale, Megaptera novaeangliae	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species (Annex IV of the European Habitats Directive), listed in CITES Appendix I
	Minke whale, Baleanoptera acutorostrata	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species (Annex IV of the European Habitats Directive), listed in CITES Appendix I
Whale	Fin whale, Balaenoptera physalus	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species (Annex IV of the European Habitats Directive), listed in CITES Appendix I, listed in IUCN Red List as endangered
	North Atlantic right whale, Eubalaena glacialis	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species (Annex IV of the European Habitats Directive), listed in CITES Appendix I, listed in IUCN Red List as endangered
Sool	Grey seal, Halichoerus grypsus	Conservation Regulation 1994, Marine (Scotland) Act 2010, The Protection of Seals Order 2014	Protected
5601	Harbour seal, Phoca vitulina	Conservation Regulation 1994, Marine (Scotland) Act 2010, The Protection of Seals Order 2014	Protected
Sea turtle	Leatherback turtle, Dermochelis coriacea	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species (Annex IV of the European Habitats Directive), listed in CITES Appendix, listed in IUCN Red List as vulnerable
Shark	Basking shark, Cetorhinus maximus	Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981	Protected
Otter	Otter, Lutra lutra	Conservation Regulation 1994	European Protected Species (Annex IV of the European Habitats Directive), listed in CITES Appendix I

The assessment team reviewed the available information including the two references you provided to evaluate the impacts of the fishery

Whales can potentially get entangled in creels buoy lines. The species that are most likely to be encountered in Orkney Islands waters are minke whale and in a lesser extend humpback whale, fin whale and North Atlantic right whale being rare to absent in Orkney waters.

Northridge et al (2010) investigated the occurrence of entanglement of minke whale in Scottish waters. The overlap between the relative creel fishing density and aggregated minke whale sighting in the same ICES rectangles has been analysed to determine a relative risk level of entanglement around Scotland. The area



with the higher risk of minke whale entanglement is central Hebrides (West Scotland), and Orkney Islands may have also a relative elevated risk of minke whale entanglement. Overall, Northridge et al (2010) concludes that it cannot be said that entanglements of minke (or orther) whales in Scottish waters represent a serious threat for conservation. However it should receive continued attention because of the protected status of whale species.



Relative risk entanglement. Source: Northridge et al (2010).

Ryan et al (2016) investigated the entanglement of humpback whale in Scottish waters by analysing the temporal and spatial distribution of humpback whale sightings and entanglements collected from different data base and a marine mammal survey (only in Hebrides). Scottish waters are not currently a key habitats for humpback whale, they occur in very low abundance and there is a small number of observations. From 1992-2016, there were 3 sightings of humpback whale and 2 entanglements observed in creel gear in Orkney Islands. The authors estimated the entanglement risk and considered that the risk of entanglement in creel fisheries is high. The study concludes that there would be a concern for the recovery of humpback whale populations if the species would increasingly inhabit Scottish waters.

Gillnets, driftnets and trammelnets account for the majority of harbour porpoise and bottlenose dolphin bycatch in UK waters (Sewell and Hiscock 2005). There are reports of harbour porpoise being entangled in creel ropes but the number are not though to be significant (Sewell and Hiscock 2005).

No concern has been raised regarding incidental capture of dolphins by brown crab creels in Orkney during meetings with fishermen, management agencies and nature conservation organisations.

The assessment team has been provided with entanglements data by the Whale and Dolphin Conservation which obtained them from the Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme (SMASS). Since 2010, SMASS has had 2 records of entanglement of whale in Orkney: two minke whale (Thurso, Holm). However, it has not been confirmed which fishing gear was involved in these entanglements.

According to OSF and fishermen met during the site visit, lost of creels is infrequent meaning that the level of ghost fishing is very low. Fishing practices in place avoid unobserved mortality due to ghost fishing from lost creels. In case of bad weather, creels are set in deeper.OSF Code of Practices include a clause related to recovery of lost fishing gear.



No concern has been raised regarding incidental capture of basking sharks by brown crab creels in Orkney during meetings with fishermen, management agencies and nature conservation organisations. None of the stakeholder met were aware of any entanglement of basking shark in Orkney Islands.

Based on the information and data reviewed, the assessment team concludes that there are no interactions with leatherback turtles, basking shark, otter and dolphins. Interactions with seals are not rare and although some whale entanglements may be unreported, interactions with whales seem to be low enought not to represent serious threat for their conservation and recovery.

The assessment team considered the booklet "Reducing the risk of entanglement in creel ropes fro marine animals" published by Scottish Creel Fishermen's Federation and produced in collaboration with the BDMLR, Scottish Natural Heritage, Whale and Dolphin Conservation, SMASS and the Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust.

I would like to once again thank you for having taken the time to communicate your concerns to the assessment team.

Yours sincerely,

Géraldine Criquet SAIG Fisheries Team Leader

References

Northridge S., A. Cargill, A. Coram, L. Mandleberg, S. Calderan and B. Reid, 2010. Entanglement of minke whales in Scottish waters; an investigation into occurrence, causes and mitigation. Sea Mammal Research Unit, University of St Andrews, SAC, Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust. Final Report to Scottish Government CR/2007/49, June 2010.

Ryan C., R. Leaper, P. G. H. Evans, K. Dyke, K. P. Robinson, G. N. Haskins, S. Calderan, N. van Geel, O. Harries, K. Froud, A. Brownlow and A. Jack, 2016. Entanglement: an emerging threat to humpback whales in Scottish waters. International Whaling Commission, SC/66b/HIM/01.

Sewell, J. & Hiscock, K., 2005. Effects of fishing within UK European Marine Sites: guidance for nature conservation agencies. Report to the Countryside Council for Wales, English Nature and Scottish Natural Heritage from the Marine Biological Association. Plymouth: Marine Biological Association. CCW Contract FC 73-03-214A. 195 pp.





8.6. Appendix 5 Surveillance Frequency

- 1. The report shall include a rationale for any reduction from the default surveillance level following FCR 7.23.4 in Table 4.1.
- 2. The report shall include a rationale for any deviations from carrying out the surveillance audit before or after the anniversary date of certification in Table 4.2
- 3. The report shall include a completed fishery surveillance program in Table 4.3.

Table 18. Surveillance level rationale

Year	Surveillance activity	Number of auditors	Rationale

Table 19. Timing of surveillance audit

Year	Anniversary date of certificate	Proposed date of surveillance audit	Rationale

Table 20. Fishery Surveillance Program

Table Lot Hollery 0				
Surveillance Level	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4



8.7. Appendix 6 Objections Process

(REQUIRED FOR THE PCR IN ASSESSMENTS WHERE AN OBJECTION WAS RAISED AND ACCEPTED BY AN INDEPENDENT ADJUDICATOR)

The report shall include all written decisions arising from an objection.

(Reference: FCR 7.19.1)